WORKERS OF THE WORLD. UNITE

> Organ of the Communist League of America [Opposition] Entered as second class mail matter, November 28, 1928 at the Post Office at New York, N. Y. under the act of March 3, 1879. Weekly

<u>**THEMILITANT</u></u></u>**

VOLUME IV, NO. 16 [WHOLE NO. 75]

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, JULY 25, 1931

Stalinism and the German Crisis Demonstrate on August 1

The «Daily Worker» Contributes Three Errors To a Serious Question

The decisive influence exerted by the intervention of America in the stabilization of European capitalism, following to the Communists, will the capitalists the defeat of the German proletariat in | turn to the last resort-naked force ex-1928, was sufficient to establish its role as the greatest counter-revolutionary tatorship, by its ruthless abolition of factor on an international scale. It is from this that the present attempt of away millions of workers from the sup-Hoover, of which the moratorium proposals are a first step, derives its enormous importance and calls for the most monstrated that Fascism can have a careful analysis by the Communists. Unless we see the thing straight, we cannot expect to bring forward in time the appropriate counter-measures. And it class. The imperialist bourgeoisie whose is here, just as in 1923 and 1924, that fate is bound up with the fate of Gerthe blunders are being made by the official leaders.

ANOTHER FORM OF AMERICAN HEGEMONY

The role of America as a "stabilizer" was greatly facilitated in the previous instance by the blunders of the Comintern. The present endeavors to hold off a revolutionary crisis, receive the same gratuitous assistance. Now, as then scientific analysis gives way to guesswork and insistence on pre-conceived theories which are refuted by the events themselves. And in this work, the American Stalinists distinguish themselves above all others. They bid fair to match the hegemony of American imperialism with a peculiar hegemony of their own in the realm of stupidity.

They are hindered from a correct approach to these great international events by a false theory which they have built around themselves like a prison wall. And, as though the theory of socialism in one country were not sufficient to hide the real international processes from them, they have superimposed upon it another one to make blindness doubly sure. Such is the purpose served by the theory of "fascism" and "social fascism" as the editorial comments of the Daily Worker illustrate.

In a previous issue we called attention to the failure of the Daily Worker to consider the German revolution in its first analysis of Hoover's action and its purposes. In the issue of July 14th the leading editorial of the Daily Worker ing but confusion to the question. attempts to make good this "oversight" In doing so, however, it makes at least three other mistakes, and leaves the ori-German revolution, which was left out of account entirely at first, is sprinkled throughout the editorial of July 14th. But in spite of that, the repeated references do not fit into the structure of the analysis. They stand out awkardly, like "back-writing", as though they were

* * * Only when the majority of the workers turn away from the social democracy pressed through Fascism. A Fascist dicdemocratic forms, would thereby turn port of the regime, and correspondingly oundermine it. It has never yet been destable endurance in such a highly industrialized country as Germany, with a powerful and well organized working man capitalism, have no interest to plunge into this desperate alternative of

their own volition. On the contrary, they have every reason to reinforce and support the social democracy and the parliamentary forms which have served them and saved them up to now. They will not risk Fascism till they have to, not even to justify the theories of the Daily Worker and the rest of the Stal-

inists. The third mistake of the Daily Worker editorial, in which they tip their hat belatedly to the German proletarian rev-

olution is embodied in the statement! that the imperialists "prepare for armed intervention against any proletarian revolution in Germany". Taken by itself and presented as a supplement to the present interference in German affairs, such a declaration of imperialist intentions would be self-evident. But the Stalinists, in offering it as the crux of the imperialist attitude toward the German revolution. distort the picture entirely. The imperialists are intervening now against the German revolution! They are doing so because they see it as the greatest danger in the present situation to the whole structure of world imperialism, and the most powerful reinforcement of the Soviet Union. The prole-

tariat will be able to frustrate the maneuvers of imperialism only to the extent that it sees the heart of the problem with equal clarity. Stalinist theories and conclusions, which the Daily Worker raises to the apex of absurdity, contribute noth-

—J. P. C.

ginal one uncorrected. The idea of a Second League Conference

The second national conference of the Communist League of America (Opposition) has been called by the national committee to take place on September 24 to September 27 in the city of New York. In this issue of the Militant, the written in after the fact, to disarm crit- principal conference thesis is published

Against Imperialist War!

Behind the thick smoke of pacifist assurances and "disarmament" conferences, the imperialist world today presents a picture of a more thoroughly armed camp than the days preceding the world war of 1914. The United States piously demands the reduction of armaments in Europe, fortified by the certainty that under any conditions its industrial and financial superiority will give it the edge in a military conflict. France has just announced that it has "reduced" its armaments as far as it intends to.

With the world crisis gaining in fury, capitalism is driven to the wall hunted down by its own inherent contradictions. The big powers are straining forward for a re-division of the world market and of world political power. They know that this rethrough war. They are preparing for it. assisted—as in 1914-by their "socialist" footmen.

Let the workers give a vigorous response to the imperialist war plans on the thirteenth anniversary of the last slaughter. All out in mass to the August First demonstrations this vear!

Paterson, scene of bitterly-fought bat-| Central Falls and Pawtucket, R. I., and | tants, the road to a successful conclutles of the textile workers in past years, is again occupying the center of the out of the silk and dye workers of the clated Silk Workers which, under the in- third in wages. fluence of the Muste group, have recently

strike which they planned to call in their own name on August 1. The Paterson strike follows directly upon the heels of textile strikes under

N. T. W. U. leadership in Providence,

strike field. The National Textile Work- ments are indicative of the deep ferment ers Union has issued the call for a walk- of dissatisfaction among the textile work- sults. The workers cannot be deceived ers in the country with the conditions city, involving some 20,000 black and of misery and intense exploitation to given to them. white workers of both sexes. The N. which they are subjected, and speak elo-T. W. demands include the eight-hour quently about the splendid opportunities day, an increase in wages, an end to offered to the labor movement to mobildiscrimination against Negroes, young ize the workers on a broad scale for which of the contending forces in the workers and women, equal pay for equal resistance to the ruthless capitalist ofwork, opposition to the speed-up system, fensive. How seriously undermined the unemployment insurance and recognition living standards of the Paterson work. division can be accomplished only of the Union. These demands are virtual ers are, is evidenced by the fact that ly identical with those advanced by the they are compelled-in order to live-In identical with those auvanced by the they are compensed of about one-United Textile Workers and the Asso- to demand an increase of about one-

Paterson On Strike

N.T.W. Must Take Initiative in United Front of All Silk Workers

The conflict in strike dates resulting voted to amalgamate on the eve of the from the division of the ranks into two handicap to the progress and success of the strike. There is no doubt that the joint leadership of the U.T.W. and the A. S. W. has demonstrated a great vacillation and protraction in the negotiations with the manufacturers-in face of the fact that the bulk of the workers involved are keyed up to a high point of enthusiasm for the strike The N. T. W., on the other hand, is not showing itself capable of measuring up to the complicated situation. The attempt to hasten the strike by precipitous actions will not prove to be the best way of mobilizing the majority of the workers for a successful strike struggle.

N. T. W. cannot expect to make the nec-| Act of Pennsylvania. essary progress by a pure and simple "head-on collision" with the reformist unions in the field. The response on the first day has been very limited, embracof Kentucky did not fail to express their 20,000 workers. As a matter of fact, less than 1000 have thus far responded to the suddenly issued N. T. W. call, althem at all. Judging from this confer- | though the prospects for broadening the strike are still excellent, making it possible to overcome initial blunders.

The N. T. W. dares not repeat the mistake made in the mine fields by the official Left wing, particularly since its application in the Paterson situation involves even more pointed dangers. The combined membership of the U. T. W. and the A. S. W. is in the vicinity of 3,000. The N. T. W. has little better tion of a year ago. Its value consists than a small core in Paterson. This sit- tion defending only those who agree with in the realization that there are tens uation dictates the immediate need of the present leadership of the Commun initiating and carrying through a genuist party? Are other endangered miliine united front policy. To confine tants to be left in the lurch? Let the themselves to the purely formal, empty workers demand an immediate reckongestures of the united front "only from ing from Engdahl, Maurer and Co. Let below" would be the greatest mistake the party and I. L. D. members demand the Communists could make. Even more that the I. L. D. take over the defense than in the coal fields, where the rivalry in reality, that it discontinue its disis not so direct, the N. T. W. must call graceful conduct which can only provoke upon the other union organizations for a the joy and satisfaction of the canitalunited front conference, to coordinate all ist prosecutor. the forces, to seek a joint strike com-In the meantime funds are urgently mittee in which each side on an equal needed for the defense. Money should plane, shall have full freedom of critic- be sent to K. M. Whitten, treasurer ot ism and action. This is the road to win- the Morgenstern-Goodman Defense Comning the workers to the side of the mili- mittee, 2041 Appletree Street, Phila, Pa.

a silk workers strike under the A. F. of sion of the strike. Up to now, only the L. in Allentown, Pa. All these move- motions of a "united front" have been gone through, with the usual empty reby formalities: the realities must be

In the united front, the workers will learn through their own experiencenot by unimpressive denunciationtrade unions offer them the best program and the best leadership. From such a process of learning, the Left wing can and should have nothing at all to fear. The strike is just at its beginning. The coming weeks are rich with opportunities which, unfortunately, have been so cayalierly passed up by the Left wing in the past, and at this very moment are antagonistic unions, presents a serious being passed up in the mine fields. The N. T. W. in Paterson must seize the op-

portunity.

-M. S.

Pointed Questions To The I.L.D.

Another week has been added to the period of silence which the officials of the International Labor Defense have maintained on the case of Leon Goodman What is further already evident, on and Bernard Morgenstern, two Left Opthe first day of the strike as this is positionists who have been convicted unbeing written (Wednesday), is that the der the notorious Flynn Anti-Sedition

> The August number of the Labor Defender just off the press announces an "Amnesty Campaign" by the I. L. D., with conferences between August 2 and August 8, and demonstrations on the Sacco-Vanzetti anniversary August 22. The various victims of capitalist persecution are listed: Communists, syndicalists, Negro workers, A. F. of L. men and others. Goodman and Morgenstern are deliberately omitted. Why? Solely because in addition to the "crime of violating" the Flynn Act, they have committed the great "crime" in the eyes of the Stalinist officials of the L.L.D. of

belonging to the Left Opposition! We ask: Is the I. L. D., built up as a non-party class defense movement, to be transformed officially into an organiza-

Pittsburgh Convention Shows Need For Unity of Fighting Miners

PITTSBURGH.

titude, stirred the conference. The de-To those who contend that the coal legates of Harlan, Kentucky, to a man. strike is over, or that the National Minpledged their unreserved support to the ers Union is dead, the national confer-National Miners Union. This marks a ence held in Pittsburgh, July 15, is a big step forward.

living refutation. 682 delegates from One fact, no one could fail to notice 270 mines representing a total of 45,491 -the growing political consciousness of striking miners, according to the rethe mine workers: Peals of stormy apport, voiced their approval of the miliplause greeted all remarks however castant policies of the N. M. U. The conual, on the Soviet Union, Communism,¹ ference was completly animated by a and a workers' government in the U.S. fighting spirit. Miners fresh from the A. Even the American backwoodsmen strike battlefront of West Virginia Pennsylvania, and Kentucky, representdisapproval of the social system. The ing all nationalities, black and white, name of Reds, they said, didn't "scarce" young and old, came to Pittsburgh, demonstrating their determination to conence, it is clear that the American worktinue, to spread and to win in their ing class will "jump a few stages" as heroic struggle against starvation. they make their way to Communism.

A Militant Conference Miner after miner took the floor, painting in no uncertain terms the intolerable conditions which brought on the strike. The ridiculously low wages outright robhery in the mine and in the company for the N. M. U. from its sectarian posi-

store, unemployment rampant and pov-

erty stalking all over the coal fields,

icism.

The mentioned editorial has the following to say: "American workers should understand that the same reason which makes all imperialisms strive to turn Germany into an active foe of the Soviet Union, impels them to welcome a Fascist dictatorship in Germany and prepare for armed intervention against any prole tarian revolution in Germany."

Here are three fundamental miscouceptions compressed into one sentence a fairly high mark even for the Daily Worker. First they talk about the imperialist design to "turn Germany into an active foe of the Soviet Union." Is not capitalist Germany by its very naion? Are not the two systems-the Soviet and the capitalist-irreconcilable? Lenin said, and every Marxist knows, that the two cannot live peacefully, that over the death of world capitalism or capitalist Germany not a part of world capialism, is it something standing inbetween? For us the answer to such a question is obvious. And that is why we bank on the German revolution. That is why the capitalists who also approach questions on the other side from the same fundamental class point of view, strive primarily to avert it.

The Stalinists answer these questions in their own way. They are constructing socialism in one country. From this follows their theory of the "neutralization of the bourgeoisie," and the division of the capitalist countries into "active foes" and . . . friends. With such rades are members of the standing comideas, the prospect of revolution in a mittee, and there thus remains only one "friendly country" can easily be overlooked.

IMPERIALISTS AND A FASCIST DICTATORSHIP

Second, they say the imperialists will blow was dealt us. We are compelled to welcome a Fascist dictatorship in Germany. This idea appears a number of difficult conditions of dark reaction. The times in the editorial. They insist on it continually. But, nevertheless, the imperialists do not have such a policy. At the present time, the main prop of capitalism in Germany is the social dem- this time. In answer to the blow of our "cracy functioning through "democratic" forms. Its strength derives from the will double and treble their efforts to illusions of millions of workers in the close our ranks and heal our sores . . democratic deceptions. It is absurd to think that the imperialists will discard this mighty bulwark against revolution

long as it serves its purpose.

the membership. Despite the additional for the consideration and discussion of And added to this is the treacherous two pages in the Militant, we have been compelled to omit from this issue a great deal of valuable material on other questions which will be published in the coming number. The Militant will, however, continue to publish in its columns the discussion material sent to it by League members during the pre-confer-

ence period. The thesis is published on pages three, four and five.

We have just received the following

alarming news from one of the Shanghai

comrades of the Chinese Bolshevik-Len-

"After such a long silence, I am writ

ing to you at the most difficult moment

that the Chinese Opposition is experi-

encing. On May 21-22, thirteen com-

rades were arrested by the Chinese pol-

ice in Shanghai. Six of the comrades are

members of the Central Committee of

the United Opposition (the national con-

gress of the various Opposition groups

was held on May 1-2). Four of the com-

member of the committee (comrade C.)

event occured because a traitor who was

formerly a responsible comrade went to

betray us to the police. We are sure to

make up for the loss we have suffered

enemies and the traitors, our comrades

inists, dated June 23, 1931:

READ AND SUBSCRIBE TO THE MILITANT

have driven the miners into open revolt of thousands of miners, in Illinois, Kanawha, West Virginia and in the anthrole of the U. M. W. of A. Only a deaf racite, under influence other than that man could fail to hear from the speechof the N. M. U. These miners can only es of the rank and file, the undying hatred Lewis and Co. have earned for themselves in the hearts of the coal diggers. The real fighting note of the conference was struck by the picturesque Kentucky delegation. Fresh from the hills of Daniel Boone's country, they let loose a veritable flow of "Kentucky oratory" upon the conference. Their defiance of the thugs and gunmen cloak-

ed with the badge of "constituted authority" their do-or-die-win-the-strike at-

be won over to common struggle through a correct application of the united front tactic. And here lies the hitch in the whole policy of the N. M. U. Borich correctly advocated the building of Left wing minorities in the anthracite U. M. W. A., etc. (shades of the "third per iod"!). But Left wing minorities have their great strength just in so far as they use the tactic of the united front. How is this united front to be accomplished? From below! "No collusion

The purpose that the conference should

have really had was given in the words

of Foster: to realize "a broad enough

united front to include miners irrespec-

tive of political creed or union affilia-

tion." This is undeniably an advance

with fakers"; only rank and file committees, representing all unions, was continually emphasized by Foster, Borich, and Co. Keenex betrayed the West Virginia miners: Howat left the Illinois miners in the lurch; all the other progressives are fakers. Therefore, no united front with them. The first part of the reasoning concerning the progres-

sives is absolutely correct. But the sec The terrible information which our ond part does not follow at all. comrade communicates to us follows dir-A Fosterite "United Front" ectly upon the news published by the The results of this policy are already Daily Worker of the martyrdom at the apparent. The conference was ostensihands of the Chinese nationalist assasbly called as a united front gathering. sins of the secretary of the Chinese It invited rank and file miners from all Communist Party, comrade Hsiang groups to attend-at the same time brand-Chung Fei. The sanguinary terror which ing their leadership as fake. But it could the counter-revolutionary Chinese bournot be a genuine united front since they geoisie has conducted against the pro were merely invited to attend the N.

letariat and peasantry, claiming its vic-M. U. convention. The result was that tims by the tens of thousands leaves little room for doubt that the arrested section of West Virginia, not a solitary comrades, including the leaders of the representative from the Belleville con-Left Opposition, are in imminent danger ference in Illinois (the only Illinois delegates represented a group from the of execution-providing that this punishment for their revolutionary devotion struck Orient mines) a few delegates has not already been visited upon them representing only a handful of those or-

We were about to start work systematicby the butchers of Chiang Kai-Shek. The ganized in the anthracite. ally after so long a split in our ranks. Instead of these "brilliant leaders" Our united organization was hardly in victims of the Chinese white terror, practised by a barbaric bourgeoisie which taking note of this remarkable signpost existence ofr a month when this severe

mounted to power four years ago on the of an incorrect policy, they continue to stirrup held for it by Stalin, Bucharin, plunge deeper in the same wrong dir restore our organization under the most

Martinov and other Mensheviks, must ection. The same negligible results can 2. As was to be expected, the socialbe protected from the Chiangs and Wang already be foreseen from the statement ists won a great victory. This is the Chin Weis by an iron ring of solidarity of the program committee, calling upon crux of the parliamentary situation. The of all the workers in the world. The the "West Virginia miners to fight the voice of protest must sound so loudly | Keeney leadership, as tools of the bosses happy over the fact that they have not. that it compels the executioners to reand to set up rank and file unity conmittees". It was recognized by miner lease their prey. coalition with the bourgeoisie is thus

Demand the release of the imprisoned after miner that thousands were still justified by parliamentary statistics. The Chinese revolutionists! under the influence of the "progressives". socialists do not want to take power be-Demand an end to the horrible white Yet the leadership refused to take any cause they are afraid, and not without terror of the Chinese bourgeoisie and cognizance of this fact beyond namecause, of the socialist government be--N. S. calling and the mythical "united front their imperialist patrons of America, England, France. and Janan! (Continued on page 6) of the proletariat. It follows from the

LEON TROTSKY **Result of Spanish Elections**

The Victory of the Socialists and the Tasks of the Communists

1. I have before me a Turkish paper | speech of Prieto that the socialists have (in French) of July 1, centaining the decided to support the coalition so long first news about the Spanish elections. as the proletariat can be restrained, in Really, everything is happening up to order later, when the pressure of the now in a strictly "foreseen" order. The workers becomes too strong, to pass slide to the Left has gone on with a over into the opposition under some radparticular regularity. Let us hope that ical pretext or other, and to leave it our Spanish comrades will analyze the to the bourgeoisie to discipline and to results of the elections very carefully, crush the workers. In other words, we on the basis of materials. We must have before us a variant of Ebert and of find out how the workers voted, especial- Tseretelli. Let us remember that Ebnot a single delegate from the Kanawha ly the anarcho-syndicalists. In certain ert's line succeeded while Tseretelli's regions, the answer should flow clearly failed and that in both cases the strenfrom the election statistics. It is ex- gth of the Communist party and its policy played a decisive role. tremely important, of course, to know

how the peasants voted in the various 3. We must immediately denounce the provinces. At the same time, all the plan of the socialists (this political "agrarian programs" which were pregame of falling back), confounding them sented by the various parties in all the in every question. This of course holds corners of the country must be gathered true above all for the Spanish Left Optogether. All this is an urgent and a position. But this is not enough. There very important work. must be a clear political slogan which

The Socialist Victory

corresponds to the character of the present stage of the Spanish revolution. The results of the elections make this slogan absolutely clear: the workers must break socialist leaders consider themselves up the coalition with the bourgeoisie and compel the socialists to take power. the majority in the Cortes and that their The peasants must help the workers, if they want to have the land.

4. The socialists will say that they cannot give up the coalition because they have not the majority in the Cortes. Our conclusion must be: demand the coming a stage towards the dictatorship election of a genuinely democratic Cortes (Continued on page 6)



THE MILITANT

IN THE INTERNATIONAL OF LABOR **Reviews and News of the Working Class and Revolutionary Movements**

In Spain Day by Day

A lecture tour on the Russian revolution and the situation in Spain has just been held by comrade Nin in the Asturias. The Asturias are an outstandingly miners' district, where about 30,000 miners work. Nin arrived on the day when the strike broke out. The movement was launched by the revolutionary trade union, led by the Communists and the syndicalists. The strikers demanded espec ially the seven-hour day, established before the dictatorship of l'rimo de Rivera and abolished by the latter. The movement thus had a very acute political character and put to the wall the Provisional Government and above all the exercized hegemony among the miners, the "freedom to work". The socialists themselves opened fire upon the strikers and wounded three women. This contributed enormously to undermining the influence of the reformists who can no longer claim to represent the workers. The strike was effectively followed by more than seventy percent of the miners

the government to find a solution. In reality, the strike was lost, but it dealt a very serious blow to the reformists and strengthened the prestige of the Communists who led the movement.

The secretary of the trade union is an excellent Communist, enjoying a great prestige among the miners and who does not conceal his sympathies for the Left Opposition. The Stalinists have been unable to do a thing against him for they would have encountered the most energetic resistance on the part of the miners. The same also holds true in the case of the secretary of the Youth, who is an avowed Oppositionist and an excellent young militant, working in the arms factory of Oviedo.

Influence of Left Opposition

The Communists have the dominating influence in the district, except for Gijon, where the anarcho-syndicalists prevail. Their state of mind is that of a determined opposition to the party leadership and entirely favorable to unity. But the prestige of the International and of "Moscow" still exercizes a great influence upon them, which prevents them from taking the decisive steps. They have a very pronounced sympathy for us and comrade Loredo is achieving a work in favor of the Opposition the fruits of which we shall soon see.

The lectures of Nin had an enormous success and everywhere a great number of party members came to speak with him at length. At Gijon, for instance, they came in group at the end of the second lecture and one of them said to

tions to be made: the economic revolution, the political revolution, the national revolution and the religious revolu-

3. The Catalonian Communists declare themselves to be separatists. 4. The Catalonian Federation is ac cused of being "Trotskyist" by the Stalinists and of being "Stalinist" by the

Trotskyists; the Federation is in disagreement with the policy of the C. I. in Spain and cannot declare its solidarity with the "Trotskyists" because they . . "believe that the Five Year Plan is the victory of the revolution. . . . " (!)

Nin then showed that the revolution had failed in China, in Germany, etc., just because the Russian experience has been forgotten, that in Spain there were not four revolutions to be made, but only one; that the Communists cannot declare themselves to be separatists, but that they must confine themselves to recogsocialists. Those who a few years ago nizing the right of the peoples to dispose of themselves to the point of sepopenly sabotaged the strike, declaring aration; and finally, that if the Fedthat the strikers were "enemies of the eration was accused of being "Tretskyrepublic" and directly collaborated with ist" by the Stalinists and of being Stalthe Guardia Civil in order to guarantee inist by the Trotskyists, it was because it had no precise political line.

The Relation of Forces

The Madrid Opposition is doing good work and has a pretty substantial influence in the local autonomous Communist section. We have organized a second lecture tour (at Madrid and in the Astand was concluded upon the promise of urias). The monthly review has been well received. A few words on the party and on the Catalonian Federation. The relationship of forces has not chang-

should have about from 70 to 80 mem. for our movement.

The Catalonian Federation progresses. Three months ago it had almost 1,000 The Stalinists are conducting a non-state is begun with a feeble capitalism dues paying members. Now it has 2,700. sensical policy. The Catalonian Feder-The circulation of La Batalla is more ation and the autonomous section of than 20,000. Its orientation remains ir- Madrid have not, truth to tell, any polresolute, undefined. At the Unity Con- icy. The Opposition thus has an enorgress, which will be held in August, mous task to fulfill in this sense. It

there will inevitably come forward a will fulfill it. The review, Communismo, new party. The idea of unification is can play a great role in this. very popular throughout the country. Madrid, June 23, 1931.



tions.

tible rights.

(Continued from last issue)

No, the Spanish monarchy does not constitute a feudal state. The foundation of the Spanish monarchic state. thing of the "democratic revolution", beginning with September 1923, is not But a democratic revolution-led by the property of the aristocracy consiwhom? By the petty bourgeois intellecdered as such, but rather the property of tuals? We are not today, in spite of the capitalist bourgeoisie. It is of litthe "doctrine" of Marcelino Domingo tle import that the aristocracy, old or and company, in the epoch of the Tennis new, has preserved itself in the warp and woof of the state. In the dominaut spheres of the state machinery the semihad in France in '89. At that time, the feudal remains are effective only by the fact that they are in the hands of the guard which had behind it the whole bourgeoisie, and not of the aristocrats The Spanish monarchic state functioned voke of the feudal state, constituted out as a capitalist apparatus, and not for aristocratic caste privileges.

Alfonso was nothing but a function ary in the service of the exploitation of monopolistic capital, for which "work' Primo de Rivera was the broom which swept away the remnants of the unclean aristocracy, putting the whole state machinery into the hands of industrial

and financial capitalism. It is true that the Spanish countryside requires very urgently a revolution to liquidate large landed property. The peasants will have to repartition the land, violently depriv ing its owners of all their privileges and

ed radically during recent weeks. The But we encounter a great obstacle: the sanry bu o heir exploiation. From this fortress of the official party is still official party and the C. I. What an exploitation, it draws not a few resources Seville. They have not the strength they | enormous responsibility these people claim, but it is more than they have in bear! The letter of comrade Trotsky to formula for the peasantry is no longer the rest of the country. At Madrid, the the Political Bureau has produced an With the bourgeoisie for the destruction local independent section should have excellent impression. We must pursue from 500 to 600 members and publishes with redoubled energy our work for unia weekly, La Antorcha. The party group fication. It is a question of life or death

bers. In Catalonia, the official party | We must also give a firm ideological has made no essential gains, except for orientation to the proletariat in the reva few dozen unemployed, drawn over by olutionary situation through which we the demagogic agitation of the Stalinists. are passing. The anarcho-syndicalists have fallen into a frightful confusion.

SON THE WORKERS' FRONT S

in power. This capitalism, without energy of its own, product of an anaemic bourgeoisie which never had either rev olutionary ability or courage, finds itself attacked by a double revolution: the proletarian revolution in the cities;

try. In the fact that these two revolu

of their present semi-feudal possessions. | tions coincide against the capitalist state | But this is not enough. The proletarin full decomposition, lies a whole world liat, in order to win, requires an energeof possibilities for victory, for with it tic, gifted and disciplined leading party; ness of the Spanish land, there is some- the revolution acquires grandiese propor- a party that knows seriously how to

calculate the greatness of its mission; In vain will be the efforts of the dema party capable of appreciating at every ocratic petty bourgeoisie to take the sub- given moment its own forces and those stance out of the character of the rev- of the enemy; a party that knows how olution, juggling away the coming stages to throw out of its midst mercilessly, and setting down certain limits. The all the demagogic fanfarronade of ignorstruggle is opened against the capitalist ance; a party which is not amenable to state, before which no oher force can the adulation of incompetents or to the arise but that of the industrial proletar | intrigues of arrivists or the struggle ing for the possession of the land. It among the militants for degenerate and iat, drawing with it the peasantry fight- contemptible motives; a party, finally is not the feudal state that we have be which appreciates the merit of each of fore us but the capitalist bourgeoisie its men for the intrinsic value which with all its arms. We have here no he holds for the revolution and not for slaves to liberate from the yoke of arist the degree of servile obedience which tocratic despotism, but workers of town he pretends to have. There is no doubt and country who seek to burst the chains that this party can only be the Comof bourgecis exploitation. We are not munist party. Unfortunately, everything marching towards the conquest of the still remains to be done. We must disrights of the bourgeoisie citizen, but topel dangerous illusion if disaster is to wards the destruction of the wage slav- be avoided. The Communist party must ery which is based upon these contemp- be an iron weapon, but the truth is that right now it is nothing more than a

The extemporaneous, anachronistic and wooden sword. To the proletariat which, ridiculously messianic attitude of petty its all, we must expound the naked bourgeois 'dealism would move one to in the future struggles, will have to risk laughter were it not for the fact that it truth. The responsibility of the people entails a counter-revoluionary maneuver. that find themselves today at the head It is a question of throwing sand in the of the Communist party is truly enoreyes of the workers so that they may omus. They think that the revolution not see the ground beneath their feet. can be a game of chance.

If all the objective conditions are This is the most suble and taugerons game of the bourgeoisie. The idealistic splendid for a future triumph of the demagogy of the intellectual, of the petty proletarian revolution in Spain, one bourgeoisie easily grips the working cannot say the same about the capacity masses A good proof of this is the and organization of the revolutionary obvious influence which social reformism | party, the Communist party. And the and anarcho-syndicalism—the two opium evil is not the present incompetence. smokers whom the bourgeoisie has in The Spanish Communist Party can and salled in the workers' camp — exercise must organize in such a way that in the relatively proximate future it will ac quire the strength and effectiveness that

over the workers. The Need for a Party

that stand in the way of the fighters.

It is a very arduous task to get the is lacking today. What is to be done? proletariat to judge the social struggle Change radically all the measures of from a strictly class standpoint, a con- procedure. Depose this false doctrine dition without which the working masses artifically imposed upon the party, elicannot get to the bottom of all the con- minate the sectarian policy which is ordered from above. It must be stated sequences. It is true that the proletariat has its best political school in this that the anti-Marxist muse of the polvery revoluion. The steps of the great | itical philosophy of Stalin is not the struggle will be made progressively, most appropriate to inspire the proleclarifying the atmosphere of the revolu- tariat with the dialectic of triumph. tion and eliminating all the falsehoods Bilbao, April 1931

-ESTEBAN BILBAO.



| masses of the fighters and in this manner (Continued from last issue) The compulsory contributions of the to win over broad sections of the workworkers to social insurance marked the ing class to the Communist slogans. The first wage decreases. The party and the result was a new ebb of their influence; slogan "against the workers' contribu- ily in membership. A municipal council



ers in the Pocketbook Workers Union which will undermine the conditions of ed. Employers in business for years C. G. T. U. finally issued the correct the revolutionary trade unions lost heavthe pocketbook makers. A board of stanopen their shops again by changing their Cooper Union, in spite of the fact the dards means to speed up the workers and first or second name and thus become majority of the workers were against new employers. When the workers go to adjust prices to meet the needs of it. The tellers, who were a part of the the bosses. to the union office, they are told by the machine, could not count up more than The workers, on the other hand, get salesman-manager that they are not ena small majority vote cast for the agreeunder the new agreement, about which titled to the jobs because it is a new ment. When the administration saw the administration raves so much, un-firm. This is done by the union officers that they had only a small majority of employment insurance for which he or to help the bosses bring wages down to 45 votes, they added 350 votes from New she will have to pay two and a half the scale provided in the new agree Jersey locals. percent of the wages and the employers ment. This is done because there are will pay the same amount. Dues and workers who still earn \$50 to \$55 a week. The administration was victorious over taxes will be taken off this fund, so When their shops open again as new the workers due to the fact that the "third period" politicians allowed a vote to you can imagine how much the workers firms, and the boss has a right to take will get from the unemployment insur be taken by hands, instead of putting up on new workers, he will not pay more ance! This fake agreement could not be made for mechanics, especially now, when there by the bartender, Barnet Wolff, and his is so much unemployment in the trade. gang. They needed a wizard to bring This shows how the union misleaders such a wonderful agreement. They found takes are not corrected in the future. help the employers on destroy the union him. This genius and renegade from What is to be done in order to oust The Agreement Communism, Dr. L. Hendin, is an exthese misleaders? (1) The workers must Now let us review in brief the terms pert lately among the S. P. Forward demand from the officials that memberof the agreement signed between the gang, investigating one group of crooks ship meetings should be called (2) At leather goods manufacturers and the against another, in order to rob and these meetings, to demand a reckoning union. The chief demands of the union fake the workers more and more. on the sellout. (3) To oust them as were: (1) a 40 hour, 5 day week; (2) Do you think that this faker made our representatives. This can be achieved unemployment insurance paid by the the job because he is a philanthropist? when the Stalinists unite the rank and bosses and administered by the unions; Oh no! There will be the administrafile committee with other revolutionary (3) to regulate the scale of wages in tion of the unemployment insurance fund and sincere progressive groups in the order to raise the underpaid workers. which will pay a nice salary. So you union. I am sure that with united ac-The employers made counter-demands. can see why this Dr. Hendlin was so tion, the workers can get rid of these such as a 25 percent wage reduction, a much interested in the Pocketbook Workofficials who betrayed them. Then, 20 percent reorganization during the ers Union. through the rank and file, the workers Do you think the bosses are satisfied year, and a joint employment bureau. will be in a position to build a union for The new agreement gave the employers with the agreement? No! They are the workers and not for misleaders. demanding more and more and the union duction in wages of from 10 to 15 per cent, 10 percent reorganization, which goes to arbitration, a joint employment

officers are giving them . . . more and

-N. DAVIS.

Something new has developed in the industry since the agreement were sign-

for the sustaining of its domination. The of the feudal state, but rather: With the proletariat for the destruction of the bourgeofs state. How can the bourge oisie, big or small, be the initiator of the

peasant democratic revolution? There is an identity with the Spanish revolution except for differences of a secondary order, in the Russian revolution of October The destruction of the the democratic revolution in the coun-

The "Democratic Revolution"

In this problem due to the backward-

Court meeting. What we have in Spain

today is quite different from what we

bourgeoisie was the revolutionary van-

peasant mass submitted to the cruel

of the aristocracy, the church, and crown

ed by the absolute monarchy by divine

right. At that time, the bourgeois ideo

logy was indeed the living and dynamic

theory of the revolutionary needs of a

class rising to power. Therefore the

peasant, led by the bourgeoisie could

carry tchrough his democratic tasks and

destroy the feudal state. This happened

in France a century and a half ago

Since then, things have changed "just a

little bit", even for Spain. The bour

geoisie is no longer the champion of the

"national" revolution. Jealous of its

privileges, it lives entrenched in the

fortresses of the state, devoting all its

energy not to the liberation of the pea-

PAGE 2

Nin, with the obvious approval of the others: "Even though you are expelled from the party, we consider you as a comrade and as a revolutionist." "Trotsky must be reinstated into the party so that we may work together." But they still believe naively that the Committee of the Spanish Party and the Comintern will finish by understanding their error and will stretch out their hands to me. These comrades have undertaken to enter into a regular correspondence with

On June 7, the first conference of the Opposition took place at Madrid, where a fight for a referendum vote. This misthe collaboration of all our comrades take cannot be overlooked. The workwas fortunately assured on the basis of ers are paying the price already and the resolutions adopted. The results of they will continue to pay if such misthe conference are excellent. We are now working with great hopes in the future.

The Opposition and Maurin

Profiting by his stay in Madrid for our conference, comrade Nin delivered a lecture at the "Ateneo" on the "present second stage of the Spanish revolution" The "Ateneo" today plays a great role lectures delivered from this tribune have a repercussion throughout Spain. The first Communist lecture delivered from this tribune, from which the Communists had not yet spoken, was by Maurin, leader of the Catalonian Communist Federation. It was a real disaster. It was the speech more than they wanted! They got a reof radical petty bourgeois. He made a very harsh criticism of the Provisional Government, but he completely forgot the proletariat and the party. As a perspective, he only indicated a . . . convention in which the leading role would be played by . . . the Left elements of the "Ateneo" We were all very much disappointed. Maurin is theoretically very inconsistent, but his lecture was even beneath him.

Nin delivered a lecture the following day. The interest it aroused was immense. The police held back the workers who wanted to enter when the hall was already filled. The comrades were enthused by Nin's lecture and considered it a great victory. Nin replied to Maurin, who had said some absolutely inadmissible things, of which these are the most important: 1. The Spanish revolution must be

national and not servilely copy the Rus- Martin Abern sian experience; the Comintern has fail- Max Shachtman ed in China, in Germany, in Bulgaria ad in Esthonia because it . . . wanted

to apply the Russian experience there Subscription rate: \$2.00 per year; for-(!)

2. In Spain, there are four revolu-

Jubscribe!

With the Militant now appearing weekly there should be excellent possibilities for all of our supporters to enlist new subscribers. If you agree with us you will want to extend the Militant circulation. You will want to keep your own subscription paid up to date. If the number on the wrapper of this issue is less than 75, it means that you should renew your sub. Next you should endeavor to get other workers to become subscribers. For convenience use the blank below.

THE MILITANT,

84 East 10th Street, New York City.

Please enter me for a subscription one	year, \$2.00; six months, \$1.00.
Name	Address
City State	
	·

tion", after a series of vacillations, dur- election in Tourcoing, fourteen days afing which they sometimes demanded the ter the end of the strike, showed a substruggle against the whole social insur-| stantial decline in the votes given to ance law, or else the creation of insurthe party.

During the course of this, the capitalist ance treasuries by the trade unions for offensive against the miners in the Norththe purpose of carrying out the law. ern department, that is, against two-These vacillations, together with the policy of the "third period" hurt the revthirds of the union membership, opened up in all fury. The announcement of olutionary organizations tremendously, and when they finally issued the slogan an impending wage reduction evoked a "Against the workers' contribution", strong dissatisfaction among the miners. they no longer found any strong echo in Extremely favorable opportunities for the masses. In the North, the influence an action were at hand. Here too the than the scale, which is at present \$44 exercized by the reformists through the reformist influence was appreciable: out communal administrations, cooperatives, of a total of 200,000 miners about 6,000 sport leagues, workers' singing societies, members fall to the revolutionary and about 50,000 members to the reformist etc., is very extensive. They came forunion, the latter being the strongest trade ward as the most zealous agitators for the law which they had themselves de- union in France. From the very first manded with a great vocal display. In day, it should have been the task of the order to put an end to the dissatisfac- Communists on the basis of the generally raised demand "against any wage retion which arose as a result of the withholding of five percent of the wage by ductions" to set up the united front. To the bosses for the compulsory insurance propose to the reformist organizations contribution, the reformist organizations a joint action, a joint struggle-that of the North raised the demand for a should have been the first step that wage increase of one-fourth of a franc the revolutionary union should have per hour (about 1 cent), that is, they taken. The Centrists vacillated back accepted basically the payment of con and forth so that the reformist tributions by the workers. The great trade union leaders were able to majority of the workers took up the carry out their shameless treachery and consent to a wage reduction with struggle for one-fourth of a franc "wage increase". The C. P. F. and the C. G, out a serious revolt of the workers re-T. U., in spite of the relatively favorable plying to their crime. The miners had conditions, did not understand how to no confidence in the revolutionary union. establish the united front, and instead Finally, the C. G. T. U. found itself compelled-so as not to discredit itself forthey issued the slogan of "one-half of a ever in the eyes of its members and franc wage increase. Without any sympathizers-to call the strike itself. hindrance from the revolutionary trade unions, the reformists had a free hand Only a minority of 25,000 miners folfor their parliamentary horse trade with lowed its call, a vanguard whose struggle was followed with sympathy by the the bosses and were able after a few whole proletariat, but which was unable weeks of struggle to strangle the strike to convert this sympathy into deeds. with impunity. They abandoned the one-After a week, the workers had to return fourth of a franc wage increase and accepted a sort of "bonus for steady work": to the mines with a smaller wage. As to the relationship of forces between the the workers who have worked in the reformist and revolutionary unions, the factory regularly for more than six months, receive the insurance contribustrike only contributed unessential tion as compensation from the boss each changes. Yet there has rarely been a more favorable opportunity to extend week.

Failure of the Communist Party

ganizations over tens of thousands of Among the workers there were splenworkers who had seen the betrayal of did moods for struggle. In sharp con- the reformists. The impotence of the C. trast to the conduct of the reformist G. T. U., however, did not permit to leaders, who constantly pushed for nego- separate these workers from their o'd tiations, they repeatedly effected an en- organizations. ergetic resistance in conflicts with the

In the C. P. F. as well as in the C. armed forces. At no stage in the strug- G. T. U., the discussion on these strike gle did the C. P. F. and the C. G. T. C. | movements was prevented. (To be consucceed, through the realization of the tinued).

PIERRE FRAN

the influence of the revolutionary or-

united front, to draw closer to the Paris

Arne Swabeck

James P. Cannon

Maurice Spector

eigh \$2.50. Five cents per copy.

Bundle rates, 3 cents per copy

bureau to go to the impartial chairman within two months for a decision. The employers will have extra demands they never asked for at the first conferences These are: an extra helper, which means that a pocketbook mechanic who worked with only one helper under the old agree

THE MILITANT

Published weekly by the Communist

League of America (Opposition)

at 84 East 10th Street, N. Y.

Editorial Board

Vol. IV 16, July 25, 1931

SATURDAY, JULY 25, 1931

Thesis for the Pre-Conference Discussion

The outstanding feature of the pre- oxysms experienced at the present time sent situation is the world-wide charac-ter of the economic crisis, more severe harbingers of even more convulsive Call for Second National Conference production in these two industries had in its effects than any other manifestation of the anarchy of capitalism since Europe. It is not Europe that will isthe precipitation of the world war of 1914. Eloquently testifying to the fact inantly world economy, that the prevailing mode of production and distribution crica, the central problem of capitalist has entered into the most violent conflict with the needs and interests of the masses of society, that the development of dominant economic and financial powof the world's productive forces is acutely incompatible with the innumerable United States confronts Europe (and national boundaries that restrict it, the Latin America) as the main creditor nacrisis has swept over every important tion of the world. Both of them concountry in the world with the ruthless- front a world economy in which the ness of a social epidemic. No country that moves actively within the orbit of foreign, is not only limited but progressworld economy is immune from the con- ively diminishing. The stupendous war vulsions of the crisis. Following the deflating collapse of the American stock U.S. into the principal creditor nation market and the dizzy industrial decline of the world have become one of the that has ensued to this day with almost most powerful levers at work to underuninterrupted regression, the crisis has mine even that fragile stabilization wracked the economic or political life achieved in the second half of the last of every important European, Latin decade. The debts (including interest American, and Asiatic nation. Even and sinking fund charges) owed directly France, which enjoyed a relatively fav- to the United States, or indirectly to it orable conjuncture for a number of years through other creditor nations which are following the stabilization of the franc, America's debtors (e. g., England, has been drawn into the maelstrom of decline.

The Soviet Union, too, which has not been and cannot be liberated from the credits, the form adopted in Germany pressure of world economy, has felt the effects of the international crisis, to a talist countries, it is true, but it has been influenced by them nevertheless. The radical international decline of commodify prices has seriously affected Soviet exports, that is, its growing connection with the world market, which becomes an increasingly important factor, in the development of its machinofacture. Yet, the successes and advances of the Soviet Union stand out even more prominently in contrast to the universal anarchy and decline of capitalist economy. In the society of tomorrow, despite its isolation, despite the antagonism of the rest of the world, despite all the tremendous difficulties and barriers placed in its path by the world bourgeolsie and by the interior deformation of the principles upon which it was founded, progress is being made at a rate of world banker and creditor. Before never attained under anarchic capitalism. Even in its embryonic stage, the was a free trade country, and the prinsuperiority of planned production and distribution, the advances towards the socialist ideal, are being made clear to absorbed by the creditor nations. Today, every greater millions throughout the creditor and debtor nations are of simiworld. Its very existence serves as a lar economic structure, exporters chiefly challenge to the parasitic, decadent soc- of manufactured goods. ial system under which the rest of the world is laboring. Regardless of the tries, tormented by the crisis and saddled imperialist dangers that threaten it from with foreign debts, are selling their without, and the Thermidorian and commodities at low prices, and even be-Bonapartist corrosion which menaces it low production costs in certain cases. at home, its progress in laying the foun- in a desperate attempt to adjust themdation stones for a socialist economy, the advances made in the field of in- which is accentuated by the general rise dustrialization and the reorganization of of tariff barriers throughout the world. its terribly backward agriculture, are a The industrial countries are in an

tremors in the economic structure of Europe. It is not Europe that will is-sue out of the crisis at the costs of the of the Communist League (Opposition) United States; the prospect is rather to the problem of Europe versus Amworld politics, is at present held by American imperialism, with its position er and its tremendous resources. The international market, both domestic and and post-war debts which converted the

Conference of the Communist League of America (Opposition) to be held in New York City from Thursday, September 24, 1931 to Sunday, September 27, 1931. The pre-convention discussion period, therefore, is hereby formally opened by France) can be paid only in gold, or the publication of the principal thesis of through the acceptance of new credits, the National Committee. In coming is or in goods. The acceptance of new sues of The Militant, there will be pubfor four years following the initiation lished the other theses and resolutions: of the Dawes Plan, can no longer be on trade union work, on the situation far more limited extent than the capi- pursued to such an extent-Germany's in the Communist International and the investment needs having diminished. International Left Opposition, on the Debt payments in gold are today even situation in the Communist Internation-

more difficult than ever before, and that al and the International Left Opposinot merely because of the inadequacy of tion, on the problems of the youth, and the gold supply for this purpose. In the on the Negro question. In addition, the last two years alone, all of the new gold National Committee presents as its thesis supply production available for monetary on the Russian question the document purposes has been absorbed by the Unidrafted by comrade Trotsky, already ted States and France. Half of the gold coming into these two countries has

been taken from the gold reserves of the rest of the world. The principal source of debt payments is therefore left more than ever before to payment in goods. It is here that the world domination of the United States appears in its unique form, in distinct contrast to the prewar world hegemony of Great Britain, which at that time enjoyed the position the war, the main creditor of the world cipal debtor countries were producers of raw materials whose exports could be

The raw materials producing counselves to the pressure of the situation. milestone in the forward march of hu- equally disastrous dilemma. The domesfic market everywhere has been narrow

that capitalist economy today is predom- summed up in the contrary. The key To All Branches of the Communist made public as a pamphlet. All these League of America (Opposition): documents should be considered not separately but as parts of one single

whole which the National Committee The more than two years that have presents for discussion, and for adoption elapsed since the first national conference of the Left Opposition in the United by the conference. All members of the States have furnished us with a wealth Communist League of America (Opposiof experiences nationally and internation- tion) are invited to participate to the greatest extent in the discussion in the ally which press for collective examination. New problems have arisen for our branches of the League, as well as in movement which demand a Marxian an- the columns of The Militant. which are alysis and solution. The work which we now thrown open for the pre-conference have carried out in the past period, and discussion up to the day when the conthe policies we have pursued, require a ference opens. Further conference dereview and a summary. The increasing tails will be forwarded to all the branchneeds of our movement confront us with es.

the problem of elaborating collectively The agenda which the National Com our plans for the coming period. 'To- mittee proposes for the conference is wards this end, the National Committee as follows:

issues this call for the Second National 1. The Crisis in the Communist In ternational and the Development of the International Left Opposition. 2. The Situation in Russia.

3. Political Report: (a) The Perspectives of the Ameri

can Revolution. (b) The Tasks of the Communists.

(c) The Role of the Opposition. 4. The Trade Union Ouestion:

(a) The Future of the A. F. of L. (b) The Outlook for the New Unior

Movement. (c) Communist strategy and Tactics 5. Building the Communist League

The Forms and Methods of Its Future Work. Youth Questions.

National Committee Communist League of America (Opposition)

of Europe. The American lion has re-, the demands and necessity for expansion duced the numerous European jackals to overcome the fear of its consequences. a diminishing share of the world mar- The powder for the explosion is strewn ket. But portions of even this reduced loosely throughout the world. A new spark, a new obscure Sarajevo, is all share are fought for with an intensity that is required to set it off. Imbued that becomes steadily more hostile on the with the prospect of war, each imperialpart of the European countries them- ist nation engages in feverish military, selves, even though the pressusre of Amnaval and aerial preparations and jocerican imperialism urges them on to an keys for advantageous positions. Behind economic unity of front which France the "disarmament" conferences the shipseeks to establish under its hegemony vards and armaments factories continue through the Briand plan and Germany, through "Anschluss" with Austria. The standing armies of today exceed those reactionary utopianism of Briand does of the days prior to 1914. Navies grow stock sharing, "permanent" high wages, not at all signify that the economic and more powerful, more modern, more effi- and similar manifestations of this perpolitical unity of Europe is not necessary or that its establishment would not effectiveness the wildest dreams of the succeed in warding off the ruthless, repre-1914 militarists. While the social actionary advance of American imperdemocrats preach a treacherous disarmialism, the decay of European economic ament to the workers, they lend their class, affecting not only such reactionsupport to armaments for "the defense, life which it superinduces, and the untold misery of the masses that it in- of the fatherland". volves. On the contrary, It signifies

Shall it be a war between the imperonly that this unity cannot be establishialist powers themselves, or a war of ed on a capitalist basis. But this unity, the imperialist powers to overthrow the involving as it does the razing of the strangulating national frontiers and vast Russian market to capitalist exploicustoms walls, the coordination of the taion? The war danger runs rapidly and thorough-going change in this sitboth channels. The 1914 slogans

1924) to 132.2 (high point in June 1929), been increasing and that of the United States decreasing. The foreign trade of the United States maintained the figure for the war years of 1917-1918, and, in 1929, even surpassed them, even if with figures of the government bureau show a less favorable trade balance. The foreign investments of American imperialism reached the fabulous sum of \$16,-604,000,000, penetrating into every branch of the industrial and economic life of virtually every country in the world. Rising out of the unemployment trough into which it had fallen between 1920 and 1922, the U.S. was able, in this period, to maintain both the number of factory workers employed and the wage level at a fairly even keel, at least, with-

out great fluctuations or declines. The unfolding of this unprecedented period of relative prosperity coincided with an equally unprecedented period for the working class, above all for the organized labor movement. The great mass movements of the workers in the turbulent post-war year, manifested in strikes in basic industries involving hundreds upon hundreds of thousands of workers, gave way to the sinister dead calm of labor inaction, manifested in gressive improvement. a sharp and steady decline of strikes. The trend towards independent political action, which at one time attained substantial proportions in the movement for a labor party, was absorbed by the petty bourgeois La Follette movement and finally dissolved into nothingness. The Communist movement began to experience a period of stagnation and decline. The steady advance of the Left wing and progressive movement inside the trade unions came to an abrupt halt and began to develop along a downward curve. The reactionary bureaucracy of the A. F. of L. became more soundly intrenched than ever, the resistance to it became weaker and less heeded. The philosophy of class collaboration and class peace penetrated every fibre of the labor movement, corrupting and undermining its militancy, poisoning its consciousness, rendering it impotent. It was lulled into a sleep of false security in the lap of a fantastic "permanent prosperity" in which the working class was allegedly sharing to an ever increasing extent and without the need of struggle. Under the weight of American imperialism's stupendous economic preponto work with unceasing regularity. The derance in world economy, combined with the illusions of "labor" banking, cient. Air fleets exceed in numbers and iod, the bourgeois ideology of the "new capitalism" became the determining influence in the life and activity of the decisive bulk of the American working ary instruments of capitalism as the A. F. of L. and the Socialist party, but

to a certain extent even the organized Communist movement

The appearance of the crisis, which proletarian dictatorship and re-open the disrupts the economic structure of the country, lays the basis for a profound

showed a foreign trade for the United States of almost exactly half the figure for the same period in 1929 and lower than any figure for more than a decade. The harshest effects of the crisis are undoubtedly to be found in the records of unemployment. Even the tendencious a terrific decline in manufacturing employment. If March 1925 is taken as roughly 100 (100.4 exactly), the month of February 1931 showed an index figure of 74.1, that is, a falling off of one-fourth of the jobs in manufacturing. More authentic figures place the ranks of the unemployed in the United States at between nine and ten million workers, with no serious indication that this condition of unexampled acuteness is to be ameliorated in the near future. To these telling figures should be added the uncomputed numbers of part-time workers, and a decline in pay-roll totals for those still employed which gives adequate testimony to the broad sweep of the wage-cutting drive. The misery and sufferings which these figures bespeak destroy, by themselves, the legend assiduously cultivated for years that the standard of living of the American working class is assured of a permanently pro-

The indices listed above serve as the barest outline of the breadth and depth of the crisis in the United States, but they suffice to point out the severity of its effects. To foretell with any measure of exactitude the duration of the crisis. even in its sharpest forms, is exceedingly difficult, particularly because it is not only the United States which is involved, but practically the entire capitalist world. What does appear clearly, however, is the fact that the spurious optimism of the official bourgeois economists and statesmen in the last two years has not only been proved baseless, but that there is no immediate prospect of any substantial turn for the better. Even the more sober of the bourgeois economists now acknowledge that it "seems quite unlikely that American business will attain to what it is willing to call prosperity' before some time in 1932" (Annalist). A more objective estimation of the prospects for a decisive upward swing of the conjuncture would put the period for a commencement of recovery at an even later date.

The present crisis of American capitalism is not its final revolutionary crisis, but it is also distinguished from the cyclical crises experienced by the United States prior to the world war, The latter were characterized by a relatively favorable equilibrium between the development of the productive forces and the development of the internal market, hetween the growth ef agriculture and the growth of industry, and by a position in world economy of very modest dimensions. The present crisis comes after the existence of an acute disruption of the "equilibrium" between agriculture and industry, and only serves to aggravate the agrarian situation; it appears coincidentally with a contracted iomestic market which gives no appear ance of noteworthy extension in the immediate future; and, finally, it occurs in the period of declining world canitalism and as part of a planetary crisis which, precisely because American imof the Soviet United States of Europe. with the anarchists, Hindenburg with cumulating for some time. The highly perialism's sources of power are spread all over the world, invests the disruption of American economy with a highly perilous character. Bearing down in the other direction, however, is another factor which distinthe bottom of the present crisis. The guishes the United States today from pre-war America. This factor is the position of world predominance, in econmodifies finally reached the congested omics as well as in politics, which the United States has conquered for itself the machinery of production and distri- since the end of the world war, and the bution collapsing for lack of room to pressure to which it submits the rest of the capitalist world. In the period of ket, hugely inflated out of all propor- its present crisis, this pressure, far from being relaxed, is certain to bemust be-intensified. The vast resources of the United States, its unique post-war The depth of the crisis in the United position, its gradual displacement of States may be seen by a comparison of England as the leading factor in world present indices with those prevailing in economy and politics-all these factors the "boom" period. Prices on the stock which enabled the American collossus to market (stock averages mentioned above) extricate Germany-and in large meacollapsed in little over a year from the sure, Europe in general-from the postpeak average of 311.90 (September 1929) revolutionary crisis (1924), are still offective enough to warrant its ability The index for "business activity" fell to extricate itself out of its present from 110 (May 1929) to 75.7 (December crisis by shifting the burden of it not 1930), not only marking a decline be- only upon the working class at home, low the figure for 1924, but reaching a but upon the nations of Europe, even if point lower than any other since March this time at the expense of hastening the 1908! The index figure for manufac- revolutionary crises on the Continent. tures fell in one year to 250, barely That the process of "liquidating" the above the figure for 1924, that is, a loss crisis in the United States will not be in one year of the gains made in the an easy or speedy one, flows inevitably five preceding years, with the growth of from the relations between America and population showing a steady rise all Europe. On the contrary, it will bring along the line. Pig iron production de- in its train the bitterest resistance to clined from its high point of 127.4 to a America and the sharpest convulsions 1930 average of 83.3, and a low point and crises for European capitalism, ceof 53.5 in December 1930. Steel ingot gardless of whether the initial shock ocproduction declined almost by half from curs in Asia, Latin America, or Europe itself. At the present time, what appears to be the most likely avenue of escape from above the low point of 1921. Freight the crisis for the United States? To adcar loadings took a dizzy drop in little just its production apparatus to the preover a year from 107.5 to 80.0. The de- sent divisions of the world market would cline in electric power production was mean to reconcile itself to the status only slightly less. Automobile produc- quo of the crisis or worse. The United tion slumped from 138.8 (December States will therefore seek to re-adjust 1928) to 64.3 (November 1930) and 86.0 the present divisions of the world mar-(December 1930). A sharp decline in ket to its own productive possibilities. foreign trade (merchandize) affected the But the successful execution of this at-United States not only in a decline in ex- tempt pre-supposes, in turn, a readjustports and imports, but in both, as well ment of its industry in preparation for

manity which capitalist society, torn to bits by insoluble contradictions, cannot ed by the fall in the purchasing power ever hope to reach.

The crisis in capitalism manifests itself economically in the violent disrupprecipitous fall of commodity prices; in the radical reduction of world trade; in the aggravation of the insoluble agrarian crisis, a sore that has festered since the end of the war and impoverished the agricultural population throughout the world; in the enfeeblement of the monetary systems of numerous countries (China, Spain, etc.); and, what is of tution of an international army of unemployed numbering more than 10,000,000 in the United States alone, and forming the supplement to those still engaged in industry whose wages and standard of life are systematically cut down.

The political manifestations of the crisis are equally universal. The restiveness of the masses who feel the crisis most acutely and seek a way out of the bonds of misery, combined with the maneuvers of the imperialist powers to jockey themselves into a more favorable position on the restricted world market, have produced violent outbreaks and even revolutionary uprisings in the most widely separated parts of the world. Latin America has experienced a number of them recently. India, Indo-China, sections of China itself, the Phillipines—all these are to be found in varying stages of politically rebellious activity. In Europe, a popular movement has driven the monarch from Spain and opened up the perspective of an even more far-reaching change. The pressure of economic difficulties has driven Germany and Austria to proclaim their unity openly, in a form (a customs union) which foreshadows a closer, more avowedly political union-despite the violent objections of their many neighbors. In Germany itself, the proletarian revolution is being inscribed on the agenda, and while the struggle for power between Bolshevism and Fascism appears to have reached a momentary stalemate, it still holds first place in German politics. As the crisis grows in breadth and depth, and all indications point in that direction, its political effects will assume sharper forms and come into ever more open and more revorder.

of the masses which the fall in commodity prices has not arrested because of the widespread unemployment and tion of industrial life and the universal the international wage-slashing camdecline in production; in the general paign. The domestic market of one country being the foreign market of another, the export figures of these industrial nations have been cut down radically. The complement to this process is the decrease in imports, spurred on in many countries by the hope of thus maintaining the favorable balance of trade which may enable them to honor their debtor's obligations. This task of Sisthe greatest consequence, in the consti- yphus is made doubly difficult in the present crisis, which has brought in its train such a sharp decline in commodity prices throughout the world. It has been estimated that the fall in prices on the world market has raised the gold value

of payments provided for under the Young Plan for reparations to such an extent that they are made equal to the payments fixed under the Dawes plan, which the Young plan was to ameliorate for Germany.

It is these conditions, which lie at the base of the tortuous situation in Europe that the plan recently proposed by Hoover for a war debts-reparations holiday seeks to cope with. But it cannot hope to eliminate the condition. Testifying though it does to the tremendous world power enjoyed by American imperialism, it can nevertheless only ameliorate Germany's ills for a brief span of time, while delivering a blow at France at the same time. It cannot solve the burning problems of the markets, of the anarchic disruption of industrial life in Europe, it cannot lay the axe to the roots of the evils of the Versailles system and the perpetual conflicts among the capitalist powers of Europe itself. It may pull tighter the American noose around Europe and serve to delay a collapse in Germany which will only make it all the more convulsive when it comes econmic and political chain. Given such a relation of forces, a recovery of the European section of world economy appears as a very distant prospect. Turn where it will, it always finds itself at the end of a blind alley, issue from which is blocked off by the had the added advantage of issuing out economic and political domination of its problems on a purely "European" basis are doomed to failure in advance. olutionary collision with the prevailing The unequal position on a "European scale" of the individual European coun-

scattered economic and industrial equipment and resources of the continent, a of the war-mongers are being refurnishdestruction of the malignant system of Versailles, a fusion with the Soviet Un- Union: For "democracy", against "dicion, can only be accomplished on a tatorship"! On this score the Pope is revolutionary basis, that is, in the form at one with Hoover, the social democracy In general, the crisis renders more Hilferding with Hitler. All find themacute the danger of a new world war. selves in their place along the bulwark The imperialist conflict is maturing for of a moribund capitalist "civilization" a new open struggle to re-divide again which they are ready to defend-with the world's markets, the colonies, the blood of capitalism's slaves-against the spheres of influence, the sources of the dawn of the new society. To mobilraw materials. All the elements of ize the workers against the danger of a new holocaust, more frightful than imperialist war, to permeate them with any that has ever preceded it, are pre- the idea of the class war for emancipasent in the situation. The dominating tion against the imperialist war of enfear of a new wave of proletarian rev- slavement, to rally them for the defense olutions that would inevitably follow it of the workers' fatherland-there lie imhas, so to speak, been the principal perative duties for the revolutionary retarding factor so far. But the fear of Communists. And upon us, the Amerithe prospect of working class retalia- can revolutionists, lies the double duty tive of war. The inexorable pressure power which, by its might and its raof its increasingly acute contradictions paciousness, is bound to play a decisive, reaches a point for imperialism where initiatory role in the coming conflict.

The Crisis in the United States and Its Effects on Labor

The present crisis is distinguished omic center of gravity had shifted from from many which preceded it in the Europe-more specifically, from England -to the United States, with the latter virtually planetary character. Not even transformed from a debtor into a creditthe world war of 1914-1918 furnished a or nation, into the banker and indusmore illuminating example of the world trialist of the world. With the termincharacter of present-day economy and ation of the early post-war crisis in politics than is supplied by the crisis the U.S., and the ebb of the revoluwracking world capitalism today. In tionary wave in Europe (1923-1924), the United States experienced a new period economy, each individual country occup- of expansion and consolidation, translated abroad in its assumption of the role of "stabilizer of Europe" and at tries. The specific features of the crisis home by the unparalleled "permanent prosperity period" of 1924-1928.

Prices on the stock market (averages of 25 industrial and 25 railroad stocks) swung from the 1924 low point of 82.26

The crisis in the United States made to the unheard of peak towards the end its appearance after a period of prosperof 1929 of 311.90. The index for "busiity unprecedented in economic history. ness activity" rose from little better than 85 in 1924 to over 110 towards the Gifted with vast economic resources, a highly efficient industrial production. it middle of 1929. In the same five years the growth of manufactures was exof the war the only major victor-its pressed in the rise from the index figure the United States. The attempts to solve economic organization infinitely less dis- of less than 250 in 1924 to more than organized than that of war-torn and de- 300 in 1929 (1899 equals 100). Such bilitated Europe, its political life undis- key branches of production as pig iron turbed by such intense revolutionary rose respectively from index figures of convulsions as ripped through the fab-67.5 (low point in July 1924) to 127.4

The crisis has by no means exhausted tries reduces to a fantasy the Briand ric of Continental society. In less than (high point in July 1929) and steel ingot as in a decline in the favorable balance; a vigorous assault upon the world marits severity. On the contrary. The par- proposal for a capitalist United States half a decade after the war, the econ- output from 64.6 (low point in July) The first quarter of the current year ket made all the more necessary by the

uation. The crisis broke out with all the greater sharpness precisely because ed for the offensive against the Soviet the unique position of American capitalism enabled it to postpone for a time the precipitation of the crisis. The dynamic forces for the crisis had been acrationalized Ameriacn industry, the intense speed-up of production, the contraction of the domestic and the world

market only served to hasten the process of overproduction which lies at highly-strung productive mechanism, with its accumulation of surplus comend of the market funnel, resulting in expand. The water-logged securities martion to actual values, was driven into tion is, by itself, an inadequate preven- of the struggle against that imperialist a corner and squeezed down by the pressure of the industrial decline.

to a low point of 135.43 (December 1930). its June 1929 high point in the 1930

average of 77.9, and reached a mark in December 1930 (50.0) only a few points

history of capitalist production by its the complex system of capitalist world ies its specific place, intimately bound up though it is with all the other counin the United States must therefore be viewed from the standpoint of the unique position it occupies in the world

At certain times and under certain

underwriting. dity prices. To cut the costs of produc-

tion is the first step in this direction. This not only signifies an intensified rationalization of industry, a higher efficiency in production, a squeezing out of "unprofitable" intermediary enterprises and small business men, but a universal levelling downward of the working class standard of living. From this it follows that America recovery will not be based in the first place upon a re-establishment of the internal market, upon its domestic expansion, because the purchasing and consumptive power of the proletariat will be lowered in two ways: the existence of millions of permanently unemployed and the decline in the standards of those employed, and because the chronic agrarian crisis shows no signs of let-up but rather points to a further impoverishment of the agricultural producers. Armed on the one hand with its gigantic financial facilities, and on the other hand, with a reorganized industry capable of competing actively in the present state of the world tain, France, and others. Its Allies market, the United States will be in a came out of the war with increased position to turn its attention more energetically to its foreign trade, that is, to the form of its business activity that must assume an ever greater position in of China, are far from adequate substiits economic life. In recent years, the tutes for a colonial realm. The crisis foreign trade of the United States has not loomed up so large in its economic life. Precisely this fact leads to the belief that in the coming period, it will Europe will only mean acceptr revoluoccupy an increasing place in America's tionary crises for the latter, the United economy. The value of American ex- States will seek to satisfy its voracious ports (1928) was twenty-five percent greater than the value of the exports from the United Kingdom, but the ex- with every other "issue" from the world ports per capita of the latter were more twice the value of the per capita exports of the former. It is towards a reversal of this and similar ratios that the ruthless advance of American imperial- limited to Europe alone. They will be ist will strive. The race between the United States and its competitors will proceed at a maddening speed, despite of the costs of living, marked by the the great obstacles standing in the way in the form of commodity price declines, decreasing ability of foreign countries to absorb loans, the acute international is the decline in employment, with its agrarian crisis, the pyramided burdens concomitant of misery for the millions of war debts, etc. But in the race, the of jobless, the decline in wages has been United States, as chief banker of the even more acute. The empty "pledges" world, has by that alone a manifest advantage. In 1930, the foreign (net) A. F. of L. leadership, that "wages will loans of the U.S., which serve to expand not be cut", has not prevented their bethe importing ability of the borrower. were \$862,200,000 compared to England's \$557,600,000 in which despite the heroic efforts of Britain to expand its influence in Latin America, expressed in an almost two-fold increase of its loans over the previous year, it did not attain the the changes produced generally by the American total for Latin America. The 1930 comparison affords even greater il- in the relationship of forces within the lumination on the relation of forces in working class and the opening up of contrast to the 1929 loans, when Great a broad perspective for working class Britain came within \$130,000,000 of struggles and radicalization.

Whether or not the United States in the next period will reach the peak it attained towards the end of the last decade cannot be determined in advance. What does flow from the whole situation, however, is that the herculean efforts of the U.S. to issue out of its crisis will entail the extension of the "American plan", that is, the plan to put Europe on diminishing rations in world economy. This in turn will bring with it the European masses, the accentuation of the class struggle, confronting the proletariat of Europe with the alternatives: proletarian revolution, war, or submission to the super-exploitation of America.

The fury of America's advance against its imperialist competitors is determined by still another factor. Arriving belatedly upon the field of world conquest, the United States does not enjoy a colonial domain comparable to that of Bricolonial divisions, in which the United States had virtually no share. Its hege mony in Latin America, its infiltration poses this imperialist need with pointed imperativeness. Little concerned with the fact that the loss of colonies to appetite by carving away parts of the colonial empires of Europe. Here, as crisis, the specters of war and social revolution march side by side.

But the effects of America's efforts to issue out of the crisis are not to be very profound and acute in the United States as well. Rapid as is the decline commodity price fall, the decline of the living standards of the American workers is even more precipitous. Rapid as of Hoover, of the industrialists, of the ing cut. It would be wrong to believe that the process of wage-cutting is coming to an end, in reality, it has only begun. In the terrific offensive that the capitalist class is carrying on and plans to extend against the working class, in crisis, lie the foundations for a change

The Prospects for the American Working **Class Movement**

The American working class move-| While the standards of the working class ment has passed through two distinct of the United States did not rise in post-war stages: one of vigorous growth proportion to the increase in the nationand militant struggles, the other of ideo- al wealth and the national income, it logical and numerical degeneration and did rise above the standards prevailing sluggish passivity. The first stage em- for the working class before the war braced the first post-war years and coin- and above the standards of the Eur- eign-born workers is being resumed and, cided with the deflation of the war- opean working class. But the working what is more, hundreds upon hundreds bloated industrial apparatus of the counclass purchased this internationally try and the eve of the 1921 crisis. It privileged position at the cost of dewas not only a period of the tremendous basing and destroying its own class growth of the trade unions and the first positions—the trade union and the political movements. In making its profitlabor party, but also of a series of tre- able concessions, the bourgeoisie in return obtained an acquiescent working class converted—at least in its upper steel strike, the miners' movement of strata--into a petty bourgeois or semibourgeois mass. The working class, however, unconsciously paid a heavy price for the temporary improvement in its conditions: As a result, it faces the one by revolutionary crises—the Triple terrifying shocks of the crisis without any adequate apparatus, with only the merest skeleton of trade unions to de-Italian factories, etc., etc. fend itself against the capitalist cam-The second post-war stage can be said paign to slash its wages and to lower to have begun coincidentaliv—and again its lightly won standards of living. This not by accident—with the conclusion of fact alone would suffice to determine the revolutionary wave in Europe (de- the essentially defensive nature of the struggles taking place today and those the stabilization of European capitalism. which will take place tomorrow, and to This period of deadly tranquillity for determine it to the disadyantage of the working class. Does it follow directly from this, however, that the American working class | tens of thousands of miners in Pennsythe labor and farmer-labor party move- will go through the crisis-i. e., through Ivania, Ohio, West Virginia, and Kenments into the avowedly petty bourgeois the brutal capitalist offensive-without L4 Follette swamp and the progressive resistance? Such a conclusion follows diminution of the specific gravity of the least logically from the situation. The Communist movement in the working working class does not conduct a strugclass. In the years between 1924 and gle for an abstract, never-changing stan-1928, strikes continued to decline in dard of living, but for a concrete one number and in total participants. An connected with time and place. As the pelled to carry on the most violent batunprecedented condition developed: Ab-| "prosperity reserves" of the workers sorbed again by the industrial-economic reach the vanishing point, as the full apparatus, working amidst ever-increas- and intimate realization of the radicing riches, the working class movement ally lowered standards penetrate the nevertheless did not solidify itself. did consciousness of the mass, as it becomes not grow in militancy, did not strike daily more evident that the solution of the crisis is still a long way off, the come, did not dress its ranks in a class moods of resistance will become strongmanner. On the contrary: the class con- er among the workers and begin to gain sciousness of the masses was dulled to the upper hand. It is inconceivable that the point of disappearance; its ranks so sharp a decline in the living stancrumbled-at least insofar as its ranks dards of the workers will be allowed were represented in the American Feder- to pass by them without a fight to retain ation of Labor. Yet its conditions and what was gained yesterday, or at least standards of living did not become a part of it. The crisis in the United States, with worse, particularly in the case of the its attendant unemployment and hardskilled, organized workers, but more generally (even if not universally) in ships for the working class cannot but the case of the less skilled and unor. exercise a radicalizing effect upon the ganized workers. This contradiction is American workers from another point to be explained in only one way: The of view: the contrast of capitalist America with the advances of the Soviet American bourgeoisie, itself enjoying a prosperity comparable only to the days Union. There is a growing and intense when the British despoiled the vast interest among the American workers riches of the Indies, could afford to in the developments of the workers' regrant a few fat crumbs to the upper public. The comparison of millions of strata of the working class, thus extend- unemployed in wealthy capitalist Aming its domestic market and escaping erica with the progress and absence of at the given moment indicates that the extreme Left wing in the Muste groupthe shocks of class warfare at home. unemployment in the Soviet Union ex- premises, the preliminary conditions for is the staunchest bulwark of bourgeois the capitalist class.

munism among the workers in general, a sympathy which the capitalist press a systematic campaign of falsehood, misrepresentation. The working class gains tive conditions will determine the rapid encouragement from the successful existence of the Soviet republic and the progress of planned economy under the rule of the proletariat makes easier the progress of the Communist movement in the United States. The existence of the Soviet Union is not, by itself, the autoincreasing misery and exploitation of matic, mechanical and never-changing guarantee of working class radicalization, as the Stalinists and Right wingers profess to believe. Furthermore, the intimate connection between the needs of the Soviet Union and the interests of the millions of unemployed under capi-

talism is not utilized by the Centrists along the lines proposed by the Opposition. In fact, they not only reject but prohibit a campaign among the American workers for the extension of long term credits to the Soviet Union to help it buy machinery which would partially alleviate the condition of unemployment. But it remains true that the strides forward made by the Soviet Union stand in favorable contrast to the crisis in capitalist economy and facilitate the work of the Communists in guiding the workers along the road of militant struggle against the endeavors of the hourgeoisie to impose upon the proletariat the burden of the crisis.

It is true that a deep economic crisis does not lend itself to widespread economic struggles of the workers, such as strikes, particularly in face of a huge unemployed army. But this applies primarily and above all to crises which follow a series of defeats, of lost battles, of crushed revolutions, and has been demonstrated especially in the last decade in one country of Europe after another, and today in China. In the United States today, the workers have not been exhausted by previous economic struggles and defeats. On the contrary, the outstanding feature of the period recently passed was the absence of such struggles. The working class still retains unspent resources which, although impaired in great measures by the pre- the policies of Communism-an attitude vailing unemployment, constitute a factor of great vitality. It cannot and will not stand by passively while its living standards are so radically undercut. It will resist the capitalist offensive in the coming period with an increasing militancy and on ever broader field of struggle. It is towards the perspec- ing been "narrowed down", is being ex tive of these coming struggles that the revolutionary Communist movement must orient itself.

It is plain that the bourgeoisie is aware of the seriousness of the scope that these battles will assume. It prepares for them in advance. Anti-Communist repressive measures increase in number and in ferocity. More workers are today imprisoned or threatened with imprisonment than at any other time since the war. The application of the Criminal Syndicalism Laws is being widely extended. Meetings and demonstrations are attaacked and disrupted with a violence reminiscent of the war days. In many states the Communist movement is "illegal". For the first time since the end of war, the Communist press is being barred from the mails. The legislative offensive against the for-

radical international decline of commo- equalling the American volume of foreign tends the basis of sympathy for Com- such a radicalization have been and are now that the economic foundation for being established on an ever broader it is being shaken by the advent of the basis. The very fact that the American (crisis-be one of the determining factors and other institutions seek to negate by proletariat subjectively has lagged so far in driving it forward at an accelerated behind the advance of favorable objec- tempo. It would be a fatal error of the and big steps forward which it will take stand the nature of this rapid developwhen these objectively favorable condi- ment and the prospect of struggles ahead tions compel it to adjust itself to them and to catch up with them. In other words, the very backwardness of the American workers in the past, based not only upon specific historical causes but a new "Victorian era" for American capialso and primarily upon the extended talism and a comfortably slow developprosperity period of recent times, will- ment of the working class movement.

Social Reformism and the Perspectives of the Revolutionary Movement

Along what channels will the advanc-| democracy against the proletarian reving movement of the American working olutionary movement. Add to this the class flow in the next period? The Centrist illusionists proceed from the premise in theory that social reformism in the United States is going through a its expansion but also a reason to calprocess of decline and disintegration. while the Communist party is growing in culate upon its growth (not its "narrowing down") in the coming period. influence by leaps and bounds. This de-More than ever before, therefore, the termines their tactics to a large extent. next period will be a race for leader-The Right wing liquidators, on the other ship of the working masses between the hand, proceed in their practise from the social reformists-particularly their Left idea that the Communist movement is in wing-and the Communists, in which all decline; they turn their backs upon the masses in and around the organized Com- the resources and skill and endurance of the latter will be put to the severest munist ranks and under their influence, and stake their cards more and more test.

upon the Left wing of social reformism The Centrist apparatus-men see in the growth of the reformist movement, par--even here, not so much upon the discontented workers as upon the shifty ticularly of its Left wing (the Muste "Left wing leaders". The Left Opposigroup) nothing but a "conspiracy" tion proceeds from the idea that the against Communism. Anxious to have advancing workers' movement is flowing their own yesterdays of alliances with and will flow simultaneously along the the Purcells of all countries forgotten, channels of social reformism and Com- they fail to see that the Muste and simmunism. The proportionate strength of ilar "progressive" movements are the the two parallel streams will depend in initial manifestations of working class large measure upon the course pursued discontent with which the Communists by the Communists. The attitude of the must know how to establish contact, to self-contented bureaucracy which regards collaborate in a united front on the basis the growth of Communist and the deof immediate issues and freedom of accline of social reformism as an automa tion and criticism of the collaborating tic mechanical process-developing outforces. The Right wing spokesmen preside of time and space and unaffected by tend to see in this Left movement only the "ranks", and deliberately neglect to which is strengthened with every tememphasize the specific role played by porary or superficial success, is the the leaders, i. e., the contemptible role worst kind of self-deception and decepof paralyzing the activities and militancy tion of the ranks. It ignores the fact, of the masses, of preventing it from folmade indisputable by all recent evilowing its natural course towards revdence, that the basis for social reformolutionary struggle. The Left Opposition, ism in the United States, far from havrejecting both the superficial radicalism of the Centrists and the Menshevik tended. attitude of the Right wing, considers the

growth of the social democratic, and During the "prosperity period", social particularly of the Left social democratic reformism which, at the incention of (Muste) movements as a sign of great the period, had reached its greatest significance for the revolutionary party. sweep in the labor and farmer-labor The Communists can win the workers party movements, was almost entirely away from their reformist leaders only dissolved in the outright bourgeois and if they demonstrate their willingness to petty bourgeois reform movements of join with them in an honest united front the La Follette type. In the trade union field, the reformist and "progressests and needs of the whole class. The ive" elements the consummated type of Communists are not a sect with special which was the leadership of the Chicago interests outside the interests of the Federation of Labor (Fitzpatrick, Nocworking class in general. The Communkels, etc.) were once more absorbed into ist party is the vanguard of the workthe black reactionary swamp of the ing class with no interests separate from Gompers-Green bureaucracy. The eleit. As its most conscious enlightened. ments wavering between the revolutionand militant section, it must take the ary movement (the Communists) and the initiative in forming the broadest united arowed hourgeois movement in the workfront movement, showing to the working class (the A. F. of L. bureaucracy) ers at every stage of the struggle that ceased to play any decisive role in this period: they disappeared as factors in it is the Communists who are the most he labor movement, or they fell into ardent and willing defenders not only the other continents, the economic and complete passivity; or they reconciled themselves more or less completely with the trade union bureaucracy, or-this last group was composed of a diminishing few-they worked in intimate contact with the Communists. The tremendous material and ideological weight of the "prosperity" period dulled the militancy of the workers to such an extent that there was no place and no need—in the relationships between bourgeoisie and working class-of a substantial movement intermediate between revolution and reaction and performing the double function of expressing working invalidate its correctness. In the strugclass discontentment and diverting it gle for unity of the working class against from revolutioary paths. This path witnessed not only a decline of the Communist party's influence and numbers, but an even greater relative decline of the Socialist party. The "Chicago type" of progressives became identified once more with the Gompers machine and the genuine representatives of the provanished as a distinct group. The forces letariat's cause. Such a struggle corregrouped around Muste shrank into their shells more and more, eking out a quiet existence by the good graces of Green and Co. The advent of the crisis, preceded and succeeded by the blunders of official Communism brought with it a growing discontent of the hitherto passive workers. This discontentment is given its social reformist translation in the resurgence of the organized Muste movement (C. P. L. A.) in the trade unions and the growth of its influence (particularly in the textile and mining fields), in the appearance of the new "Left wing" in the socialist party, whose directorate is interlocked with that of the Muste group, and in the steady revival and growth of the Socialist party as a whole---its re-estalishment in the needle trade unions, growth of its press, increase in its election votes, etc. The growth, the unleashing of the social democracy in all its shadings, implies a certain "concession" of the bourgeoisie to the working class and an ability to make this "concession". In the all the greater reason why the Commun-The Left Opposition cannot accept the past period the American hourgeoisie was ists must become the banner-bearers in able to satisfy the working class (at the struggle for unity, openly, sincerely, least its upper strata) without the aid oisie has need of the social democracy the working class increases. The social appraisal of the relationship of forces in the A. F. of L. bureaucracy to its maneuver against the workers" but ra-

conditions an agreement with the reformist leaders-when they are compelled to take a step forward-is necessary and unavoidable. The dogma of the "united front only from below" vitiates the Communists were they to fail to under-Leninist conception of the united front and in practise leads to no united front that will increase in breadth and depth at all. The Communists cannot, of course and militancy, were they to instead look forward and adjust themselves, like the take the position that without an organic unity of the revolutionists and the re-Right wing faction, to the perspective of

formists (even if only on the trade union field), it is impossible to conduct successful struggles of the workers. Nor can the united front take the forms of such a bloc of leaders at the top in which the Left wing is subordinated to the agents of the bourgeoisie, and used as an instrument against the workers. The classic form of the betrayal of the working class, developed jointly by the Right wing and the Centrists (Stalia and Bucharin) in the Anglo-Russian fact that the American bourgeoisie is Committee, must stand as a lasting warnstill powerful enough to grant such "concessions" as the growth of reformism, ing against such a conception. Nor can we conceive of the united front as the and we not only have an explanation of

Stalinists do in their zig-zag to ultra-Leftism, that is, confining the whole question to an appeal to the workers to join the Communist party, to join the Left wing unions-and nothing else. This is recruitment, which goes on at all times, but it is not the application of the united front. The "principle" of unity is not and cannot be a felish to the Communists. But the movement for the united front is an invaluable instrument for mobilizing the masses under revolutionary leadership for militant struggle. It constitutes a powerful lever for unseating the reformists from their positions in the working class. The party must take hold of this lever and exert

all its pressure upon it. * * * *

What is the role of reformism? It serves as a bulwark created by existing bourgeois society against the proletarian revolution. Against the rising proletarian movement, the bourgeoisie and its reformist agents continuously seek to strengthen this bulwark, to prolong its existence. The Communists must seek to break it down as rapidly as possible. The existence of reformism is determined essentially by the strength of the capitalist class, its ability to rule. In the United States, this determinant factor would appear, at first sight, to invest reformism with vigor for many years or decades to come. In reality, however, its foundation is a very shaky one.

Reformism flourished for decades in such classic countries of capitalism as England and Germany in the period of the rise of imperialist sociefy, prior to the world war and the Russian revolution, which radically changed the relationships of international class forces. The new wave of reformism in the United States is rising in the period of the decline of world imperialism, in the for the defense of the immediate inter- period of war and revolution, with its abrupt changes and turns. The tremendous postwar strength and power of American imperialism grows more and more upon the foundation of the convulsively wracked and tenuous economies of the rest of the world. Its power is less and less founded upon an equilibrium of the home market or a balance between agriculture and industry. Precisely be cause the hands and feet of American economic and political power rest ever more heavily upon the shaky ground of revolutionary upheavals in the territories tend to rock the body of the American economic structure with growing violence. Unless the United States succeeds in completely Balkanizing Europe, in opening up the vast Russian market by the overthrow of the proletarian dictatorship, in completely exterminating the revolutionary movement in China-and these are the least likely of all perspectives-it cannot look forward to so lengthy a period of domination as was enjoyed by British imperialism for decade after decade. Even more than pre-war England, its Achilles heel lies precisely in the singular character of its world power. The Marxists cannot look forward to a fatalistic perspective in which the United States must be is only the reverse-but no less falseside of the "Marxian determinism" according to which England or Germany stood first on the agenda of the prolethese lands in point of revolutionary order. The unevenness of social development, rendered particularly acute and jerky in the present epoch of imperialism, may easily advance the United States toward the head of the list. This coincides with the idea that broad perspectives are now opened up for the growth of the Communist movement, an idea which the more penetrating sections of the bourgeoisie are not the last to share. It is in this connection that the reformists seek to set up the highest the A. F. of L. bureaucracy, their socialist assistants, and the "Left wing" progressive toadys of the Muste school. On the political field, most of these elements seek to erect a barrier in the form of a "Labor" or "Farmer-Labor" party, that is, a bourgeois workers' party in the image of the British Labor Party. The American revolutionary movement possesses the richest experiences in the field of "Labor party" politics, conducted in the period of the worst revisionism in the fundamental principles of the Communist moveent. None of the main formulations of this problem made in the American party in the period between 1923-1928 was based upon a Marxian conception of the role of the Labor party or of the nature of our epoch. In various

signs of a mass movement towards a mendous strikes in the basic, open shop industries of the country. Moreover, the 1920, the big textile strikes, the railroad walkout, together with the general strike in Seattle, did not coincide accidentally with the over-running of Eur-Alliance in England, the Hungarian and Bavarian revolutions, the occupation of

feat of the German October in 1923) and the American working class was marked by the steady decline of the trade union movement, the complete dissolution of for a greater share in the national in-

of them are actually being deported. Negroes continue to be subjected to the most atrocious persecutions and to that flower of American capitalist civilization, Lynch law. This whole vile system of persecution demands of the Communists the assumption of leadership in the struggle for elementary democratic rights of free speech, free press and free assembly, a struggle which has been left to the feeble and innocuous conduct of liberals of all stripe. The struggle for the right of the workers to gather, to speak freely, to write and distribute their writings, to organize and act unitedly is an inseparable part of the struggle to strengthen and make easier the road of development of the movements of resistance to the capitalist offensive.

The commencement of this movement of resistance is already visible on the horizon of the class struggle. The number of strikes, all of a defensive nature, has increased in 1931, as has the number of strikers. What is particularly significant is the strike movement of tucky, aggravated by the prolonged crisis in the bituminous coal industry. It is indicative of the change in the situation that the working class whose conditions were yesterday improved virtually without open struggle, is today comtle of desperation against what is tantamount to starvation, and in this instance, finds no leadership to guide it in its strike except that of the Communists. This strike, despite the specific conditions existing in the soft coal industry, cannot be considered an isolated phenomenon. Standing against a background of other strike struggles that have taken place in the last year or two (particularly in the textile industry, in the South) and of the sweep of the movement set going around the issue of unemployment, it is indicative of both the scope and the militancy with which the coming struggles of the American workers will be invested.

Centrist evaluation, according to which the American workers are already now -and allegedly have been, for the last of the social democracy or its Left wing. two years-manifesting a deep-going. In the period of its crisis, the bourgemass radicalization. In its initial declarations, the Left Opposition tended to ac- to the extent that the dissatisfaction of cept, although with qualifying reservations, this false estimate. The correct democracy-from its extreme Right wing

of their broad historical interests (the social revolution) but of the most narrow, limited and practical needs of the day (reforms). It is in this way of linking the struggle for daily needs with the final aim, of having the former pave the way for the latter, that the struggle for reforms can be carried on in a revolutionary sense, that is, it can be directed against the reformists.

The simple, obvious truth that the workers learn only by their experiences is regarded today as a "bourgeois deviation" by Centrism. But this does not the capitalist offensive, the workers will learn—provided the Communists know how to teach them -- that the Hillquits, the last country to fall to the assault Howats. Mustes, et al., are incapable and of the proletariat. Such a perspective unwilling to lead a real struggle for their needs, that the Communists are sponds most appropriately with the tarian revolutoin, while Russia was specific period in which we are partici-("denied" the possibility of surpassing pating: the offensive of the capitalist class, plus the weakness of the workers' defense organizations (trade unions, etc.) necessarily arouses among all the workers the feeling that unity is im-

nerative now more than ever before. Those who initiate, lead and fight most militantly for the unification of the labor front will strike a responsive chord among the workers, a response which will bear the greatest fruits for the Communist movement.

It is to cover up their own role of splitters of the labor movement, to cover possible barriers to the rapid progress up the fact that they are operating in of the revolutionary movement. On the the ranks of the workers as the agents economic field, these barriers are repreof the bourgeoisie, that the reformists sented in the trade union movement by of all shades seek to convince the workers that the Communists are "sectarians", that they want to "divide the workers". It is essentially by innumerable demagogic variations on this theme that the reformists are able to hold the workers back from Communism. This is vigorously, so that even the most back ward workers will see and understand where the Communists stand. They must emphasize and repeat tirelessly-and

prove it by deeds-that the Communist proposal for a united front is not-as the reformists charge-a "Communist

working class.

Left wing union as soon as possible and

out of hand. This is not the road along

4. The work of strengthening the

those established and tested for the rev-

olutionary movement by Marx. Engels.

theses, the Labor party was visualized | fascists", or their "Left" wing, produce | influence of the Communists. The first | the aim of strengthening the trade un- against the Party. On the contrary, it league for their liberation from the as the alternative to the distatorship of a new set of reformists of the old Fitzthe bourgeoisie! It was planned to be patrick type.

ist antagonists, the Socialist party.

sential and imperative step for the A:n-

erican workers, which the Right wing

the principal lever in unseating the Gompers bureaucracy. It was regarded as the source for an immediate "mass" Communist party. It was conceived as an embellished and more palatable substitute for the Communist party. It was viewed as an unavoidable and necessary "reformist" stage through which the Ameriaen workers had to pass before they were ready for Communism, and which the Communists had to initiate, to build up into a strong movement whose reformist programs the Communists were to write and defend, whose leadership was to be "in our hands" from the very beginning so that, presumably, it would not become "anti-Communist" or "too reformist". Not by accident did this shameful period in the history of American Communism embrace also the disgraceful "maneuver" with the petty bourgeois La Follette movement, and the flourishing of the "two-class party" theory and practise. Since they all functioned under the ideological domination of the Right-Center blee which had conquered power in the Comintern and stood upon its theoretical and political platform, all the party groups of that period shared to varying degrees the responsibility for the essentially revisionist conceptions that lay at the basis of the whole "labor party period", and the practises that flowed from them.

is ready to initiate to form and build All these conceptions and practises up. It is this perspective which it recommends to the Communist movement must be thrown overhoard, because they as a whole to adopt. The Left Opposiwere originally wrong. The Centrists tion, at its formative stage, leaned in motivate the change of course they have the direction of this reformist perspecundertaken on this score with the con- tives which constituted to a certain extention that conditions have changed. tent an uncritical carry-over of the prethat we have entered a "third period" ceding group struggle in the party, prior and because the reformists have "now to the time when the Left wing took become social fascists". This motival shape and was established as a political tion is both false and dangerous, and grouping distinct from all the others in leaves the real problem undecided. It the movement. The firmer establishment is no rejection of the disastrous course of its Marxian position dictates a break of the party, but the maintenance of the with this early standpoint and the adopbasis for its repetition in the future. tion of the one outlined here. The To condemn a "labor party policy" now adoption of this revised point of view, because of an alleged "third period" and the result of clarification in its own an alleged transformation of the reform- ranks, marks a step forward that will ists into "social fascists" leaves the door enable the Opposition to bring greater wide open for a return of the "labor clarity on this vital problem into the party policy" when the 'third period" revolutionary and labor movements as gives way to a "fourth" and the "social a whole.

The Position of the Communist Movement and the Tasks of the Party

The possibilities for a rapid revolu- replaced elections. The membership is tionary advance of the American move- taught a reactionary contempt for theorment encounter a tremendous retarding etical considerations and is instilled ininfluence in the form of one of the most stead with a vulgar "practicalness". It striking contradictions in the interna- is told, in effect to do the work it is tional labor movement: the growing gap commanded to do and not to do any between the increasingly favorable sitthinking or discussing about it. It is uations and the decreasing capacities to | constantly taken by surprise with new exploit them of the official Communist parties. This holds true with particu- as little explained away as the new pollar force in the United States. It is the icy is justified. Bewildered by the conwork of closing the blades of this openstant changes ordered from above by a ed "scissors" that invests the Left Op- leadership floundering in its own incomposition with its historic significance. petence, offended and degraded by the In spite of the manifest multiplication internal regime, like that of Prussian of objectively favorable opportunities army officers, the worker-Communist for progress, the Communist Party of drops out of the party or sinks into a the United States has, in general, only passivity from which he is only infrestagnation or regression to record. At quently raised by the frenzied, hectic a time when its ranks should be in- "appeals" for "shock troop activities" by

idea leads the party into premature actions into adventures, from the defeats of which it frequently recoils to the most The American Communists cannot unvulgar opportunism (from "fight the dertake to organize a petty bourgeois police" and capture the streets to fight workers' party "standing between" the for . . . soup kitchens). The second bourgeoisie and the proletariat. Abidea alienates the Communists from the stractly considered to be sure, were non-party workers particularly the prothere a mass movement which would orgressive-minded and socialist workers. ganize a labor party, the Communists and enabled the treacherous leaders of would have to take up the question of the latter to render and keep their folworking within it as a revolutionary nulowers antagonistic to Communism. The cleus. But this is a different matter enfirst step of real progress for the party they must initiate and lead. But the tirely. Moreover, it is a matter which demands as a pre-requisite that both Left wing unions too must be in the has less of a timely significance today these ideas be condemned and rejected. even abstractly-than in past years The party must, instead, take as its since there is no substantial movement at point of departure the lead that the preall for a labor party in the 1932 elecmises for a genuine radicalization altions. The Communists will enter that ready exist in the United States; that campaign under their own banner and the capitalist offensive during the prein direct struggle against their reform sent crisis opens the perspective for widespread Communist influence in the It is the reformists of all shades, the coming struggles; that this influence can Thomases and the Mustes, who seek to be won by mobilizing the workers of all set up this petty bourgeois party as a political and economic opinions in a wall against the workers' progress to genuine united front on the basis of a wards Communism; in this work, they concrete minimum program of struggle. are only fulfilling their mission and role It is not the objective situation which of prolonging as much as possible the is the cause for the shortcomings and "reformist period" in the development defects in the party today. This cause of the American working class. It is no ic rather to be sought and uprooted in accident that the Right wing liquidators the party itself, in its leaders and their of the Lovestone group have as the cenpolicies. What are the immediate steps tral point in their program the idea that that the party must take to mitigate and the Labor party's formation is an os-

eventually to purge itself of the crisis into which it has been thrown? particularly in such industries where the 1. The party must shake off the bur- decisive bulk of the workers are already eaucratic regime which struggles its iniorganized in conservative unions can tiative, its independence, its ability to only discredit the idea of the new ungrow. The appointment of party offiion movement. The equally injurious cials must be discarded and replaced by policy of splitting away small minorities their election upon the basis of qualifrom conservative or semi-progressive fications. Free discussion must take the unions with the aim of establishing a place of arbitrary commands from above. The party press must be opened immeregardless of its strength, its influence diately-and not only during the spurious and its prestige, must also be rejected

"pre-convention period"-for a thorough-

going and honest discussion of the party

which the new movement will grow crisis by the party members. and gain in influence among the workers. Concentration upon the unorganized 2 The party must discard its exagmasses, connecting this movement with gerated analysis of the tempo of develthe struggle to win the workers in the opment of the working class and must old unions to our side by concrete and adjust its course to the real relationship practical issues-this is the way in which of forces in the class struggle and the the new unions will gather strength and pace of its development. The party influence. For this, furthermore, the must finally rid itself of the ruinous stifling. mechanical regime which has baggage of remnants of the "third period", and particularly of the theory of been transferred into these unions bag 'social fascism". A broad, genuine poland baggage from the party must be icy of the united front must be promuleliminated ruthlessly. gated and every effort made to win the non-party workers on the basis of it.

party and ridding it of its bureaucratic 3. The arbitrary and unconditional revisionist deformations is inseparable policy —both in theory and practise—of from the work of the Left Opposition, deserting the reactionary trade unions which still have a relatively large foland cannot be carried out without the latter. The Left Opposition must be lowing must be radically revised. The taken back to the party to which it beparty must again penetrate into every trade union and build up the influence longs so that all its forces and ideas of the revolutionists on the basis of con- may be freely available in the work of crete demands and daily struggles, with regendrating the Communist party.

roustantly taken by surprise with new The Left Opposition and Its Role in the Movement

The International Left Opposition came to discredit and destroy them. The Left into existence as the counter-agent to the Opposition is not a party; it is a fac-Thermidorian reaction in the Soviet Un- | tion of the Communist party from which ion and the bureaucratic degeneration it has been expelled by the bureaucrats of the Communist International. The against its will and against the inter-Left wing did not establish itself out- ests of the movement. The Left Opposiside the ranks of Communists or of the tion does not seek to constitute a sec-Communist movement. It was brutally ond party; on the contrary, it categorically repudiates all tendencies in that

ion movement as a whole under rev- makes every endeavor to unite the Com- | Centrist bureaucracy. from opportunism, munist movement against the liquidatory and adventurism. It is only by such inolutionary guidance and with a revolutionary policy. This course must also be Right. This does not mean that the adopted in other mass organizations Opposition gives up the attempt to win of the party and league that the Op-(fraternal orders, cooperatives, etc.). to its ranks the militants who were This does not signify that the party driven into the Right wing by the stund should turn its back upon the Left wing tactics and indefensible course of Cenunions under its control. On the contrism. Ouite the contrary.

trary. The work of organizing the un-This viewpoint, further, determines the organized majority of the working class attitude of the Left Opposition to the in the United States is the foremost opportunist and confusionist standpoint task of the Communists, a task which of Weisbord. Posing as an "adherent" of the Left Opposition, which he discredits, Weisbord seks a bloc with the foreground of the struggle for the un-Right wing liquidators against the "party ited front. They must be given a life criminals" in order to do "mass work of their own, organizationally indenenand to separate the Communist movedent, with their own initiative and libment "as a whole" from "Menshevism' erty of action-under the political influ--which is represented in the Communist ence and guidance of the Communists. movement by precisely these Right wing They must be built up-and the basis liquidators. Weisbord's course could for their existence is extremely broad only lead towards a second party which, -not by party decrees, but by functionof course, he can never constitute. The ing as militant industrial union organ-Left Opposition has no need or reason izations in the daily struggles of the to go through the disastrous experiences of Urbahns, Paz, or Lovestone-a combination of whose policies and views are This must not, however, be interpreted to mean the organization of caricarecapitulated as Weisbord's program. The views of Weisbord are incompatible tures of new unions. It is necessary to with the views of the Left Opposition. emphasize this point because of the tendency prevalent in the party to pass off The task of the Left Opposition is not such counterfeits as genuine movements. the organization of a new party out of The arbitrary establishment of small the semi-reformist, semi-syndicalist, deand uninfluential committees, endowed moralized, passive, burned-out elements with the title of "industrial unions".

on the fringe of the Communist movement. Our task is the winning of the Communist workers to the principles which lie at our foundation. The first step in accomplishing this task has already been taken in the rallying of the initial cadres of our movement, constituted out of the most advanced Communist militants who, in the party in liberating theselves from the conceptions, and views of Centrism and the Right wing, and separating themselves from all groups and elements maintaining them. adopted the principles of the International Marxian wing. The preservation and training up of the first cadres has necessarily occupied the main place in the work of the Left Opposition in the United States since its establishment and has proceeded with considerable success in spite of the enormous difficulties, and with only an insignificant handful of capitulators to Centrism. The discussions in the organization, the absorption of the experiences of the Opposition in other countries, primarily in the Soviet Union, the publication of the Militant, the International Bulletin, and recently the issuance of a number of fundamental works in English—all these have been of invaluable aid in educating the first cadres of the Left Opposition, and what is more, of breaking down a large measure of the hostility against us artificially fostered in the party by the apparatus.

One of the main achievements of the Opposition has been its ability to contribute to the redressment of the party's course. By its comradely criticism to the party members and intransigeant opposition to the zig-zags of the Centrist leaders, the Left wing has succeeded in influencing the policies of the party to a far greater extent than is apparent. The partial turn from "social fascism" and isolation in the needle trades was considerably accelerated by the propagation of our viewpoint. The sectarian policy pursued among the Illinois miners was largely cast overboard through the combined effect of events

timate contact with the innermost life position will be able to accomplish the aims it has set iteslf.

The Left Opposition must now direct its attention towards a new wave of support from the ranks of the party. The contradiction between the interests and needs of the party, and the false course and incompetence of the bureaucracy, cannot forever be suppressed by the apparatus. The process of development in our direction will be considerably accelerated by the events in the international, and above all, by the events in the Soviet Union. The Left Opposition must be alive to the situation and drive ahead for a speedy crystallization of the new movement in our direction. This requires a firm tightening up of the ranks of the Opposition. As is inevitable, elements tend to enter our ranks as a place of refuge from the struggle. Others look upon their title of Lef. Oppositionist as an absolution from active, self-sacrificing daily work for the movement. The Left Opposition leave room in its ranks for such elements only at the risk of its own decadence. Tasks to every Oppositionist! Active daily work from every member! Great efforts and sacrifices for the movement! These must be inscribed upon the banner of the organization. The unavoidable organizational looseness that has been manifest up to now must be sharply checked and eliminated.

The Left Opposition has assumed a glgantic historical task; the restoration of the international Communist movement to the revolutionary principles upon which it was built up. In the United States this task is rendered doubly significant by the general backwardness of the working class, the weakness of the Communist movement, and by the magnificent revolutionary perspectives which are being opened up to the proletarian movement. The Marxist must be able to measure up to the heights of their task. Standing upon the firm foundations of the teachings of the leaders of the international proletariat, enriched by the lessons of the revolutionary struggles of the last two decades, irreconcilable in principle and remaining true to , its own past, the Left Opposition goes forward to the struggles of tomorrow confident of the ultimate victory.

Books

by Leon Trotsky

THE DRAFT PROGRAM OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL A Criticism of Fundamentals Introduction by J. P. Cannon

140 pages hard paper cover -35e THE STRATEGY OF THE WORLD REVOLUTION

86 pages, two-colored paper cover 25c Introduction by Max Shachtman THE SPANISH REVOLUTION

30 pages, paper cover COMMUNISM AND SYNDICALISM

The Trade Union Question Introduction by James P. Cannon 64 pages, paper cover 150 THE PERMANENT REVOLUTION -cloth bound 1.00

the Soviet Union, and the demonstrated readiness of hundreds of thousands to rally behind the leadership of the Communists.

The leadership imposed upon the party behind its back at the Seventh Convention has showed a tragic bankruptcy in all fields. The condition which the Third Congress of the Comintern described as the "crisis in the leadership on the eve of the revolution" exists to a greater or less degree at all times. In the United States where the party has been confronted for the past two to three years with the need of adjusting itself to the new situation and of reaping a rich harvest of new strength, influence and prestige in the working ent character, increasing in acuteness in sibilities for success.

The steady loss in party membership commencing with the inauguration of the Ruthenberg-Pepper-Lovestone leadership in 1925, has barely been checked by the new leadership. With the opportunities for growth almost unprecedented, the party membership is still considerably below 10,000, that is, less than half the 1924-1925 figure. The arbitrary, bureaucratic regime in the party, moreover vitiates even the present low figure: the new member remains in the ranks of the party only for a very short time. The turnover in membership is enormous Literally thousands of new members join the party only to take the place of other thousands who leave, and to be displaced in turn by other new recruits. The party members are ruled like political serfs the regime is increasingly mechanized; all live and free internal life, all initiative, all inquiry and discussion of vital problems are strangled upon appearance. The functionary rises to leader ship upon the basis of ever-ready obedi ence to the leader next in rank and immediately demands the same obedi ence from those "below" bim; he falls from leadership on the basis of the theory of scapegoats. Leadership based upon an ability tested in such a manner that "those who are led" may see, based upon fruitful initiative and independent thought, upon devotion to the

creased manifold, it is barely able to a leadership incapable of regulating a hold its own. This phenomenon is all healthy party life. While tried revoluthe more impressive in face of the ob- tionists, loyal to the party and educated vious increase of sympathy among the in Communist principles, are summarily masses for the ideas of Communism and expelled, new members are recruited directly into the party from street meetings and demonstrations, without any serious qualification test, without any

subsequent education to fit him for membership in the highest organ of the proletariat, the party. Finally, the general political-ideological level of the membership has suffered an alarming decline. These are some of the results in the party of the bureaucratic degeneration of the Centrist leadership which can remain at the head of the party only by strangling it.

The record is no less disastrons in those movement under the party's leadership. The T. U. U. L. and its affiliated unions have been reduced to skeleton orgnizations (resolution of the Profinclass, this crisis has assumed a perman- tern). The I. L. D. has stood still or declined (resolution of the International growth and triumph of international direct proportion to the increasing pos- Red Aid Plenum). The Unemployment Councils which, together with the party,

stood at the head of demonstrations embracing hundreds of thousands of workers, are reduced to their weak party fractions and an ever-changing handful of sympathizing workers. The "Anti-Imperialist League" has saved itself the trouble of being formally liquidated as

a petty bourgeois stamping ground by its virtual decay. The other auxiliary organizations show the same or a worse picture. In none of these organizations, particularly in the Left wing unions, is the non-party workers (and often enough even the party member!) made to feel at home. The party bureaucracy is so uncertain of its policies that it dares not submit them for the workers to judge them as applied in practise: it must therefore force its policies and its leadership upon the workers and even the party members by outrageous mechanical measures. This fact alone is an objective testimony to the fallaciousness of the present political course. The workers, however, cannot be won to Com munist leadership by tricks or violence. They can be won only by persuasion and by their own experiences. The attempts to win them by the present methods can only result in continuous losses and defeats.

The present party policy is still based movement instead of to the authorities ership of the Communists and the front- no struggle against the ideas, the princ the day,-is non-existent in the party. al attack upon the "social fascists", i. ciples, the traditions of Communism,

and dislovally detached from the ranks of the official parties by the repressive organizational measures of the Centrist and Right wing bureaucracy, acting as the conscious and unconscious agents of reaction.

The present numerical weakness of reinstated into its party, not upon the the Left Opposition is determined by the condition of an acceptance of all its defeats of Communism on an internaideas and principles, but only upon the tional scale. The Opposition in the Sovcondition that it is granted the elemeniet Union and throughout the Comin tary right of all Communist workers: the tern was organizationally defeated only freedom to defend its revolutionary point as a result of the period of reaction in of view at the proper time in the pro-Russia (1923-1928), of the defeat of the per way, and through the proper chan-German October, the Chinese Revolunels. tion, the English general and miners'

This general attitude towards the strikes, of the victory of capitalist stabparty at the same time determines the ilization in Europe following 1923, etc. attitude of the Left Opposition towards etc. The growth and victory of the Left other groups in the labor movement. Its Opposition, therefore cannot be founded struggle against Centrism has not reconupon the defeats of Communism and the ciled it to social democratic or anarcho Communist parties; on the contrary, syndicalist reformism; on the contrary, they are inseparably connected with the It has rendered it more intransigeant towards these petty bourgeols tendencies Communism. This determines for us in the working class for it is against our attitude to the official Communist their influence in the Communist move parties in general, and to the American ment that the Left Opposition has been party in particular. Our aim is to strenconstituted. gthen the Communist party, to regener-

All the fantastic myths and deliberate it. to help cleanse it of the evils that ate falsehoods to the contrary notwithcorrode it, to help it to prepare and constanding the Left Opposition conducts solidate its victories. The Left Opposition categorically re

the most relentless struggle against the Right wing liquidators (Lovestone, jects the narrow-minded, bureaucratic Brandler, Sellier, etc., etc.). The Right philistine idea-propounded by Stalinism wing is a bridge from the Communist and shared by many pseudo-Oppositionists-that the Communist party is idenmovement to the social democracy. That tical with its leadership. This is equiis what it was when it was the main partner in the leadership of the Comvalent to saying that the party has deintern (1923-1928), and it is that even cayed beyond hope, for the present leadmore so today, outside the Comintern. ership of the Comintern and the parties The Right wing does not move towards is bureaucratically and cynically degenthe social democracy at one step or in erated and lives a purely parastic exist one straight line. In some places, i ence. The party is something far greater has already gone over completely to the to us-and to the thousands upon thousocial democracy (Czecho-Slovakia, parts sands of militant workers in this counof the Brandlerites in Germany, Miller try who are in its ranks or under its and Co. in the United States); elseleadership. The party is the embodiwhere, it has "capitulated" to Centrism

ment of a system of revolutionary ideas (Bucharin in Russia); elsewhere it cxwhich the apparatus men have been unists "independently". But in any case, able to destroy completely. In the eves whether inside the party or outside of of the militant workers, it represents the it whether the immediately next step life and the traditions of the workers' of the Right wing is to the social demfatherland, the Soviet Union. It still embraces or influences the overwhelming ocracy directly, or indirectly through the Communist party (by temporary capitubulk of the active organized and semilation), the course of the Right wing organized revolutionary militants in the

country. It is upon them that the Left nevertheless remains the course of liquidating the Communist movement and upon the twin ideas of a stormy upsurge Opposition bases its activities and its its ideological foundations. This alone of the American workers under the lead hopes for growth. We are conducting determines the fact that the Left Opposition makes no alliance or bloc with the pointments have almost universally e., upon all those who are not under the but a struggle against those who seek Right wing, which means an alliance

and the direct intervention and critic direction. The party of the Opposition ism of the Left. The results obtained is the Communist party. Its ideas are in this field dictate an intensification of this work.

The Left Opposition cannot rest con-Lenin and Trotsky. The Left Oppositent with or confine itself simply to a tion therefore strives at all times to be repetition of its fundamental differences with Centrism on national socialism versus the permanent revolution, on the role of the party, on the nature of the colonial bourgeoisie, on the struggle against war, on the role of reformism, and so forth. It is the task of the Onposition to intervene actively, as an organized group, with its own proposals and program of action in every situation. This intervention must take place within and around the organized Communist movement, and not outside of it, without it, or without regard to it. The Left Opposition must appear not merely as an organ of criticism after the event. It can and should come forward in every field of the Communist movement's activity with proposals calculated to advance the interests of the movement, with the aim of showing by concrete action the correctness of a consistent Marxian line as against the confusion and zig-zags of Centrism. This work will not only strengthen the party and the general Left wing movement, but it will consolidate the Left Opposition, by convincing the revolutionary militants, on the basis of their own εx periences, that we stand firmly for the building of the revolutionary movement at every stage of its development.

Towards this end also, the Opposi tion must reorganize and consolidae it faction inside the party and Y. C. L. gaining to its side the best party militants, working inside the party and

paper bound .50TURN IN THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL AND THE GERMAN SITUATION 30 pages, paper cover 10c SINCE LENIN DIED By Max Eastman 1924 158 page book printed in London THE REAL SITUATION IN RUSSIA Introduction by Max Eastman

364 page book formerly \$2 now 1.00 MY LIFE-600 pages 5.00

Just Off the Press THE SPANISH REVOLUTION IN DANGER 64 pages paper cover 15cPROBLEMS OF THE DEVELOP-MENT OF THE U.S.S.R. 48 pages, paper cover 15c Special Rates in Bundles of 5 or more Order from PIONEER PUBLISHERS 84 East 10th Street New York City

WANTED

Literature Agents

to sell our pamphlets and books. Special inducement to unemployed comrades, in the way of a liberal discount. One comrade in New York sold over 500 pamphlets within 10 days.

Write for details. Pioneer Publishers 84 East 10th St., New York City



SATURDAY, JULY 25, 1931

The Result of the Elections in Spain

(Continued from page 1) on the basis of a genuinely universal and direct suffrage for men and women from the age of 18. In other words: tc the non-democratic and tricky Cortes we must, at the present stage, oppose the genuinely democratic and honestly elected popular Cortes.

Weakness of Spanish Communism

5. Had the Communists tried today to turn their backs upon the Cortes setting up against it the slogan of Soviets and of the dictatorship of the proletariat, they would only have showed that they should not be taken seriously. There is not a single Communist in the Cortes (according to the Turkish press) It is obvious that the revolutionary wing is much stronger in action, in the struggle, than in parliamentary representation. Nevertheless, there exists certain relationship between the strength of a revolutionary party and its parliamentary representation. The weakness of Spanish Communism has revealed itself completely. Under these conditions, to speak of the overthrow of bourgeois parliamentarism by the dictatorship of the proletariat would mean quite simply to play the role of simpletons and prattlers. The task is to become stronger on the basis of the parliamentary stage of the revolution and to assemble the masses around oneself. It is only in this way that parliamentarism can be vanquished. But it is precisely for this that op a violent agitation under the slogans not merely by the Conservatives gaining ish C. P., under the direction of Stalit is indispensable at present to develof the most decisive and the most extreme democracy.

6. What are the criteria for putting forward these slogans? On the one hand, one must have in mind the general dir ection of the revolutionary development which determines our strategic line; on the other hand one must take into account the state of mind of the masses. The Communist who does not reckon with this last factor risks breaking his neck. Let us reflect a little on the question of knowing how the Spanish workers, the masses, look upon the present situation. Their leaders, the socialists, are in power. This increases the demands and the intransigeance of the workers. Every striking worker will believe that he need not only have no fear of the government but, on the contrary, that help may be expected from it. The Communists must guide the thought of the workers precisely in this sense: "Demand everything from the government, since your leaders are to be found in this government" The socialists will reply to the workers' delegations that they have not the majority. The reply is clear: if the genuinely democratic right of suffrage is obtained, and if the coalition with the bourgeoisie is broken, the majority will know how to draw the correct political be gnaranteed. But that is just what conclusion? Will it mark a period of the socialists do not want. Their posi- growth for the Communist party? tion puts them into contradiction with the bold democratic slogans. If we simply oppose the dictatorship of the proletariat to the Cortes we will succeed in grouping the workers around the soc- fluence of the Communist party seeming- three capitalist parties" with the charialists, because both of them will say: The Communists want to command us. proportion, to the growth of influence of While by democratic slogans and by the the labor party. In future perspectives rupture between the socialists and the this must be seriously taken into ac-

tion? Let them endeavor to show us. Stalinist Slander As for us, we will recall to them that

when the Stalinists conducted in China the policy of the four classes the Political Bureau, under the leadership of Stalin sent telegrams to the Central Committee of the Chinese Communist Party demanding the curbing of the peasant movement in order not to repulse the "revolutionary" generals. Stalin and Molotov introduced a small restriction into the agrarian program: the confiscation of the lands of the big landlords except for those belonging to the officers. But since all the landowners and the sons and nephews of the landowners entered into the army of Chiang Kai-Shek. the union of the "revolutionary" officers

became a guarantee for the property of the landowners. This shameful chap- Kadikoy, July 1, 1931

LEON TROTSKY.

The first instance we have in mind was

the sorry role cut out for the Commun

ists in the Anglo-Russian "Unity" Com-

mittee during and after the general

strike. In the name of this spurious

united front the Communist party ap-

parently gained in influence and follow

ing, particularly for the Minority Move

ment. But it became only an influence

gained for, and a following built around

the "Left" deceivers, those who-also in

the name of the united front-betrayed

the strike. With the collapse of the

strike and the final collapse of the

Anglo-Russian "Unity" Committee, the

functioned temporarily as the "Left"

shield for the utterly reactionary trade

union leadership. From this blow, the

British Communist Party has not yet re-

The "Third Period" in England

the advice given by Lenin to British the arms of the bourgeois pacifists of expenditures. According to the report

The British Scene MacDonald's 'Victories'

Only a full understanding of the ra- a bloc with the labor party against the ther stormy developments of the class reactionaries in power-"to support (it) struggle in England during recent years the same way as the rope supports the can explain the rise to power and a two man who has hanged himself".

It would, of course, be foolish to atyear reign of the MacDonald Labor party leadership. But it must also lead tempt to interpret such advice in the to the conclusion that it has passed its sense of applying literally or in essence zenith and is facing its period of decline, at all times, But how far the Britat its expense but primarily by the inism, has departed from this fundamental approach in two important situations coming turn towards the Left of the British working class. This grows infirst in a Right opportunist direction, later in a Leftist direction, has been clear evitably out of the conflict of the shabby ly recorded by history.

Fabian-imperialist position of this leadership with the actual needs of the working class. The stormy strikes of 1917 to 1920

terminated in the defeat of Black Friday. In consequence of this defeat the British workers turned their attention to the political parliamentary field, to support of the labor party which became expressed in immense gains at the following elections of 1922. The Labor party polled 4,236,733 votes, almost doubling its vote at the preceding elections in 1918. Again in 1926, the general strike came to an inglorious defeat and once more the British workers put their faith in the labor party as expressed in influence and following remained by and the following elections of May 1929. It large with the deceivers who had merely again immensely increased its vote, polling a total of about 8,000,000. For the second time it took over the government.

Struggles Looming

cuperated. It could not then and could Without a question of a doubt serious not since unmask these "Lefts" because economic struggles are once more loomthe error of this spurious united front ing in England. The all important queshas remained uncondemned. tion is: Will the British workers now The 1929 elections, if they recorded anything, recorded a victory of reformentered its candidates |under the sloism over Communism. From the time of the general strike, the decline of ingans "class against class" and "fight all ly correspond quite closely, in its relative acterization of "social Fascism". As an rect. Moreover, in the class struggle it

"Leftism" in Communism? This pam-

ter in the history of the Stalinist lead ership canot be expunged. The Opposi-

tion found a copy of the telegram in the minutes of the Political Bureau, it denounced and doomed to shame this betrayal of the agrarian revolution. Now these gentlemen seek to attribute to us in Spain the crimes which they committed in China. They will not succeed: now the Opposition has its section in almost every country which will not permit them to spread lies and confusion with impunity. The Left Opposition will clarify all the fundamental disputed questions in the light of the Spanish revolution and it will take a gigantic step forward. Not for nothing is the revolution the locomotive of history.

of their professed gradualness of soc- termined by nothing but armed forces is faith, the persistence. the energy and ialism. On the other hand, had the C. perfectly well known to the pious gen-P. understood correctly the revolutiontlemen of the MacDonald government ary art of unmasking their deceptive who look with horror toward force apreformist position it would at least have plied by proletarian revolutions. So enabled it to secure the worker contacts

which could become particularly valuable now with the additional experience of "labor" in office. However with the ser- of the previous cabinets. For purposes ious errors of the Anglo-Russian "Unity" Committee remaining uncondemned and unclarified; with the Stalinist revisionism saturating the ocre of its leadership, this could not be expected.

In the language of bourgeois diplofull of heart and spirit, knowing that mats the MacDonald government is cre-

dited with a "brilliant" record in colonial and foreign affairs. It upheld the British tradition of-continuity in foreign affairs, in other words it proved it self an effective agent in carrying on

the policy of its imperialist predecessors. It has been characterized by that bourgeois diplomats call a "policy of firmness in Egypt and India'', which means that the laobr government has known how to interchange successfully "at the right moment" diplomatic trickerv or open brutal suppression; to substitute machine guns and deadly poison gasses for the mere police lathi and cane to subjugate their rebellious colonial people. If the recognition of and trade relations with the Soviet Union re-established by the second MacDonald government has not yet brought a favorable

trade balance to British capitalism, they undoubtedly still have hopes of reaching this point. At the Hague, the "litthe giant". Philip Snowden, in the words of the New York Current History, "pressed British claims with a pertinacity which the most imperialistic conservative who ever lived could not have surpassed"; Even Arthur Henderson has proven his "brilliancy" in defending British imperialist interests in foreign affairs.

MacDonalds Imperialist Policy

But it is now in most recent European devlopments that these hybrid pietists who abhor all force and violence,--except when in the interest of British imperialism-are again grasping for an opportunity "brilliantly" to serve these interests. While the American imperialists proceed in their ruthless expansion endeavoring to put the European powers on rations and at this moment, through the Hoover moratorium, particularly directs a blow at France, demanding armament reductions. MacDonald also sees his opportunity. That the European bourgeois powers will fight more desperately between themselves for their diminishing rations is now being historically proven. The French bourgeoisie insists on stiff conditions for financial assistance to Germany. The MacDonald government squirming under the pressure its capitalist masters already suffer for the American colonies, fight the harder for its diminishing ration. It

The second instance came after the joins the demands for armament reduc- Aparicio, Esteben Bilbao, Gorkin, La Stalin directed Comintern had officially tions-in France. On July 11, it organcroix and Juan Andrade. proclaimed the "third period". At the ized a "peace" parade through London, "But the difficulties we face are great 1929 parliamentary elections, the C. P. winding up in Albert Hall. All three We have before us the official party. party leaders, MacDonald, Baldwin with its denunciation and slanders of and Lloyd George, spoke-for peace. They us. Although the party has very little professed armament reduction in England authority among the masses, it attempts and strongly alluded to certain other to use the prestige of the Russian revanalysis the former is of course, cor- powers (primarily France) who had im- olution to fight us and to split the Commensely increased their armaments. While British imperialism is losing its of the Communist ranks. But we have convention of the Young Communist is always a matter of class against bourgeoisie, we drive a wedge between count: and it becomes an imperative class. But as a concrete slogan and with world hegemony it fights desperately for not the funds to issue the necessary pa- League. It summarizes in concise form the workers and the socialists and we duty for the British C. P. first of all to the combinition of the latter it could a European hegemony. However, the pers. leaflets, etc. We were able to the position of the Left Opposition youth.

tish workers to recognize the banalities mony within the capitalist world is de- wrong will not triumph; but by the the determined human heart, that which we regard as precious, that which we regard as essential to the divine purposes poses of creation, is bound by patience, while they talk peace and reduction of by energy and by faith to be carried to armaments they follow the tradition of triumphant issues in the course of world "continuity of policy" of the imperialists affairs."

But what his speech actually implied was a call upon all patriotic Britons of public consumption MacDonald wound up his "peace" sermon at Albert Hail to rm moore effectively to maintain by with the following drivel: "The problem force the diminishing imperialist ration that we have got to face is the man and against the competing powers, this time the woman that can come up to the as- particularly aiming at the former ally sault be beaten back, come back again. -France.

---ARNE SWABECK.

The Pittsburg Conference

was changed. What's an hour or two only from below". All this in spite of between friends?

the fact that the credentials committee Resolutions were adopted for the reshowed that out of a total of 682 delelease of every class war prisoner possigaes, only 65 came from the U. M. W. A. | ble-but of course, Morgenstern and (and they came mostly from minority Goodman were completely (or purposely) forgotten-they are Left Oppositiongroups in the mines and not the local unions—approximately three delegates ists.

It can be said with absolute certain'y that the delegates are returning home The conference very properly decided with renewed vigor to carry out the strike to a successful conclusion. And not to call a national strike as yet. The provided adequate relief reaches the field credentials committee report showed that the strike has great possibilities. It can the delegation consisted of representaalso be said with just as much assurtives of 35,279 miners still at work, and ance that the policies of Foster, Borich. of 45,491 mienrs who were on strike. It therefore becomes absolutely necessary and Company on the united front will to spread and deepen the strike at this constitute no help but rather a tremendous obstacle in the way of further stage of the game. The national strike growth of the Left wing in the mining

The six-hour day was incorporated inindustry, and in undermining the faith of the workers in the "progressives" of to the program. No explanation was the Keeney, Howat, Muste type. These given as to why the eight-hour day slopolicies must be replaced by a correct gan issued earlier in the strike was approach to the needs of the present changed to the six. The R. I. L. U. gave the word and the "leaders" forsituation-a genuine united front.

-GEORGE CLARKE.

HELP THE PRESS OF THE SPANISH OPPOSITION

French comrades. Can we count upon active and advanced Y. C. Lers. It is

you to help us spread our revolutionary therfore important that we have a wide

speaking workers in the United States? Communist youth. Comrades should

Can we count upon you for financial sup- make efforts in this direction.

From our Spanish comrades we have port?" received the following appeal:

(Continued from page 1)

Question of National Strike

is still a matter of the future.

got that the seven-hour day prevails in

the Soviet Union . . . and the program

to a mine group.)

This letter needs no comment except "The developments which Spain is to emphasize the urgency of the request. passing through today should be of the greatest interest and a serious lesson to Our comrades in Spain have an immense task before them. They are the only the working class everywhere. How soon we shall arrive at the social revolution force which can really be counted upon in Spain cannot be forefold, but the obto help unify the Communist movement and thus take the first steps toward prejective situation is obviously a revolutionary one. The Spanish Left Comparing the Spanish working class for munist Opposition has great possibilities the proletarian revolution. We pass of development. We believe we do not their appeal on to our readers and supporters. Will you help as generously as exaggerate when we expect that within you can? Will you forward your cona short time the Left Opposition in Spain will be the most important sectribution without delay for speedy transmission to our Spanish comrades? Send tion of the International Opposition. We have within our ranks very active comall funds to A. Gonzales, care of the Militant, 84 East 10th Street, New York rades of considerable authority in the City. All funds will be receipted and Spanish working class movement, as for example, comrades Andres Nin, Loredo announced in these columns.

> National Committee, Communist League of America, (Opposition)

$\bullet \bullet \bullet$

ATTENTION, YOUTH!

The June 15th issue of the "Young munist movement. We fight for unity Vanguard" contains our appeal to the

start the publication of our theoretical It can serve as a means of awakening thus prepare the next stage of the rev furnish a sober analysis. One may benot at all serve to win the workers. It French bourgeoisie have had the audac organ thanks to the contribution of our interest in our platform among the more gin by asking how did the party follow repelled them and threw them back into ity even to surpass England in armament olution.

The Slogan of Soviets

Communists in his polemics against the labor party leadership. The brief of the World Peace Foundation, the 7. All the considerations mentioned above would remain a dead letter were phlet. written in 1920 it will be recall- ment of these politicians in 1924 had least the public figures) France \$446,980,we to confine ourselves to the democratic ed, proposed to the Communists to form by no means been sufficient for the Bri- 000-England \$465,255,000. That hege slogans in the parliamentary sense. There can be no question of this. The Communists participate in every strike, in every manifestation of protest, in every demonstration, they arouse ever more numerous strata. The Communists are with the masses and at the head of the masses in every fight. On the basis of these fights, the Communists put forward the slogan of Soviets and build up these Soviets on the first occasion as organizations of the proletarian united front. In the present stage, the Soviets can be nothing but this. But if they arise as fighting organizations of the proletarian united front, they will inevitably become, under the leadership groupings pose the present political of the Communists organs of the insurrection and then also the organs of power.

program, one must in no case forget the remain questions which require a supindependent role of the agricultural plementary study. Before a resorting to workers. This is the most important the press, I now want to pose thee queslever of the proletarian revolution in tions before you, and through you, bethe country. With the peasants, the fore the Spanish Communists and before cultural workers are a part of the prole- position. tariat itself. This profound difference must never be forgotten.

_____ Froblems of the Spanish Kevolution

In a letter to comrade Lacroix, I pre- of power, for the creation of the prosented some supplementary considera- letarian regime.

tions on the subject of the situation in Spain. Unfortunately, I have not the complete information neded to know how the Spanish Communists of the various questions. The analysis of the revolutinary situation under these conditions is harder than playing chess without ing and militant slogans which do not oisie from power and to put the govern-8. In developing boldly the agrarian looking at the chess-board. There always workers make an alliance, while the agri- all the sections of the International Op-

The "Intermediate Revolution"

A considerable portion of my article 9. I learn from La Verite that the on the dangers which threaten the Span-Stalinists accuse the whole Left Opposi- ish revolution is devoted to showing that tion as well as me personally of being between the bourgeois-democratic revagainst the immediate confiscation of olution of April and the future proletarlanded property. Truly, it is hard to ian revolution, there is no room for an foresee in what direction the demagogic intermediate worker-peasant revolution. bureaucrats will turn. What does the In passing I emphasized that this does "immediate" confiscation of the land not mean that the party of the prolesignify? By whom? By what organiza- tariat, "up to the final struggle", must tions? It is true that the incompara- occupy itself solely with accumulating ble Peri affirmed back in April that the forces. Such a conception would be anti-Spanish peasants were building up Sov- revolutionary and worthy of philistines. lets and that the workers were follow- If there cannot exist an intermediate reving the Communists in masses, Natural- olution, an intermediate regime, there ly, we agree that the Soviets (or unions, can on the contrary be intermediate or committees) should immediately take manifestations of the masses, strikes, dethe land of the large landlords into their monstrations, encounters with the police hands. Only, the peasants must be and the army, violent revolutionary colaroused. And for that, the workers must | lisions during which the Communists be torn away from the influence of the will naturally be in the front ranks of socialists. One does not go without the the fight. What is the possible historical other. Would the Stalinists like t_0 say sense of these intermediate struggles? that we favor landed property? But On the one hand, they can provoke demeven in calumny there must be logic. ocratic changes in the bourgeois-repub-How does the defense of landed pro- lican regime and on the other hand, they present task consists of the struggle to was right-we or you." perty flow from the permanent reovlu- can prepare the masses for the conquest drive the bourgeois ministers out of the This is dealt with above in connection Russian "social Fascists" the following Kadikoy, June 24, 1931

The participation of the Communists in these struggles and above all their participation in the leadership of these struggles requires of them not only a clear comprehension of the development of the revolution as a whole, but also a capacity for issuing such specific burnflow directly from the "program" but ment of the conciliators to the test in which are dictated by the circumstances action. It was an indispensable stage of the day and lead the masses forward. Everybody knows the enormous role played in 1917, during the Russian coalition of the socialist-conciliators and the liberals, by the Bolshevik slogan: "Down with the ten capitalist ministers!" The masses still had confidence in the soc ialist-conciliators, but the most confiding masses always have an instinctive distrust of the bourgeoisie, of the exploiters, of the capitalists. It is upon this that the tactic of the Bolsheviks was based for a definite period. We did not say, "Down with the socialist min-

isters". We did not even issue the slogan "Down with the Provisional Gov ernment" as a fighting and actual slogan. But for that, we always hit incessantly on the same nail: "Down with the ten capitalist ministers!" This slogan played an enormous role, since it permitted the masses to convince themselves that the socialist-conciliators thought the most consistent class enemy, and by much more of the capitalist minister than of the working masses.

Slogans of this type correspond better than anything else to the present stage of the Spanish revolution. The proletarian vanguard is entirely interested in pushing the Spanish socialists to take while having no confidence in them. And the power into their hands. That is why when they are in power, we will put the coalition must be broken up. The them to the test and we shall see who

coalition. Such a solution of this task or some other, is possible only in connection with important political events, under the drive of new movements of the masses, etc. Thus, under the drive of the movements of the masses in Russia, there was thrown overboard from the coalition government first Gutchkov and Miliukov and later on Prince Lvov Kerensky was put at the head of the government, the number of "socialists" increased, etc. After the arrival of Lenin, the Bolshevik party did not solidarize itself for an instant with Kerensky and the conciliators. But the party helped the masses to remove the bourgeon the road of the rise of the Bolsheviks

to power. **Communists and Socialist Workers** The elections to the Cortes will reveal

from what I can judge from here, an extreme weakness of the Right wing re publicans, of the type of Zamora-Maura They will give a preponderating advan tage to the petty bourgeois conciliators of various colors: radicals, radical-socialists, and "socialists". In spite of this, one can predict with certitude that the socialists and the social-radicals wil cling with all their strength to their Right wing allies. The slogan "Down with Zamora-Maura" is quite opportune. Only, one thing must be understood: the Communists make no agitation for the Leroux ministry, they take no responsibility for a socialist ministry, but at every given moment they direct their blows against the most determined and that they weaken the conciliators and clear the ground for the proletariat. The Communists say to the socialist workers: "You have confidence in your soc ialist leaders, compel them then, to

other events, as for example the repres-, sions against the masses, can confer an exceptional acuteness upon the slogan of "Down with Zamora-Maura". Victory in this field, that is, the resignation of Zamora, might, at the given stage, have almost the same significance for the subsequent development of the revolution as the resignation of Alfonso in April. In order to issue such slogans one must b guided not by doctrinary abstractions but by the state of mind of the masses by the reaction which each partial suc cess produces upon the masses. The opposition pure and simple of the slogan of the "dictatorship of the proletariat" or of the "workers' and peasants' republic" to the present regime, is entirely inadequate, because these slogans do not move

the masses.

experience of the nine months' govern- figures for the last fiscal year were (at propaganda material to the Spanish distribution of that issue among the

As a counterpart to what has just been said, the question of **"social Fascism**" omes forward again. This stupid in vention of the terribly Leftist bureau cracy becomes today in Spain the greatest obstacle on the road of the revolu tion. Let us return again to the Rus sian experience. The Mensheviks and the Social Revolutionists, being in power, conducted the imperialist war, they defended the capitalists, they persecuted the soldiers, the peasants and the work ers, they proceeded to arrests, they in troduced capital punishment, they pro tected the assassing of the Bolsheviks they compelled Lenin to lead an llegal existence, they kept the other leaders of Bolshevism in prison, they spread the worst slanders against them, etc., etc. All this was more than enough for call ing them "social Fascist". But in 1917 this word did not even exist which did not, as is known, prevent the Bolsheviks from coming to power. After the terrible persecutions against the Bolsheviks take power. We will help you partially, in July-August the Bolsheviks sat together with the "social Fascists" in the organs of struggle against Kornilov. At the beginning of September, Lenin, from his secret hiding place, proposed to the

with the composition of the Cortes. But compromises: "Break with the bourgeoisie, take over power and we Bolsheviks will fight inside the Soviets for power by normal (peaceful) means."

> Had there been no difference between the conciliators and Kornilov, who was the genuine "Fascist", then no common struggle of the Bolsheviks and the conciliators against Kornilov would be possible. Yet this struggle played a great role in the development of the revolution, by repulsing the attack of the counter-revolution of the generals and by helping the Bolsheviks to tear the masses away completely from the conciliators.

> The nature of the petty bourgeois democracy lies precisely in the fact that it swings between Communism and Fascism. During the revolution, these swings are particularly accentuated. To regard the Spanish socialists as a species of Fascism means to give up utilizing their inevitable swings to the Left: this means to cut off for oneself the road to the socialist and the syndicalist workers.

> To conclude, I must emphasize tha the merciless criticism of Spanish anar cho-syndicalism today presents the mos important task, which must not be neglected for a single instant. At its top anarcho-syndicalism is the most masked the most perfidious and the most danger ous form of conciliation with the bour geoisie. Among the workers who constitute the ranks of anarcho-syndicalism, there are potentially very great forces for the revolution. The fundamental task of the Communists here is the same as towards the socialists: to oppose the ranks to the top. However, the work must be carefully adapted to the specific spirit of trade union organization and to the specific character of the anarchist mask. On this point, in another letter. I insist once more: The articles, resolutions, paltforms etc., of the revolutionary organizations and groups in Spain must be assembled and translated into French, and sent to all the sections for translation into other languages.

> > LEON TROTSKY