

# THE MILITANT PUBLISHED IN THE INTERESTS OF THE WORKING PEOPLE

VOL. VII-No. 24

NEW YORK, N. Y., SATURDAY, JUNE 12, 1943

PRICE: FIVE CENTS

# COAL BARONS BLOCK CONTRACT AS CONGRESS RUSHES NO-STRIKE BILL

# **N.A.A.C.P. CONVENTION** FLAYS GOVT. JIM CROW

#### **Delegates Hail Firm Stand of UAW Against Bosses' Attempt to Divide Workers**

DETROIT, June 6. - Climaxing its four-day Emergency Conference on the "Status of the Negro in the War for Freedom," an overflow crowd of more than 23,000 meeting here in the Olympia Stadium wildly cheered the statement of R. J. Thomas, president of the U. A. W., that his union "would continue to fight for equal rights for all workers regardless of color.'

**Move Against Miners** 

delegate from the St. Paul

Branch of the N.A.A.C.P.,

made the motion to strike out

this section. She pointed out

that this might easily be in-

terpreted as an attack on the

United Mine Workers Union

which was fighting in the in-

delegate spoke in support of

miners.

The Conference opened on June® 3, the same day that the Packard Motor Co. had been closed down by a strike, which began when Delegates Reject white production workers in the aircraft engine division walked off the job after three Negro workers had been promoted to machine jobs. Thomas pointed out that the U.A.W., from the beginning, had defended the rights of its Negro members, and charged C.E. Weiss, company industrial relations officer, and other Packard Co. officials with urging white employees to refuse to work with Negroes. Walter White, Executive Sec. of the N.A.A.C.P. opened his keynote address to the Conference on June 3 with the same charge against the Packard officials.

The crowd attending the Olympia mass meeting was electrified by Thomas' further declaration that he has "absolute evidence' that the Packard strike was promoted by agents of the Ku Klux Klan. The U.A.W. was turning the evidence over to the F.B.I., he stated, and would demand a Congressional investigation.

The firm stand taken in defense

# Labor Unity Can Stop No-Strike Bill

### An Editorial

The Connally-Smith anti-strike bill supported by Byrnes and the Roosevelt administration, is headed for final passage by both houses of Congress.

If the bill is permitted to become law, it will be a criminal offense to "coerce, instigate, induce, agree with or encourage" a person to strike. This bill is the most vicious union-busting piece of legislation to come out of Congress. It proposes to drive the knife deep into the heart of the

The Congressional jackals and time-servers of the billion-dollar corporations have been scared out of their wits by the Mine strike. They see the growing power of organized labor and they want to cripple it. They want to frighten the labor movement out of its militancy and fighting spirit. They want to make it possible to jail every labor leader, every shop committeeman or steward who possesses the courage and manhood to fight for the rights of labor. They want to crush every voice of protest against the criminal looting of the public treasury by the war profiteers.

Why do these Congressmen dare to propose such union-busting legislation in the face of a labor movement 13 million strong? Because the leadership of the AFL and CIO has been pursuing

a cowardly policy of grovelling before the Roose velt administration. Because the leadership of the AFL and CIO has permitted the labor movement to be subverted into a miserable appendage of the war machine. Because the labor movement is divided. Because the labor movement does not have its own political party and has no voice in the halls of Congress.

Labor has plenty of strength to smash the attempt to thrust the workingman back into conditions of slavery and to roll back this projected anti-labor offensive. The problem is to mobilize the strength of the labor movement and to use it effectively.

Let the miners, the AFL, CIO and Railroad Brotherhoods call a great labor conference in Washington right now. Let them issue a united call to every local union in the country to participate in a militant national campaign to smash this strike-breaking bill.

Faced with the united protest of labor Roosevelt will never dare permit this bill to become law.

Self-defense is the first law of life. Labor must are nil. The miners' union, as fight now when it has the strength and ability to from the first, refused to recogfight. The Smith-Connally bill must not be nize the authority of this corporapermitted to become law. tion-dominated body, or to be

# **Operators Turn To WLB; Lewis Denounces Owners' Stand 'As Insult To Coal Miners'**

267

U. S. Steel Corporation Takes Lead in Breaking Union Agreement With Illinois Operators Granting \$1.50 Portal-to-Portal Pay

The coal barons have again deadlocked negotiations with the United Mine Workers of America. Following the policy that they adopted three months ago, when the coal controversy first began, they have continued making a mockery of collective bargaining negotiations with the union. Refusing to grant any decent concessions to the union the operators have again tossed the mine case into that "graveyard of grievances," the War Labor Board.

Lewis correctly described the negotiations as "a farcical proceeding in every respect. A stultification of collective bargaining. The sullen, morose attitude of the operators is an insult to the coal miners. . . ."

Under the ruling of the <sup>D-</sup>

and the mine operators are instructed to report back to the board on June 9 the result of their collective bargaining nego- tions, remain adamant. They are tiations. The results of course

Throughout the three months of announced that his company, ings. The miners, of course, will sylvania, West Virginia and Alabama, would not be bound by this agreement, and might with-

draw from the Illinois Operators' Association.

(Continued on page 4)

"The Treasury has estimated

that the total net income of

corporations. . . will be about

\$25.000,000,000 this year as

compared with \$19,500,000,-

have been taken, corporation

"Even after Federal Taxes

**Taking 'the Profits** 

Out of War'

000 last year.

#### WLB-OPERATOR'S ALLY

The coal barons are full of confidence that they can continue to miners' union, at present the hold the coal miners at bay. Under their barrage the Roosevelt Govvanguard of American labor. By this action, they hope to teach all ernment has buckled and taken American labor the lesson that over the job of unabashed agent its wage standards are due to be of the mine operators. The War riven down while war inflation Labor Board has acted throughcontinues and that there is nothing out the mine crisis as the faithful ally of the operators. It ran that labor can do about it. Harry Moses, the representative interference for the coal barons

of the H. C. Frick Coal and Coke when it instructed the operators Company, a subsidiary of the to break off negotiations with the S. Steel Corporation, has taken union as the mine workers the lead in blowing up the joint walked out of the coal pits the second time on June 1 at the Appalachian Conference negotiations at the very time that the expiration of the second mine UMW reached an agreement with truce. On June 3, Roosevelt personally threatened to call out the Illinois Operators Association troops if the mine workers did for payment of \$1.50 per day as not return to work by Monday, June 7, and stated that all miners

American labor movement. An attempt to insert a section in the N.A.A.C.P. "Statement to the Nation," praising the "no strike policy of the U.A.W.-CIO" and attacking strikes, "inimical to the war efforts of our country and its allies," was defeated after a heated debate. Grace Carlson,

terests of thousands of Negro iners In The Second Walk-Out Clarence Sharpe of Cleveland, James Anderson of Los Angeles and several other delegates took the floor in op-By JAMES COOK position to the "no-strike" clause. Only one Stalinist

(Special to The Militant) **PITTSBURGH.** June 1.

that you can't even see the ceil- A lot of us would be getting that you can't even see the cell a not of the government for some rotten vegetables in order our families then we do now for working in the mines. And, be-

All to get a small amount that was working in the mines. And, be-

War Labor Board in the bound by its Little Steel Formula. portal-to-portal allowance. Moses miners' case, issued on the coal crisis, it has consistent- which employs 27,000 men in May 25, both the union ly ignored the Board and its rul- captive mines of Illinois, Penncontinue this policy.

The coal barons, under the leadership of the steel corporadetermined that the miners shall receive no quarter. Their aim is to inflict a decisive defeat to the

the Negro U.A.W. members at the Packard Co., not only by Thomas, but by other U.A.W. officials who spoke to the delegates at earlier sessions of the Conference was one of the most effective demonstrations of solidarity between white and Negro workers which the majority of elected on the floor of the Con-

the N.A.A.C.P. delegates had ference was far more represenever seen.

Seven hundred and forty-three delegates from 39 states attended against Jim Crowism than the the Conference - the largest con- cautious speeches of most speakvention of the N.A.A.C.P. for many years.

The "Statement to the Nation," prepared by a Committee

### **Dobbs Speaks** To Workers **In Seattle**

On May 28 Farrell Dobbs, Labor Secretary of the Socialist Workers Party, spoke in Seattle on the need of an Independent ination and segregation by the Labor Party. The meeting was "continued ill-treatment of Neattended by 25 enthusiastic groes in uniform," the N.A.A. C.P friends and sympathizers.

A pledge of \$286 was made by the branch for the labor party shameful treatment of Negro citcampaign: and it is expected that additional sums, will be raised bringing the total well over \$500 for Seattle.

On June 7 and 10 Comrade Dobbs spoke in Minneapolis and St. Paul.

His scheduled tour dates follow. DATE PLACE June 14-Milwaukee June 15, 18-Chicago June 19, 20-St. Louis June 23, 24-Flint June 25. 27-Detroit June 28-Toledo June 29, July 1-Cleveland July 2, 4—Akron July 5, 7-Youngstown July 8. 10-Buffalo July 11, 12-Rochester July 14, 15-Boston July 16, 17-New Haven

July 18-New York Dates have not yet been announced for meetings in Newark, fledged members of organized la-

the inclusion of this section. By mittee which had prepared the stopped digging coal. Speaking Statement agreed to its with- to a group of miners today, one drawal after hearing the of them said to me: "The governweighty arguments made ment is supposed to have taken against it. over the mines, but as far as any of us can see we are still working

for the same operators. And it makes no difference to us: we tative of the desire of the de- can't dig coal when we can't buy legates for militant action enough to eat."

One of the miner's wives said ers on the prepared program. to me, "The government run the mines? It don't look like they STATEMENT DEMANDS want to do it for the benefit of

ACTION the miners, if they ever are real-"The N.A.A.C.P. and its memly gonna take over the mines to pers are appalled at the wide run. Why, they lifted the ceiling discrepancy between our profeson the \$25,000 salary \$25,000 sed war aims of democracy and that would be enough to pay the freedom and the treatment meted yearly wage of fifteen miners." out to Negroes in nearly every part of our national life," the

statement opens. "The issues From the Pittsburgh area down with which we are concerned must to Uniontown in the Western be raised now. We refuse to lis- Pennsylvania district there ten to the weak-kneed of both wherever I have been and talked races who tell us not to raise to miners it is exactly the same such controversies during the story. "No contract, no-work, No war." two dollars a day, no contract.'

Charging that the government itself sets the pattern of discrim-

The feelings of the miners to day are mingled with anger and sullen determination, "Who did workers, of whom eleven were statement calls upon President the operators think they were

zens. When this demand upon Roosevelt was read at today's closing session, it was applauded

vociferously. Among other demands is one that "representatives of the Negro press be given equal press privileges in attending and reporting Congressional hearings a well as Presidential and other official press conferences." The government is also asked to live up to the Constitution in its employment policies by guarantee-

ing equal opportunity for work for all regardless of "race, creed, color or national origin." Although the majority of the N.A.A.C.P. delegates attending the Conference were not industrial workers, and therefore not closely

aligned with the trade union movement, the statement calls upon Negro workers "to become full

common consent, the Com- the miners around here have good. That's the way the whole- sides it is a lot safer in the army salers in Pittsburgh force the re- than going down to dig coal every tailers to buy. Why don't the day.' government do something about

that instead, of trying to make us work for starvation wages so that the coal mine owners can one of complete solidarity. To get richer from the war contracts!"

**Miners** Take

The Militant Into Pits

PITTSBURGH, June 2. From the start of their struggle against the coal operators and the administration The Militant has supported the miners in their demands for a living wage. The paper has been widely distributed in the Pittsburgh area covered by our correspondents.

The miners' reaction to The Militant has been reported to us as follows: "You'll be pleased to know that the miners are now taking The Militant down into the pits with them and passing it on to their fellow workers. In some places they read it while on the main trip down. They all like it."

I asked one of the miners what Roosevelt as Commander-in-Chief kidding with all of this stalling would happen if the gov't decided know that the membership is of the armed forces to use his behind the War Labor Board and to draft them now because they with us. Ours is the same fight leadership, the International of lish section of the Mexican arrested at the time testified that nower to end the government's the flag waving? Prices have were on strike. "You got some- as theirs, and we're going to win gone so sky high on everything thing there. Let them draft us. this fight!"

# **Generals Rule Argentina**

By TERENCE PHELAN

\* \* \*

A junta of Argentine army bourgeoisie finds itself con- countries, has herself long held fronted with the need of re- a dominating position in Paraorienting its foreign policy in guay. the light of recent Allied vic-

tories. They face the prospect the Generals was a barracks-overof seeing Argentina, most pows | turn. The Argentina people were erful nation in Latin America, neither consulted nor did they being militarily isolated, sur- participate in the "revolution." passed, even potentially threat- BOURGEOISIE BADLY ened by Lend-Lease equipment DIVIDED

poured into Brazil and other nearby territory, while Argen- atively strong bourgeoisie in Ararms in the United States.

\* \* \*

In Labor's Ranks The impression the miners give to an outsider in this fight is Special to THE MILITANT a man they are behind their of DETROIT, June 1. - 2,000

ficials and are confident that they will carry the fight through to a victory for them.

all in sympathy with the miners and for them in their fight. that they themselves started the group of "headers" in the shell

against the high cost of living department. they are grateful to the rubber Although the grievances which workers and auto workers who by precipitated the strike were minor,

\* \* \* "Let me tell you after the

ed it leaves you with a pretty sick reasonable explanation for it. feeling in your stomach the way The daily papers could only say

dirty kicks in the face. Yes! We "general unrest." Once out, no amount of persuasion by the local ce would get the men to return. After sitting for three hours Siqueiros will paint a mural listening to the International representing the struggle of SIQUIEROS SKIPS

**Of Wide Unrest** 

The low point in the threats queiros will visit Mexico City. thrown at the men came from has formed a government which, Melvin Bishop when he said: "I Civil War in Spain can testify, for the moment, appears accept- don't know who caused this strike Siqueiros served as a G.P.U. able to the badly divided Argen- but I predict there will be more agent in Loyalist Spain. After tinian bourgeoise, whose rival fac- strikes and we will find out who the defeat, he returned to Mexico tions are struggling for power be- is behind them. I will personally and organized the preparatory hind the military facade and a see that they are fired, that the espionage and then the machine-

ously.

More Unions Aid of draft age who refused to UAW-CIO voted to return to Postal Defense Fund

A \$50 donation to the Kelly May 30. 6,000 workers of the ceived this week from Local 1981 Budd Company here in Detroit of the United Steelworkers of criticism of the miners. They are struck on Thursday morning, America, Maywood, Calif., announced the Civil Rights Defense Committee this week. In response of the \$5.00 door prize spoke for the motion to contribute, and added his prize to the local's

net income is estimated at donation. slightly over \$9,000,000,000 Large contributions have also been received from United Steelas compared with \$7,800,000,-000 last year."-N. Y. Times. workers of America Local 2172, June 4. company and the WLB on their Briggs Local 212, Detroit Mich.

way our Union has kicked in to was the strike that the local union GPU ASSASSIN REWARDED

#### By WALTER O'ROURKE

ficers, or U. S. Conciliation Serv- daily Novedades, David Alfaro he was paid by and took orders

representative Weaver, UAW Re- Cuba for freedom and democgional Board member Melvin racy. This mural, says the re-Bishop, an army captain and a port, "will be financed by the speaker from the U.S. Concilia- Coordinator's Office in Wash-

was the G.P.U's first attempt on in Chile. Legally, therefore, in Generals attempt for long to main- The motion to hold a meeting Leon Trotsky's life. One of Mexico he is a fugitive from tain a purely military dictator at which only members of 306 Trotsky's guards, Robert Sheldon justice and, upon return to Mexi-Philadelphia, Reading and Bay- bor on a basis of equality with tina herself cannot buy any gentina which is unlikely to al- ship, they will have a genuinely could attend was passed unanim- Harte, was kidnapped during this can territory, he should be attack and subsequently murder-larrested.

MEXICO CITY, May 25. - ed. His body was discovered According to a dispatch pub- buried in a shallow grave next to a cabin that Siqueiros hired and lished on May 23rd in the Eng- used as a studio; the caretaker from Siqueiros.

When arrested, Siqueiros admitted he had organized the spying and participated in the actual attack. This was corroborated by set up Cuban-American Cul- that the machine-gun bursts tural Institute of Havana." The fired from three directions into article concludes by saying that Trotsky's bedroom were fired for "psychological purposes." The judge, for some reason or other, chose to accept this absurd story. Siqueiros' crime was thus reduced to housebreaking and damage to another's property.

With such minor charges against him, he was allowed to go free under bail. Within a week he skipped bail and later turned up

ment fell through in 48 hours.

The hasty seizure of power by

There is, however, a comparlow any military junta to rule popular revolt on their hands.

members of Budd local 306, work at a special meeting on From the workers around here in the steel mills and defense plants there has been no adverse

May 27 in protest over the re-Many of the miners stated to fusal of the company to grant to the appeal by the Los Angeles, me that although they recognize a wage increase for a small CRDC representative, the winner

ball rolling in the present fight

their action demonstrated that they provided rank and file union they are in sympathy with the members with an opportunity to miners' fight and are ready to protest against the stalling of the Los Angeles, and UAW-CIO carry their own share of it.

contract which expired December 1942. So completely spontaneous

help all of these unions get start- leadership was unable to give a

these union 'leaders' are giving us that the strike was caused by

tion department, denouncing, ington and donated to the Cu- sisted that he knew nothing about

The immediate reason for the for long. This was promptly reoverthrow of the Castillo regime flected in the fact that the junta. was apparently the report that once it had the power, did not cajoling and threatening, a mo-ban government by the recently, the murder of Harte and said leaders last week toppled the Paraguay had signed a military know what to do with it. The tion was made to return to work Castillo government in a blood- alliance with Brazil. Argentina, only two civilians it could get for and hold another membership

stifling censorship.

The likelihood is that if the army knows about them."

after finishing the mural, Si-As anyone acquainted with the

management, the FBI, and the gun attack of May 24th which

Less coup d'etat. The Argentine though standing in a semi-colonial "ministers" were two "illustrious meeting the following Sunday to relation to advanced capitalist nobodies." General Arturo Raw- be attended only by members of son's attempt to form a govern- Local 306. Now, another General, Ramirez,

#### THE MILITANT

SATURDAY, JUNE 12, 1943

# The End Of The Comintern And The Prospects Of Labor Internationalism

### Text of Speech by James P. Cannon Delivered in New York, May 30, 1943

#### Comrade Chairman. Comrades:

The formal dissolution of the Communist International is undoubtedly an event of great historical significance, even though everybody understands that it is simply the formal certification of a fact that was long since accomplished. Some of the bourgeois commentators and politicians may exaggerate a bit when they speak of the dissolution of the Communist International as the greatest political event since the beginning of the war. But, in any case, there is no question of its transcendent importance. This is recognized on every side, and the event has called forth discussion from every quarter.

There are two ways to view the question. One is from the standpoint of the ruling capitalist powers in the countries allied with the United States in their war against the Axis powers, and their general struggle to maintain the capitalist system of oppression of the workers in the home countries and enslavement of the great masses of the colonial world. The other standpoint from which the dissolution of the Comintern can be discussed is from the standpoint of the liberation struggle of the workers which has had a conscious expression now for 95 years, since the publication of the Communist Manifesto in 1848.

The discussion has all been one-sided so far. All the discussion outside our ranks begins from the premise of its effect upon the fortunes' of American imperialism. with particular reference to the war. It is remarkable how so many people, in so many supposedly different camps, take this as their starting point in analyzing the burial of the Comintern. It was to be expected that the bourgeois press would take this point of view because all their interests lie in that direction. But we notice also that such labor leaders as have pronounced themselves show the same bias. They inquire, with straight faces, whether Stalin's action is sincerely meant as a gesture of help and cooperation with our war leaders in Washington and London, or whether it is a mere maneuver. No other aspects of the question seem to concern them.

The same thing is true of the Social-Democratic press. You might think that people who used to have an International of their own would have something to say about the unburied corpse of the Second International, but they passed that over as a matter of no interest. Perhaps they are right in this respect. They sagely discuss the recent events in Moscow and put seriously to themselves - these "Socialists" - the question: Will this help America in the war or not?

Even the Stalinists, who up to a few days ago were the adherents and representatives - even if not formally, owing to the Voorhis Law - of the Communist International, solemnly discuss the action like imitation Congressmen. They



eventual liberation — the original aim of the Comintern but solely from the point of view of the interests of the American ruling class. Browder writes a letter to the New York Times and attempts to reassure this extremely perspicacious organ of America's Sixty Families that the action taken in Moscow is in good faith and in their interests, and that it is not quite sporting of them to raise a questioning eyebrow about the fact.

So far nobody has discussed the question from the point of view which brought the Communist International into existence, that is, of organizing and furthering the worldwide struggle of the proletariat for emancipation from capitalism. But it is this point of view which I want to bring to the discussion here this evening.

### Internationalism Indispensable Of course, the announcement of the formal dissolution

Workers of the World Unite! --- Stalin has announced a motto of his own: Disband your international organization; give up all thought of international collaboration; support your own imperialists, and confine your activities to the national framework of the country in which you are enslaved.

nationalism were propounded in the Communist Manifesto to their first realization in 1864 in the First International, up until the present time, the conflict within the labor movement between revolutionists and reformists has revolved around this fundamental question. At the heart of every dispute, socialist internationalism on the one side has been contrasted to nationalistic concepts on the other.

We can see in the whole period down to the present day the deadly parallel between revolutionary internationalism, pointing the way to the socialist future, and opportunistic adaptation to the decaying order of capitalism. Marx and Engels were the champions of this idea of internationalism and of corresponding action. The nationally limited, narrowminded trade union reformists of England and other places renounced the idea of internationalism. With the idea of gaining small favors for the day at the expense of the interests of the class as a whole and of the future, conservative trade unionism, even in Marx's day, took a nationalistic form and had a nationalistic outlook. In the first World War of 1914-18 the great resounding struggle which took place between the revolutionary wing headed by Lenin and Trotsky on the one side, and Kautsky & Co. on the other, had as its great criterion, its touch-stone, the question of international organization.

# **The Four Internationals**

Lenin, the Russian, living as an emigre in Switzerland, with no more than a dozen or two followers that he could name and place, rose up against the whole so-called Second International and the Social-Democratic German parties in the war. He rose up against the bourgeois world, and announced the necessity for the Third International in 1914. Similarly, in the period of the decline and eventual decay and death, up to the formal burial of the Communist International, the great dividing line between the real inheritors of Marx and Lenin on the one side, and Stalin and his cohorts on the other, has been this principle we are discussing here tonight -the principle of internationalism.

Since it was first proclaimed nearly a century ago, in the historic ebb and flow, the idea of internationalism and the organization of the international workers have suffered three great defeats. The organizations have been destroyed, but always the idea rose again after each defeat, corresponding to historical necessity, and found the necessary organizational form on higher ground.

The First International, that is the International of Marx and Engels, was founded formally in 1864. Seven years later came the tragic defeat of the Paris Commune. Along with that great defeat and the great impetus it gave to reaction on the continent of Europe, there was the unprecedented rise and expansion of capitalist industry. The productive forces began to expand and develop on a capitalist basis at an unprecedented rate. This temporarily weakened the revolutionary movement. It was the expansion of capitalism still reaching toward its apex of development which decreed the end of the First International by its formal dissolution in 1876. But the First International didn't die like the Second or like the Comintern. It was dissolved with its honor unsullied. It remained an inspiration and an ideal which still continued to work in the vanguard circles of the workers and in time bore good fruit.

The Second International followed. It was formally launched in Paris in 1889, thirteen years after the formal end of the First International, and died as a revolutionary organization on the 4th of August, 1914. The 4th of August was the day when the Social-Democratic deputies in the Reichstag voted for the war credits of German imperialism. But between the manner and form of the end of the Second International and that of the First, there is a great contrast that we should not forget. The First International succumbed to external conditions, to the defeats, the spread of reaction and the expanding development of the capitalist productive system. It went down gloriously. The Second International, on the contrary, ended as a result of the betrayal of the leadership in a period when capitalism had already long passed its peak and had entered into its decline and bankruptcy. The Second International capitulated at a time when the necessity and urgency of international revolutionary organization were a thousand times more apparent than in the case of the First International.

The Third International was born of war and revolution and struggle against nationalism in March 1919, twenty-four years ago. This International, too, died ignominiously from a false theory, from capitulation and betrayal, and is buried in 1943, without honors, without regrets.

As far as the revolutionary vanguard of the proletariat are concerned, the formal event was anticipated and nobody was taken by surprise. We have been struggling against the national degeneration of the Comintern for a long time. This struggle, as a matter of fact, began in 1923. That is twenty years ago. It is startling in these days, in contemplation of this final ceremony of burying the non-existent Comintern, to read the polemics of Trotsky written twenty years ago in Russia. At the very first signs of national degeneration, Trotsky, like a physician, put his finger on the pulse of the organization and detected the fever of nationalism and pointed out what it was and what it would lead to. He began a struggle twenty years ago in the name of internationalism against the theory of socialism in one country, against the conception that the workers could find any other way to salvation except through international organization and joint struggle against capitalism on a world scale.

This fight began in the factional and ideological disputes of 1923. The fight took international form in 1930 in the organization of the International Communist League shortly after Trotsky was exiled from Russia and began, from his refuge in Turkey, to communicate with co-thinkers on a world scale. In 1938, the unceasing struggle of Trotsky and his disciples was climaxed by the World Congress of the Fourth International in 1938.

Trotsky, the unfailing champion of internationalism, in the uncompromising struggle against every form and trace of nationalist degeneration, was finally assassinated by an agent of Stalin. But his imperishable ideas are incorporated in the new international organization of the communist workers, the Fourth International. Stalin's action, formally dissolving the Comintern, was taken in the midst of the Second World War, an appropriate time. The international organization which was presumably formed to enable the workers to take advantage of the difficulties of national capitalist states to promote the international revolution, is dissolved with a cynical explanation that it doesn't fit the conditions of the war. Kautsky, in 1915. explaining the collapse of the Second International when the war started, said that the International is an instrument of peace, not of war. Kautsky was the originator of this monstrous theory. Stalin simply repeats it, nearly thirty years later when it is thirty times more false.

of the Comintern is simply the news account of a burial that is ten years overdue. It serves a certain purpose in that it puts an end to a fiction and clears the air of illusions and misunderstandings, to say nothing of very bad odors.

This belated burial of the corpse of the Comintern is a climax, we might say, to a long sequence of events which have extended over two decades. These events, in their high-lights, can be noted as: the death of Lenin; the promulgation for the first time in 1924 of the theory of socialism in one country; the bureaucratization of the Comintern and all of its parties; the expulsion of the Bolshevik-Leninist opposition, first in the Russian party and then in the other parties of the Comintern; the capitulation of the Communist Party of Germany, with its 600,000 members and its 6 million voters, without a struggle and without a fight to Hitler fascism in 1933; the organized, systematic betrayal of the proletariat of the world in the interest of the diplomatic policy of the Kremlin; the murder of the old Bolsheviks; the assassination of Trotsky; the betrayal of the proletariat in the second world war, first to Hitler and then to Roosevelt and Churchill.

Since the beginning of the war the Comintern, the unburied Comintern, was silent as the grave. Now it is formally buried, and that, at least, is a good thing. It is somewhat late, but the old proverb says, "better late than never." By the formal burial of the Comintern, Stalin, for once on the international arena, has unconsciously performed a progressive act.

The bourgeois press and public generally, the political leaders and spokesmen, are very well pleased with the recent pronouncement, even if they understand that it is only a formality. They have good reason to be pleased. The dissolution of the Comintern, and the cynical repudiation of internationalism and the international proletarian organization, is an ideological victory of vast importance for capitalism and reactionary nationalism. They have been quite true to their interests in hailing this action and pushing aside the quibblers who wonder if, after all, it isn't another maneuver.

They have good reason to applaud the action of Stalin, taken through his puppets in the so-called Executive Committee of the non-existent Comintern, because the renunciation of internationalism is a renunciation of the basic premises of scientific socialism. It is a renunciation of the cardinal doctrine which has guided and inspired the struggle of the workers for generations, since Marx's day. The modern movement of international socialism began with the Communist Manifesto in 1848, 95 years ago, with its battle of cry: Workers of the World Unite! The Communist Manifesto proclaimed the doctrine that the emancipation of the workers could be achieved only by their common actions on an international scale. Against the cardinal principle and battle cry of Marx and Engels, and of all revolutionary socialists since that time: ----

Internationalism was not a dogma invented by Marx and Engels, but a recognition of the reality of the modern world. It proceeds from the fact that the economy of modern society is a world unit requiring international cooperation and division of labor for the further development of the productive forces. The class struggle arising from the class division between workers and exploiters within the countries requires class unity of the workers on an international scale. From the beginning the program of scientific socialism has called for the international collaboration of the workers and oppressed peoples in the different countries, with all their different levels of development, in order that each might contribute their strength as well as their weakness to a unified world program and world cooperative action. The Communist Manifesto called for common efforts of the workers in all countries for the common goal of workers' emancipation.

After the downfall of feudalism, the national states played a progressive role as the arena for the development and expansion of the forces of production in the heyday of capitalism. But these very national states, whose sanctity is proclaimed by Stalin in 1943, became obsolete long ago. They have become barriers to the full operation of the productive forces and the source of inevitable wars. The whole pressure of historic necessity is for the breaking down of the artificial national barriers, not for their preservation.

Just as the petty states and principalities and arbitrarily divided sections of the old countries under. feudalism had to give way to the consolidated, centralized national states in order to create a broader arena for the development of the productive forces, so, in the same way, the artificially divided national states have to give way to the federation of states. In the future course of development this must lead eventually to a world federation operating world economy as a whole without class and nationalistic divisions. From this it follows irrevocably that such an order can be created only by the international collaboration and the joint struggle of the workers in the various countries against their own bourgeoisie at home and against capitalism as a world system. So preached and so practiced the great founders of socialism, Marx and Engels; so preached and practiced their great continuators, Lenin, and Trotsky.

The immortal achievement of Marx as a revolutionist, side by side with his monumental work on Capital, will always stand his creative labor in the building of the first international organization of the workers, the International Working Men's Association. From the time that the ideas of inter-

# Lenin's Program

Lenin said in 1914: "Because of the war, we must build the Third International in order to coordinate the activities of the workers in struggling against the war and in all that will follow from it." Stalin says to the workers of the world in 1943: "Because of the war, dissolve international organization and confine yourselves to the framework of your own bourgeois fatherland." In this contrast between the words of Lenin, who thought the war was a means of underscoring and emphasizing the necessity for an international organization of workers, and the words of Stalin, who says the war is a sufficient reason to disband international organization - in this contrast you have the measure of the two men and of what they represent in history.

Already in 1914, the First World War had demonstrated beyond all question that the bourgeois national states, as an arena for the development of the productive forces of mankind, were already outlived and had to give way to a broader basis. National capitalism had already entered into its bankruptcy in that time, more than twenty years ago. The most tragic expression of the bankruptcy of capitalism was the fact that it could find no other way out of the conflicts between out-lived national states than in the explosion of the terrible war that cost ten million lives and crippled and maimed twenty million more.

And it was precisely the demonstration, by the terrible fact of the war; it was precisely the war, that caused Lenin and Trotsky, and such as they, to realize that even the Second International as it had existed before the war, as a rather loose federation of national parties, could not be rebuilt. Trotsky expressed it, that the war sounded the death knell of national programs for workers' parties. They drew the lesson from the experience of the last World War, 1914-18, not only that the workers must reconstitute their organization on an

international scale, but that they must base this reorganization on an international program and not on the sum of national programs.

Thus, the war of 1914, which signalized the bankruptcy of the national capitalist states, was, in the eyes of Lenin and Trotsky, the greatest motivation for an extension of the idea of internationalism in program as well as in form of organization. Now, a quarter of a century later, when the bankruptcy of capitalism has developed into its death agony, when an explosion takes place in the Second World War in even more tragic loss in human life and material culture - now, after this, Stalin and his traitor gang, have the cynical effrontery to tell the workers that there is no need of international cooperation and international organization.

There isn't a shadow of logic or reason, if you proceed from the point of view of socialism and the cause of the proletariat, in any of the explanations given by the Stalinists for renunciation of internationalism. The explanation given by the bourgeois press and bourgeois political leaders is more correct and honest because it frankly proceeds from the point of view that is of interest to them, that is, to the capitalist world order, and they can see in it a very good thing. But that it is no good for the workers is quite obvious.

Even the bourgeoisie recognize internationalism in their own way. The bankruptcy of national limitness has become so clear to the bourgeosie that all their most perspicacious leaders have been compelled to renounce the idea of national isolation altogether. Isolationism as a political tendency stands discredited in bourgeois politics. And in this situation. in this terrible war that is caused by the artificial prolongation of the life of national states as separate economic units. Stalin and his puppets tell the workers: "Confine your ef-

(Continued on page 3)

#### SATURDAY, JUNE 12, 1943 T

THE MILITANT

#### - THREE

# The Third International Is Dead --Long Live The Fourth International!

#### (Continued on page 2)

forts to the national limits in which you find yourselves. Support one set of bandits against another set of bandits." That, workers of the world, heirs of Marx and Engels, heirs of Lenin and Trotsky and the Russian Revolution, that is your destiny in 1943, pronounced by Stalin and his gang.

This treacherous advice not only defies Marxist doctrine and tradition but it violates the most fundamental features of the prevailing world situation. It betrays the workers in the metropolitan centers and even omits any mention of the many million masses in the colonies and the semi-colonies who were awakened by the Russian Revolution and the Communist International to the struggle for life and freedom.

I think that the frankest and most heartfelt expressions of opinion by the chosen leaders of the democratic world bourgeoisie - Mr. Churchill and Roosevelt - really were off the record. They didn't have the heart to put down in public print what they really think of Stalin and his order dissolving the Comintern. That could only make fun of the explanation that the time has come in 1943 to go back to the national boroughs and forget the world arena at the very moment when they, the leaders of the bourgeoisie, are looking over the whole world and talking only in global terms. Stalin's explanation, intended to deceive trusting workers, can cause only the most cynical amusement to Churchill and Roosevelt, tinged with contempt plus a little appreciation for a very valuable favor. They at least have no illusions about national limitations either of economy or of politics, and certainly not of war. They have as little illusion on that score in their own way and from their own point of view, as Lenin had in this way, which was not the same way, and from his point of view which, needless to say, was not the same as that of Churchill or Roosevelt, or of Stalin.

If you take down from the bookshelf that imposing library of polemics, manifestos, appeals, analyses, written by Lenin from the 4th of August, 1914 on, you see running through the whole collection, like a red thread, the idea of internationalism. His manifesto, the manifesto of the Bolshevik Central Committee against the war, raised the demand already in 1914 for the creation of the new Third International. His attitude led him and the Bolsheviks to the Zimmerwald Conference in 1915, to Kienthal in 1916, and then to the revolution in 1917 in Russia.

Now, in all the plans of the Social Democrats, to say nothing of the imperialists, in 1914 - in all their plans to do away with international organization, to harness the workers to the war machine of their respective capitalist masters in the different countries, the one thing that was not counted

0

upon occurred in Russia, a little surprise - merely a revolution. The revolution that first overthrew the Czar in February and then overthrew the bourgeoisie in October was one of those unheralded events of the past World War which upset all calculations.

We do not see any mention of that in the order of dissolution, as we may call it. There is no talk about revolution. There is no talk about socialism. There is no talk about anything except winning the war against Hitler. Lenin's steps, from 1914 on, led through these events I have mentioned to the Russian revolution, the conquest of power by the proletariat of Russia, supported by the peasantry and led by the Bolshevik party of Lenin. That didn't end Lenin's fight against the theory of Kautsky that internationalism is an instrument of peace, not of war. In view of the collapse and bankruptcy of capitalism, as well as in anticipation of another war, Lenin and his party sponsored in 1919 the formation of the Comin-

So, you see, throughout the whole course of Lenin's work, his manifesto after the betrayal of the German Social Democracy, his participation in the conferences at Zimmerwald and Kienthal, in the revolution of 1917, and the formation of the Comintern in 1919 - every act of Lenin from first to last took place under the banner of internationalism. The premises of the Third International were that the dissolution and collapse of the capitalist world order made necessary the organization of the proletariat for the seizure of power in the capitalist states, the federation of the socialist states into a world federation, and the inauguration of the world socialist order.

Lenin saw the Russian revolution as only the beginning of this world-wide process. Lenin and Trotsky and the Bolshevik party as a whole understood that Russia could not stand isolated in a capitalist world; it could not remain as a national utopia. They saw it as a fortress of the world proletariat. Their policy was to unite the Soviet Union, representing the fortress of the world proletariat, with its allies in the world. And who were the allies of the Soviets as Lenin and Trotsky saw them? Not Churchill. And not even Roosevelt. Their allies were the world proletariat in the capitalistically developed countries and the colonial peoples. Under this leadership the workers of the war-torn countries lifted their heads again. They were reinspired with socialist ideas. They reorganized their ranks. They formed new revolutionary parties. They made heroic attempts at revolution in Europe. The colonial masses were awakened for the first time to political life, to revolt against age-old slavery, and inspired to throw off the imperialist yoke altogether.

# Stalin's Treachery

Such was the course of development under Lenin's leadership of the Comintern. Under Stalin's leadership, which was tainted from the start with narrow-minded nationalism, the world movement was betrayed; the Soviet Union was isolated; the services of the Comintern and its parties were sold like potatoes on the market to the various camps of imperialists for dubious pacts, for dribbles of material aid, at a very cheap price. Lenin and Stalin - the creator of the Third International and its grave digger — these two represented ideas and actions which are in polar opposition to each other. They can in no way be reconciled. I notice that while they had the effrontery to refer to Marx, in the order dissolving the Comintern, they left unmentioned its founder. That at least was a wise omission, because Lenin's name would have been out of place there, as Marx's was also. In the course of twenty years, from 1924, when the fatal theory of socialism in one country was first promulgated, to the sorry, dishonorable end of the Comintern in 1943; in that whole tragic degeneration, we can see above everything else the decisive role of theory in political action. Stalin didn't begin with the dissolution of the Comintern. He began with the theory of socialism in one country. From this false theory everything else has followed - the betraval of the world proletariat, the isolation of the USSR behind her national barriers, the purges, the Moscow trials, the mass murders, the assassinations, and, finally, the dissolution of the Comintern. There is a profound lesson in this terrible sequence of events for all the generation of the young proletariat awakening to political interest and political life. Trotsky explained it in 1928 in his book, which was here referred to by the chairman. In "The Criticism of the Draft Program of the Comintern" he explained to the communist workers of Russia and the world that precisely this theory of socialism in one country, with its inevitable nationalistic implications, would inevitably lead to the degeneration and downfall, of the Comintern. When this was written 15 years ago, the great majority of communists considered this a great exaggeration and even an insult to Stalin and his co-workers in the Russian party. But Trotsky, who did not impute design but only ignorance to these people at that time, explained that good intentions cannot help you in politics if you proceed from a false theory. It is like a mariner setting a false course which can only lead the ship to an unintended destination. The struggle against the theory of socialism in one country was conducted in the name of internationalism. And in the name of internationalism Trotsky and his disciples struggled against its disastrous consequences, as they began to reveal themselves in life. As the tragic course of events unfolded, Trotsky, step by step, analyzed, he explained, he threw the Marxist light on all the great events as they happened, before they happened, and afterwards he drew the necessary conclusions. He was not deterred by persecution; he was not dismayed by the few numbers that surrounded him, nor by the renegacy of others, nor by the sneers of philistines.

goal. His work and struggle bore fruit in the creation of an international nucleus of revolutionary fighters, and eventually in the formal organization of the Fourth International, in the World Congress in 1938.

At the time it was formed the great politicians of the mass parties of the Social Democracy used to sneer at Trotsky's little handful and his insignificant Fourth International. The heroes of the London Bureau, the centrists who, if they could four years - produced documents which are the basic pro-gram of the movement that we uphold today.

And, in addition to that, out of the Third International. before it died and long before it was buried, came the initiating cadres of the Fourth International. Thus, looking at the thing always from the standpoint of the international proletariat and disregarding no elements in the whole survey, whether they are positive or negative, we have a right to



say that the balance sheet of the Communist International, in spite of everything, shows a great historical credit balance.

Stalin can bury the dead organization but he cannot bury the great progressive work the Comintern accomplished in its first years. He cannot bury the Fourth International which has risen, phoenix-like, from the ashes of the Third. We know very well and we don't try to conceal the fact that the numbers of the Fourth International are small. But its ideas are correct, its program represents historical necessity, and, therefore, its victory is assured. Its program consciously formulates the instinctive demands of the workers and the colonial peoples for emancipation from capitalism, fascism and war.

Even today, striking workers who never even heard of the Fourth International, are denounced as "Trotskyists" whenever they stand up for their rights, just as the workers and soldiers in Russia in 1917 under Kerensky were denounced on every side as "Bolsheviks" and heard then, for the first, time in the denunciations, the word "Bolshevik." Trotsky relates in his "History of the Russian Revolution" how they began to say to themselves, "If what they are accusing us of is Bolshevism, then we had better be Bolsheviks."

So it will be again wherever workers stand up for their rights, express their instinctive will to struggle for a better future. and are denounced as Trotskyists. In good time they will learn the name of the Fourth International, its meaning, its program, and ally themselves with it.

## **Arguments For The Comintern Dissolution**

#### By M. Morrison

In dissolving the Communist | Comintern came from Stalin or International, the Presidium, anyone else at that time. under the orders of Stalin of If the victory of fascism course, felt compelled to furnish brought a new factor into the some reasons for such an un-situation, it could only be of a expected and drastic measure. nature which would make all the This was necessary not only to more necessary the existence of justify the dissolution before an International. For the victory public opinion but to furnish a of fascism in one country line' for the puppet leaders of strengthens the fascist movement the Stalinist parties to follow. in all other countries. Fascism is Without such a line there was the an international danger and, far danger that the little bureaucrats from einbg a factor leading to would give various and contradicthe dissolution of an Internatory reasons and thus make contional. should be the cause of its fusion worse confounded. Natu- building and strengthening. rally there was no danger that

the petty bureaucrats would disagree with the decision to dissolve the C. I. There was only danger that they would not all agree upon the explanation for the dissolution.

be adduced as one of the reasons It was out of the question for for the dissolution of the C. I. the heads of the Comintern to But there it is right in the angive the real reasons for the disnouncement of the dissolution solution. Stalinist leadership exand one must deal even with the ists by virtue of deceit as well stupidest of arguments. Does it as of violence. To state the truth mean that an international is orwould mean to disillusion the ganized solely for training imollowers of the Stalinist system. mature parties? To be sure, How could Stalin possibly state that is one of the functions of hat the C. I. was founded for the an International. But its reason purpose of promoting the world revolution, that this purpose had cause immature parties have beong ago been given up and that its only function was to control the various sections so that they would remain loyal servants of the Stalinist bureaucracy? How could he state that, since it was possible to control the Communist parties without the apparatus of the Comintern, it was well worth while to abolish it in order to present and future bargaining with the capitalist states? Stalin had to present reasons

come mature and small parties have become large. Since an International is organized for the purpose of common action on a world scale, it would follow that the stronger and the more mature the parties are the greater the justification for the existence of an International. It is only when create a better atmosphere for tional organized for the purpose of world revolution could hope to consummate its purpose. If the growth and maturity of

Stalinist stupidity and cras-

sness have no limits. It is almost

impossible to believe that the

"growth and political maturity

of the Communist parties" should

for existence does not cease be-

which give the appearance of a the Communist parties constitute theoretical approach. This kind of an approach is best in order to then it should have been dissolved deceive his followers who are before the German, French and unable to see through the flimsy Czech parties were annihilated character of the theory. and reduced to a small group of bureaucrats functioning in Mos-

The organizational form chosen cow. Those parties were many by the First Congress of the Com- times larger than the American nunist International has been, party, today the largest party of outgrown by the growth of the the C. I.

novement. This is the basic By "growth and political matueason advanced to justify the rity" Stalin must mean such a lissolution. The statement of disureaucratization and degenerasolution does not show how the tion of the Communist parties organizational form has been that he can be certain of their outgrown. And even assuming support without guiding and hat the organizational form has watching them. It is quite true een outgrown, why is it not that the Stalinist parties will possible to change that form to support the Stalinist bureaucracy conform with the growth of the no matter what its policies are. rganization? All the arguments propounded in

The dissolution of an organiza- the Soviet press will be faithfully tion founded for the purpose of copied by the Stalinist press in achieving a political and social the rest of the world. This does objective is justified either by the not mean that Stalin is ready to gaining of the objective or the permit the Stalinist parties to impossibility of carrying on any decide for themselves what to do vork under the given circum-on important questions, especially stances. Marx and Engels found those involving the Stalinist themselves compelled to dissolve bureaucracy. Stalin is not the the First International because of one to take chances on his the second situation. To justify henchmen. the dissolution of the Third International Stalin must neces-Not a single plausible argusarily falsify the reason for the ment is advanced in the statedissolution of the First Interment of dissolution justifying national. throwing the Comintern over-Another reason advanced for board. Naturally a revolutionary the dissolution is the differences Marxist is the last one to weep in conditions existing in the counover the dissolution of an orgatries of the world. No one will nization which is in reality an deny the existence of those dif- obstacle to the revolutionary ferences but why did not Stalin, movement. If Stalin had furnishwho lived at the time of the ed the world with the real reason founding of the International, ob- for the dissolution of the C. I., it ject to its being organized on the would have constituted the only ground that conditions in the progressive thing he did since the various countries were different? Stalinist bureaucracy began the No one would have argued that struggle against revolutionary conditions were the same all over Marxism. In dissolving the the world. Comintern, Stalin has presented The International was organiz- the world with reasons that are ed on the basic premise that, in not only false but extremely spite of the differences of condi- reactionary in their implications. tions existing in the various The Statement of dissolution countries, the world as a whole frees the sections of the Cominwas united by the growth of the tern from their obligations arisproductive forces and the world- ing from the statutes and resoluwide system of exchange. If one tions of the Congresses of the were to consider differences in Communist International. A comonditions as an obstable to the pletely superfluous statement. organization of an International, For Stalin freed the sections of then no International would have the statutes and resolutions of ever been organized. the Congresses long ago. For The Stalinists may argue that many years his policies have a new factor has arisen since the been contrary to the resolutions organization of the Comintern, of the first four Congresses. The sharpening the differences be-sections of the Comintern have ween the countries. That factor been instruments of the foreign could be only the victory of policy of the Stalinist bureaucascism in Germany. Fascism, racy. They will continue that nowever, came on the scene when same role until Stalin decides it Lenin and Trotsky led the Third will best serve his interests to International. Mussolini came into do away with the Stalinist power before Lenin died. No sug- parties altogether. gestion for the dissolution of the (To be continued)

Trotsky did not consider in the first place numbers, popularity, success of the moment, any more than did Marx and Engels and Lenin. He considered historical necessity. He considered the task of formulating for the proletariat the program showing the shortest road to the realization of its historical

not organize mass parties could, at least, talk about them, used to argue against Trotsky that he didn't have many followers. And the Stalinists, backed by the limitless material resources of the Soviet Union, with money, tremendous apparatus, a subsidized bureaucracy, and GPU murder machine at their disposal --- with all this tremendous weight at their side, they hounded, persecuted and derided Trotsky and the Fourth International.

But in the brief period since the Founding Congress of the Fourth International, in a brief five years, every other international organization of the workers has been hurled down to ruin as Trotsky predicted they would be, without one stone left standing on another. This was the fate of the Second International of Social Democracy, of the London Bureau of the centrists, and now it is the fate of the Stalinists, admitted and acknowledged by themselves. They have all been destroyed by the war, as Trotsky said they would be. But the Fourth International remains. And with it lives the principle of internationalism which alone can show the tortured masses of the world the way out of war and slavery to the socialist future of humanity.

In this past period since 1864, each international organization of the workers in passing from the historical scene, left something accomplised, left something behind upon which its successor could build for the future.

The First International left an imperishable ideal, an unsullied record, as an inspiration for the workers from that day to this, a glorious memory.

The Second International died ignominiously through betrayal in 1914. Nevertheless, in the period from 1889 to that fatal day in August, 25 years later, it built great mass organizations of the workers, and handed on experience in organization of incalculable value, upon which the Third International was able to build. Also, the initial cadres of the Third International didn't fall from the sky. They came right out of the heart of the Second International. Thus, in spite of everything, the Second International left a great heritage.

The Third International, which has ended now in shame and disgrace, has nevertheless left behind the richest treasures for the future. Its founders, Lenin and Trotsky belong to us; nobody can dissolve the tie that binds the new generation of revolutionary workers to Lenin and Trotsky, to their teachings, their example, their beautiful memory. The record of the long internal struggle from 1923 to this date, the struggle of Trotsky and his co-thinkers and disciples, belongs to the proletariat of the world. The record of that struggle is the basic literature upon which the whole new generation which is destined to lead the world will be educated and trained. The first four Congresses of the Comintern, held under Lenin's leadership in 1919, 1920, 1921, 1922 — four congresses in

### Long Live the Fourth International!

No one can dissolve the Fourth International. It is the real Comintern and it will keep the banner unfurled in the faces of all traitors and renegades. And we assert confidently that it will be strengthened and grow and triumph until its organized ranks merge with the whole mass of humanity. The song which no Stalin can render obsolete ends its chorus with the words: "The International shall be the human race." And this chorus has a profound political meaning. It is not merely a poetical expression.

The peoples of the world in the various countries, through coordinated international effort, will pass over, in their great historic march, from capitalism to socialism through the transitional period of the dictatorship of the proletariat. As they progress toward the complete classless socialist society, all the various workers' organizations which have been instruments and mechanisms of the class struggle, that is, the parties, the unions, the cooperatives, the soviets, will gradually lose their original functions. As the classes are abolished and class struggles consequently ended, all these instruments of class struggle, will tend to coalesce into one united body. And that one united body will be the organized world society of the free and equal. The International shall really be the human race.

We disciples of Marx, Lenin and Trotsky, we partisans of the Fourth International, retain undimmed that vision of the future. To see that vision even now, to see it clearly through the fire and the smoke of the war, is simply to be in accord with historical development, to foresee the inevitable march of events and to prepare for them. To fight for this vision of the socialist future, to hasten its realization, is the highest privilege and the greatest happiness for a civilized man or woman in the world today.

#### 

#### Now on the Press The Struggle for a Proletarian Party By James P. Cannon

This companion volume to Leon Trotsky's "In Defense of ism" contains the basic documents on the building of a workers' party, written during the fight against the Bourgeois opposition.

#### Price: Paper Cover, \$1.50 Clothbound, \$2.00 Order now from

Pioneer Publishers, 116 University Pl. New York

ZAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYAYA

	SUBSCRIBE NOW TO
	The Militant
.	WEEKLY
arx- wine etty-	Clip and mail this coupon THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASSOCIATION 116 University Place, New York 3, N. Y.
	I enclose [] \$1.00 for a 6 month subscription to The Militant [] \$2.00 for a year's subscription to The Militant.
	Name
Г. Ү.	City

FOUR-

### THE MILITANT Published in the interests of the Working People

Saturday, June 12, 1943 VOL. VII-No. 24

Published Weekly by THE MILITANT PUBLISHING ASS'N at 116 University Place, New York, 3, N. Y. Telephone: ALgonquin 4-8547

THE MILITANT follows the policy of permitting its contributors to present their own views in signed articles. These views therefore do not necessarily represent the policies of THE MILI-TANT which are expressed in its editorials.

Subscriptions: \$2.00 per year; \$1.00 for 6 months. Foreign: \$3.00 per year, \$1.50 for 6 months. Bundle orders: 3 cents per copy in the United States; 4 cents per copy in all foreign countries. Single copies: 5 cents.



To defend the USSR as the main fortress of the world proletariat, against all assaults of world imperialism and of internal counter-revolution, is the most important duty of every class-conscious worker.

- LEON TROTSKY

#### JOIN US IN FIGHTING FOR:

- 1. Military training of workers, financed by the government, but under control of the trade unions. Special officers' training camps, financed by the government but controlled by the trade unions, to train workers to become officers.
- 2. Trade union wages for all workers drafted into the army.
- **3.** Full equality for Negroes in the armed forces and the war industries-Down with Jim Crowism everywhere.
- 4. Confiscation of all war profits. Expropriation of all war industries and their operation under workers' control.
- 5. A rising scale of wages to meet the rising cost of living.
- 6. Workers Defense Guards against vigilante and fascist attacks.
- 7. An Independent Labor Party based on the Trade Unions.
- 8. A Workers' and Farmers' Government.

in defense of the legitimate interests of its members. It would prevent one union from supporting another, and the officers of an international union, from aiding its constituent locals. This ax placed in the hands of the administration can be wielded not only against the miners but against any union which fights for its rights.

The uninterrupted attacks upon the labor movement emanating in the past few months from the Democratic-Republican coalition have demonstrated again and again that the trade-unions cannot get along under present conditions without a political party of their own. Such an Independent Labor Party could not only ward off the blows of the ultra-reactionary Democratic-Republican union wreckers in Washington but could press for prolabor, tax, price and other legislation which would benefit the working people instead of the couponclippers and union-hating industrialists.

How much the capitalist Congressmen and polltaxers fear this prospect of independent labor political action is evidenced by the fact that the Connally bill prohibits labor unions from making contributions to political parties. This is one more good reason for opposing the bill. It is another good reason for creating a Labor Party.

### **Two Revolutions**

Last Friday the Argentine government, last important neutral in the western hemisphere, was overthrown. The Argentine masses were conspicuously absent from this carefully-prepared military coup d'etat executed by Generals admittedly no less reactionary in their views than Castillo. One General was Minister of War in the deposed President's cabinet.

Nevertheless this change of regime was welcomed in Washington and London because it appeared that the new dictators would be far more cooperative with the Anglo-American allies. The N.Y. Times even discerned a "sunrise" of democracy for Argentina in this somewhat undemocratic overturn. "The Argentine revolution seems to be about as democratic as military revolution can be." (N. Y. Times, June 6.)

In India hundreds of millions of people have been struggling to throw off their backs the hated English exploiters and oppressors. Thousands of their leaders have been jailed, beaten, maimed, murdered. A reign of terror rules India behind the black curtain of censorship.

Neither London nor Washington have expressed any pleasure at this movement of the Indian mass-

A newly-installed military dictatorship in Argentina — that's occasion for rejoicing among the "United Nations."

A democratic regime and national freedom for India — that's to be condemned and stamped upon ruthlessly.

Just how do these two attitudes square with the claims that this is a people's war?

national



In recent weeks several

# **Coal Operators Block Agreement With UMW**

(Continued from page 1)

comply with his strike-breaking orders would be reclassified by their local draft boards. The Policy Committee of the

their ranks. to return to work on Monday, June 7, made clear that this ac-Both the AFL and CIO Extion was only another truce "up ecutive Boards, meeting in the to and including June 20." The midst of the coal crisis, saw fit Policy Committee directive stated to condemn the miners' strike that "this action was taken to and to take that occasion to protect your union and its memreiterate their determination to bership.' keep the labor movement in the

The lackeys of the coal and chains of the no-strike pledge. steel barons in Congress, how- This treacherous conduct was ever, are busy right now, trying climaxed on June 2 when the to protect their masters against AFL and CIO representatives the eventuality of another genjoined with labor-hating indueral miners' strike. Both the strialists and their professorial House and Senate have passed lackeys of the WLB in denouncversions of the Smith-Connally ing the second miners' strike and anti-strike bill, the most ambidirecting the mine operators to break off negotiations with the organizational and united labor tious attempt at union-busting, yet to come out of Congress. If unions. These traitorous "laborthis bill is permitted to become statements" sought to drive the law, John L. Lewis, all the ofthe miners when they supported into solid organizational backing. knife deeper into the hearts of ficers of the UMW, as well as the ordinary committee men in Roosevelt's order instructing the the mines, could be fined \$5,000 coal miners to return to work and sent to jail in case the coal with the implied threat that miners walk out again on June troops would be sent to the mine 20 and the officers of the UMW fields if the miners did not comply refuse to convert themselves into with his order. scab-herders and strike- breakers.

MINER'S FIGHT CONTINUES It is now clear that the industrialists would like to provoke The men who dig the coal are the coal miners into another still continuing the fight. Their strike and be in a position to magnificent conduct in the second crush it in cold blood. miners' strike has demonstrated that they are contemptuous of AFL-CIO LEADERS

threats and that their hearts are BEAR ONUS indeed of oak. In the last three Not the least responsibility for months they have so dramatized the hard fight which the miners the plight of the coal miners that are forced to wage on behalf of no one dares repeat today the their just demand falls on the slander that the miners have no



#### Militant Unionism—The Enemy of Jim Crow

Militant unionism is a power- | the yard. The Metropolitan daily ful weapon in the struggle for PM, states that "the causes of the racial equality. Striking proof of disturbance are complex." this came with the announcement only solution offered by the capi last week that the Ford River talist press is to call out the Na-Rouge plant's Negro employ- tional Guard after the outbreak ment office had been abolished. has occurred.

One of the demands of the UAW Mobile, which ordinarily houses has been for the closing of this 80,000 people, must now care for Jim-crow hiring hall which has 250,000 warworkers. Add to the IN JUNE F. I. been in existence since the end ill-temper generated by bad livof the first world war. Ford's ing conditions a long list of unscheme to pit white against Ne- settled grievances held by the gro worker to maintain his open- workers against the company, try and precipitated a rift in the such as favoritism in promotion

heads of the top officialdom of grievances and that the mine the AFL and CIO. From the first strikes arose as a result of the days of the coal controversy they action of one individual. They have acted as the waterboys for have given the lie to this slander Roosevelt. They have supported again in the battle they waged his his attempts, to isolate' the against calling off the second UMW, in ordering the coal miners miners and to break the unity of mine strike and granting another truce

Even as late as Wednesday June 9, thousands of miners in Pennsylvania, W. Virginia, Ohio, and Kentucky refused to return to work until the operators signed a satisfactory contract with the union.

The miners may not have many friends among the top officialdom of the AFL and CIO. But the rank and file of the most progres sive unions of both labor federations have demonstrated that their heroic fight. The problem of the miners today is how to achieve support for their fight; how to translate the moral sympathy of the AFL and CIO rank and file The miners must insist that their Policy Committee employ the same aggressiveness and energy displayed in the coal fight in securing the active backing of the auto workers, rubber workers, steel workers, etc., etc. The miners' fight is crucial for the whole labor movement. The solidarity of the most important industrial unions in this fight will insure victory. It is the duty of every trade union militant to work for the achievement of such a united labor front.

#### CORPORATION **ADMITS GUILT**

Anaconda Wire and Cable Co. of Indiana, one of the corporations caught red-handed in selling defective materials for Lend-Lease and the armed forces, has "agreed to enter pleas of nolo contendere in the government's \$5,000,000 war case against them.' fraud (N. Y. Times, June 8.)

The company was indicted last December by a grand jury on eight counts of conspiracy to defraud

The case against these profiteers is so airtight that they have chosen to throw themselves on the mercy of the court rather than have their crimes openly aired.

# TIMELY ARTICLES

The three month coal crisis which has shaken the entire counlations between Roosevelt and

### Important UAW Locals **Back Labor Party**

By JOHN SAUNDERS

DETROIT, June 4.-The strikes of the miners, the rubber workers of Akron, the auto workers of Detroit, and the series of lesser strikes throughout the country, make clear that the apathy which has for so long permeated the ranks of labor is beginning to disappear. In the course of these strikes the workers have run headlong against the entire governmental machinery which has forced them back on the job without securing the many demands or settling the grievances which have been piling up since Pearl Harbor. This has at least given the workers sufficient food for thought. To help along their thinking process both houses of Congress have been pushing through antistrike and anti-union legislation.

These events have squarely posed before the rank and file workers of Detroit, the absolute necessity of forming an Independent Labor Party. The sentiment in the ranks was best expressed by a worker on the floor at the last meeting of Hudson Local 154-UAW on May 28 when he stated: "We are sick and tired of the 'friends of labor.' What we want and need are labor men in Congress."

This local then and there went on record for a labor party and directed its representatives to the coming State CIO convention on June 28 at Detroit to speak for the formation of such a party. This followed similar action by Briggs Local 212, Detroit Steel Products Local 351, Fleetwood Local 15, and Chevrolet Drop Forge Local 262, all of the UAW. Other locals in Detroit and Flint have been holding educational meetings around the labor party question, indicating the direction in which the workers are moving.

The Labor's Non-Partisan League Convention which takes place on June 11-12 will most likely further help to crystallize the sentiments of the ranks and push them forward on the road of independent political action. Although the LNPL is far from being a répresentative body of all the local unions of Michigan, any progressive action taken at this convention will stimulate the political awakening of the workers and serve as a basis for the formation of a progressive group that will push independent labor action at the next State CIO convention.

That the Stalinists fear the setting up of an independent labor party was clearly indicated in a recent meeting of the Wayne County Council which they dominate and where the Stalinist wheelborse Nat Ganley, business agent of Local 155-UAW, attacked the LNPL for fostering independent political action on the part of labor. Ganley said it was alright to have the American Labor Party in New York where the Democratic Party was controlled by the Farley forces who where opposed to Commander-in-Chief Roosevelt and where the Republicans were likewise against the President's policies. This condition did not apply to Detroit, maintained Ganley, where the Democratic Mayor Jeffries was a good Roosevelt man and therefore there was no need for setting up a labor party. The Democratic machine, which Ganley admitted was thoroughly riddled with old-line wardheelers, is good enough for the Stalinists.

Just as in their attempts to stop the workers from strike struggles, so by their opposition to an Independent Labor Party the Stalinists have exposed their reactionary role to new sections of the working class whose political consciousness is awakening in this period. The inexorable logic of the present situation is driving the workers to independent political action. The resolutions of the Briggs, Hudson, Steel Prod Fleetwood and Chevrolet Locals are signs of this trend.

9. The defense of the Soviet Union against imperialist attack.

### **Czar Byrnes**

President Roosevelt has appointed James F. Byrnes Director of War Mobilization, with the most extensive powers granted to an individual in this war. Byrnes, it is reported, will function as "Assistant President," supervising domestic life while the Commander-in-Chief concentrates upon military and international affairs.

Roosevelt's new lieutenant-commander was for many years a poll-tax Senator from South Carolina. He has been notoriously an enemy of labor and a friend of Jim Crow. He opposed the Wage-Hour law and most other progressive labor legislation and fought bitterly against the anti-lynch bill while in the Senate. He never made any secret of his hostility toward the under-dogs, black or white. Byrnes helped block passage of the Federal. anti-child-labor law because the mill operators of his state profited from the work of youngsters.

The CIO and AFL recently condemned Byrnes for manipulating the War Labor Board like a puppet from behind the scenes and blocking any reasonable wage-increases for the workers in the packing-houses, steel mills, and arms plants.

Now this anti-labor, anti-Negro politician rules over organized labor and the rest of the American people.

The capitalist-controlled press has said that the President's appointment "is definitely a move in the right direction." They mean that it is definitely a move to the right. Roosevelt's increasingly reactionary anti-labor program requires men such as Byrnes to execute it.

### **Connally Bill**

131 Republicans and 100 Democrats supported the Connally anti-strike and slave labor bill which was adopted by the House last week after passing the Senate. The principal Congressional sponsors of this vicious legislation, Senator Connally of Texas and Representative Howard Smith of Virginia, are leading figures in Roosevelt's Democratic Party.

The provisions and penalties of this bill make it virtually impossible for any union to take action

weeklies and daily papers have published editorials condemning Postmaster General Walker's revocation of The Militant's second-class mailing rights. Colliers's Magazine for May 8 writes: "If the Post Office Department can make this ban stick. . . what publications may not the Post Office be banning next, on similar pretext? We're glad to see the American Civil Liberties Union go to bat for The Militant; and we hope The Militant (though we disagree absolutely with what we understand it habitually says) will win the fight."

The Philadelphia Record for May 13 points out that: "Grounds on which The Militant has been suppressed by the Post Office Department establish a dangerous precedent. . . As the New York Times observed editorially, 'encroachments on the freedom of the press almost always begin with publications we can do without and affect individuals with whom most of us do not sympathize.' The paper has criticized the Darlan deal, the Government's policy on labor and treatment of Negroes."

The March 25 Chicago Daily News remarks: that "in any case, the mailing privileges of a periodical ought not to be at the mercy of a mere official whim." And the Chicago Tribune adds on March 29: "The jungle of postal rules and regulations can be employed as a means of suppressing or censoring the free press, and this power, in the hands of a department head who is also the leader of the entrenched political party, is dangerous to a degree that can hardly be exaggerated. The postal laws have been abused in the past, and there is no assurance now that the administration may not seek to invoke its postal policing powers against others in political opposition.

"The postal regulations as now drawn make possible roundabout invasion of freedom of utterance and deprive the object of this attack of access to the courts and the right of review, since he is compelled to stand his trial and offer his defense before the very authorities of the postal department who have condemned his publication in the first instance."

The Postmaster General's attack upon The Militant is such a threat to freedom of the press that even powerful capitalist publications have been impelled to protest against it.

It Is Time to Build An Independent Labor Party

came to an end with the smashing victory of the CIO no uniform standard of upgrad-United Automobile Worker's uning and classification, and inefficient management. Actually ion. Now, with Negro and white worker united in the ranks of the large party of the responsibility of the Fourth International just falls upon the company which has off the press. This noted midunion, he has been forced to abexploited the 'backwardness of west trade-unionist reviews the andon another instrument of segregation. these workers to prevent an ex- development of the dispute be

Another important example of what united action can do is reported in the May 27th issue of the California Eagle. The Sun farms and plantations, are still fight upon the entire labor moveraw with race prejudice. One Shipbuilding Company of Chester.

must also record the fact that Pa. took care of the race question Mobile is the stamping ground by segregating over 9,000 Negro workers in one of its shipyards. Last week this company found itself face to face with a united League for White Supremacy. war World" which demonstrates front of Negro and white workcharged for an explosion. ers when it attempted to crush a CIO organizing drive by firing According to a correspondent some Negro union members.

for the Peoples Voice the imme-We quote from the Eagle's edi- diate cause of the disturbance was torial: "The company which open- the assignment of some Negro ed its Jimcrow dock amid nation- welders to the night-shift. They al fan-fare and a merry windfall produced more in one night than of self-congratulation is furiously the white crew had produced in combatting efforts of the Intera week. The white workers who national Union of Marine and had instituted a slow-up to protest Shipyard Workers of America CIO, to organize the plant. Among CIO demands are an end to the on the job.

Jim-crow employment of Negroes It is plain that the company segregated rest room accommoda pitted workers of one race against | Party on the dissolution of the tions, and the refusal to permit the other to speed up production Negroes in advanced training and threaten the white welders for Italy by Felix Morrow, and classes. At the very moment last with possible loss of jobs. While The Month In Review by the week, when the Marine Eagle, the company was supposedly up- Editors. first entirely Negro built ship grading the Negro workers, it was launched, the Company fired was really using them to speed

two of five Negro delegates apup the white workers without pointed to a sixteen man CIO settling their grievances. This is ager, 116 University Place, New grievance committee. This action the oldest trick the capitalist | York 3, N. Y. precipitated a strike movement class knows: divide the workers which found white workers threat and set them fighting amongst ening to walk out unless their themselves.

Negro brothers were re-hired... State militia patrolling th The Jim-crow dock which was nighway are not going to solve to have "solved" the race question the problem. That is up to the at Sun Shipbuilding Co. has been workers themselves and their un revealed for what it is, an open ion. Naturally, we hope the un attempt to divide the workers ion, The Marine and Shipyard and maintain a company union." Workers of America, CIO, will dis The editorial concludes with this cipline any of its white members caustic judgement: "The case who took part in the disgraceful against segregation is proved by affair. But it is also necessary American industry's biggest ex- for the union to direct the resent periment in segregation." ment of the workers into the proper channels-against the bosses in

fight to end open-shop condi-

The capitalist press has prefertions. Only in this way can the ed to leave the race disturbances union gain authority over the which occurred at the Mobile shipmen and teach them the importyards of the Alabama Dry Docks ance of workingclass unity. In and Shipbuilding Co. on May 25th, the fight against the company the shrouded in mystery. Some 22 workers will quickly learn it is Negro workers were injured by only the employer who gains mobs of backward white workers from the division of white and who set upon Negroes working in Negro workers.

organized labor carefully is analyzed by E. R. Frank in his important article in the June issue tension of the union influence. tween the UMW and the coal Thousands of these war work- operators and explains the proers, who have just come off the found consequences of the miners ment

Albert Parker, popular columnist on The Negro Struggle in for racial demagogues of all The Militant, contributes an arkinds, from the KKK to the ticle on "The Negro in the Post-One sees that the atmosphere was why Jim Crow will not only continue but become worse if decay ing capitalism is not replaced by socialist society.

The Fourth International leserves congratulations for making available in English for the first time one of the classic documents from the literature of the October Revolution, Larissa Reissner's eye-witness account of bad working-conditions accused the birth of the Red Army at the Negro welders of speeding up Svyazhsk. Also featured in the June issue is the official statement of the Socialist Workers Comintern, Washington's Plans

> The F. I. sells for 20 cents a copy: \$2 for a year's subscription. Order from the Business Man-



116 University Place New York City



To its record of bankruptcy, the OPA is adding new scandalous pages of petty grafting, profiteering, etc. In recent days have come revelations concerning Mr. Maxom, deputy administrator of the Detroit OPA, who utilized his post in order to stock up rationed food items, coffee, sugar, etc. in the Maxon "cabin," consisting of 11 buildings, three bars, a baseball diamond and a golf course.

In New York Russell M. Potter, on quitting his post as local OPA director, charged that "right within the heart of the OPA we have a situation more insidious than any Black Market." (N. Y. Times, June 4.)

The OPA and its policies were denounced last month as "a complete flop" by the AFL Executive Council. Its statement declared that there had been only "feeble, fumbling, half-hearted efforts. . . to keep prices in check;" that the entire price control program "has broken down;" and the much publicized roll-back was just a "mockery."

Instead of reducing prices, the roll-back policy of the OPA, the AFL statement adds, has made it possible "for profiteers to create artificial food shortages and to reap unconscionable profits through black market operations."

Two weeks ago, 200 members of Local 12 UAW-CIO picketed the Toledo office of the OPA, carrying placards demanding an end to sky-rocketing food costs. This demonstration was conducted by shop stewards and committeemen who spoke for 30,000 workers in sixty of Toledo's industrial plants.

On June 4, Green and Murray left a joint statement in the White House declaring that the OPA "has failed to roll back or even hold prices of strategic cost of living items. Many OPA dollars and cents price ceilings are higher than the high food prices reached in the first week in May."

This being the actual case, one would think that the AFL-CIO leaders would stop pinning any hopes on such a discredited institution. Instead, the leaders of the UAW-CIO plead in full-page ads on June 3 that the "OPA should be strengthened not destroyed." Green and Murray rush to Washington-to appeal that the OPA be "compelled" to do what it is impotent to accomplish.

Real wage increases, not phony tongue-in-the-check promises, are what all workers need to meet soaring living costs. That is why the miners' struggle has the overwhelming support of the rank and file of organized labor.