

FIVE CENTS

the following comment by Warren Moscow, N. Y. Times political

"The victory of Rudolph Halley in last Tuesday's election buried, probably for all time, the myth of party-organization strength in New York City. When both major parties go gunning for a minor-party nominee under conditions technically tailored to their advantage and wind up floundering in his wake, no further proof is needed."

There is no doubt that the Halley campaign reflected the disgust of the electorate with both the Democratic and Republican parties and the desire for a change. While the lamentable Mayor Impellitteri, who was elected last year, had bolted Tammany, he ran as an independent Democrat. The candidacy of Franklin D. Roosevelt Jr. had been given Liberal Party backing as the result of a schism within the Democratic Party; Roosevelt remained an independent Democratic candidate. Halley's candidacy differs from these; he ran initially and primarily as a Liberal Party candidate, independent Democrat

There are undoubtedly other important factors that caused Halley to be elected: the glamor of television and the sensation of the Kefauver hearings which shocked the public conscience and incriminated both the old machines. But it cannot be contro-

The Apostasy of the Liberals: The Test of the Egyptian Crisis

... page 6

Cooking Up the Middle East Pact ... page 3

Election Scandal at Brooklyn College

... page 8

CIO War on Pay Freeze Strains Truman Alliance

By WALTER JASON

NEW YORK, Nov. 10-The main value of the 13th annual convention of the CIO was to serve as a preview of the coming turbulent days ahead for the industrial union movement, in which it bids fair to be engaged in an intense fight with its closest political ally, the Truman administration, over the wage freeze.

The heart of the CIO position was expressed candidly by President Philip Murray in his remarks on the wage policy resolution. He said

"You can't stabilize prices and you cannot stabilize wages unless the government of the United States attempts an all-out control. And that evidently is not in the offing."

"Mr. Johnston, in the course of his address to the convention yesterday, stated quite frankly that what he intended to do was freeze wages. Wages cannot be frozen without controlling profits and absolutely freezing prices."

Although the printed daily

proceedings do not show it, Murray also declared, "There is no free collective bargaining in America today." He also accused the government of "holding a bludgeon over the heads of labor."

ANOTHER PRICE BOOST

He warned: "The working population of the United States is in no mood to accept a onesided discriminatory system of regulation that operates only against those who work and work hard for a living."

A dispatch in the New York Herald Tribune on Friday, November 9, served to emphasize this point, by listing all the wildcat strikes that have already taken place in recent months in the steel industry.

The announcement by Michael **DiSalle on November 9 that price** increases for nearly one fourth of all manufactured products were allowed that day also points up the crisis for the union movement in terms of its declining standard of living under the present wage freeze.

The brief report of Emil Rieve, CIO member of the Wage Stabilization Board, on the attitude of the so-called public members as well as the industry members of the board against the CIO demands, likewise illustrated the increasing difficulties which the CIO is having with its present po-(Continued on page 2)

CIO Blasts Smith Act and CP Arrests

vention finally took up the matter of the conviction and imprisonment of the Stalinists under the Smith law. To be sure, the action comes a little late, but nevertheless it is a-very significant step forward for the CIO, and it does place a major segment of the American union movement against the "legal" witchhunt of re-

Communist leaders was a grave blow to America's precious heritage of freedom of speech. We detest the men who were convicted under the Smith Act and we despise the ideas they spew forth, but the conviction and imprisonment not for conspiring or attempting to overthrow the government by violence, but solely for conspiring to 'teach and advocate' the 'propriety' of such overthrow, is a threat to the free speech of all men. We urge the vigorous prosecution of acts of espionage and sabotage, but insist that the prosecution of men for advocacy of ideas, however repulsive, does not benefit the cause of freedom. Communist ideas never win out in the market place of thought in a vigorous de-

The resolution also blasted McCarthyism and the MacCarran committee, and it urged the review and revision of both the Smith Act and the Subversive Activities Control Act. It called for the expulsion of Senator McCarthy from the Senate.

This resolution is the first official occasion on which the CIO has protested the imprisonment of the Stalinists. It ends a period of silence on civil liberties which was not the least factor in the unbridled witchhunting in Washington and the nation. It ends the alibi of those CIO unionists who said privately, if

not publicly: "Why should we bother with the Stalinists? The court's found them guilty. That settles it." At least in the UAW-CIO, this line may not be used as frequently. In other important issues, vital to the union movement, the

CIO adopted resolutions which clearly stand far superior to those emanating from the recent AFL convention.

On the Negro question, the CIO called for an immediate proclamation by President Harry S. Truman, issuing an executive order for the establishment of an FEPC Commission with enforcement powers.

The third important resolution was a blast at racketeering and a constitutional provision for eliminating racketeers who take over in local unions or elsewhere in the CIO. This stands in the sharpest contrast to the AFL convention, whose leadership for years has tolerated and ignored the racketeer problem in some AFL unions.,

Page Two

LABOR ACTION

CIO CONVENTION SIDELIGHTS

the CIO convention who obtained the attention of the nearly 600 delegates. That man was Philip Murray, CIO president.

During the speech-making on the civil-rights question, Walter P. Reuther, UAW president, gave a brilliant analysis of the Negro problem to which the reporters listened closely. As for the deleactes, they forced Reuther to speak at the top of his voice to keep ahead of the murmurs and whispering.

DIVISION OF LABOR

Best convention story, in our opinion, concerns the solemn statement of a Steel Workers' representative who was explaining how the Steel Workers' union operates.

"We have two types of meetings, conferences and conventions. At our conferences, we have discussion and no action. At our conventions we have action-and no discussion."

And the tragedy of the CIO movement is that this kind of stamp is rapidly marking its or- president. ganizations.

SENSITIVE AREA

An interesting question at this convention was: Did Victor Riesel make up his story that the CIO was prepared to pass a resolution endorsing the Truman appointment of an ambassador to the Vatican, or did he fall for a tip given him by persons in the CIO leadership who feared such a prospect might occur in view of the pleasant relationship between Cardinal Spellman and Philip Murray?

In any event, there seems to be sensitivity on the delicate matter of the "Catholic bloc" in the CIO leadership.

NO NAMES, PLEASE

There was debate on one subject at this convention. The CIO Utility Workers, thanking President Murray for giving them the right to speak for their principles. made speeches against the traditional views of the CIO on public power.

Without mentioning names, the Utility Workers' spokesmen said, "Certain people in the union movement, as well as in the government, want government control of industry, and we are ity and the necessity of pensions

There was only one speaker at against it." One delegate did sug- and he thought we were nampergest in brief reply that this sounded too much like the big utility for them when they reached the corporation advertisements, but the real people against whom these criticisms were made-the ex-socialist bloc-kept a discreet that the general has ever commitsilence. The Utility Worker spokesman insisted he was NOT referring to men "like President Murray, Alan Haywood, or Jim Carey.'

HALLEY

There was considerable jubilation among many delegates at the victory of Halley in New York City. "Shows hope for the future," was a typical comment. Of course, the CIO delegates forgot to mention that the CIO, including the UAW-CIO, opposed the candidacy of Halley.

The one New York political figure who spoke at the CIO convention, presented as an illustrious son of a great father. Franklin D. Roosevelt Jr., also supported the Tammany-backed candidate. Joseph Sharkey, in the New York municipal election for council

END OF A HOPE

One of the pet dreams of some CIO leaders was the hope that General Dwight Eisenhower would tawe the Democratic Party nomination,- and thus "provide labor with a victorious candidate in the 1952 elections." Arthur Krock's column on Thursday, explaining that Eisenhower refused such an offer from President Truman, spoils that hope. It also speaks for the **CIO** leaders ilke Walter Reuther who were enthusiastic for Eisen- RAPE hower in 1948. Eisenhower turned down the Truman offer because he was for the Taft-Hartley Law, and against the Fair Deal aspects of Truman's verbal professions and the planks of the Democratic Party.

ON EISENHOWER

Emil Mazey left no[#] doubt where he stood on the possible candidacy of Eisenhower for president: "I find nothing in his record that would indicate that he is an acceptable candidate as far as organized labor is concerned. . . . You will recall that some time ago he pooh-poohed the idea of the importance of social securing people by trying to provide age where they were too old to work and too young to die. That is the only basic social question ted himself on. I say to people looking for a sure winner, let's make certain we don't buy a pig in a poke and that we don't try to elect a person who has not demonstrated in a single manner his fitness for the top position in this country of ours." After hearing his speech, both Emil Rieve and Joseph Curran said they agreed.

Times have changed since 1948 when the labor leaders were stumbling all over themselves looking for a man to supplant Truman as Democratic nominee - and turned up none other than Eisenhower. And today their Fair Deal paladin Truman offers to turn the presidency over to the man Mazey was talking about, according to Arthur Krock. . . .

CIO AND CICERO

Among the brief speeches during the resolution on civil liberties and discrimination, Delegate Townsend, one of the few top CIO leaders who is a Negro, bluntly pointed out that "part of our own membership was involved in the Cicero riots. because part of our secondary leaders haven't the courage to take this issue up." President Murray also made a brief comment on this. Neither mentioned the fact that this area of Chicago had many of the Steel Workers' union members.

The burning preoccupation of the CIO delegates with the wage question was shown every day, when no matter what subject was discussed this issue arose. President Murray, in accepting his re-election, again spoke indignantly on the "rape of the American people," by congressional action.

IN THAT ORDER

Perhaps the clearest expression of the philosophy of CIO President Murray was made in his opening remarks: "A man must stand for God, Country, Home and Trade Union, in that order." We wonder how this jibes with the philosophy of other top CIO leaders?

Incident in France: **Reuther Tells a Story**

The resolution and "discussion" at the CIO convention on foreign policy was in the main a routine endorsement of the Truman administration's cold-war policies. The only slightly different note struck was in the short speech by Victor Reuther, the CIO's' European representative.

The official resolution was critical of U.S. policy at only two points-on Franco and on the degree of involvement of labor men in the foreign-affairs programs. As noted elsewhere in this issue. the section on Franco avoided any direct criticism of Truman. In truth, the CIO-and the American labor movement in generalhas shamefully failed to work out any distinctive foreign policy of its own, preferring to vote its enthusiastic hallelujahs for the White House line.

AMERICAN ORDERS

If Victor Reuther's speech departed from the rut, it was by putting before the delegates a small slice of the reality of U. S. foreign policy as seen from Europe. Reuther reported at one point:

"Let me cite a few examples of the kind of problems we are running up against. South of Paris a little ways is a little industrial town called Chateroux. There is an aircraft factory there and the authorities naturally were concerned with building up the rearmament program and needed that plant for the production of war materials, and they took over the plant and designated the French Air Force officials as the agency responsible for handling labor relations.

"Now in a country where the largest single bloc in the tradeunion movement is under Communist control and where the Communist Party gets five million votes, your colonels and generals understand military matters but not labor relations. They don't understand how to really fight Communists. They post a notice in the factory saying from this day on the Comité d'Entreprise, the work council, the shop committee elected by all the workers in the plant, is dismissed, wiped out, every member of the shop committee is discharged.

"And in this cute little announcement was a phrase which has certainly done nothing to contribute to the friendly relations between

the people of France and America There was a statement that this order was being carried out on the instructions of the American authorities for security reasons.

"Well, of course, you wouldn't let the management deal with the Communist problem by arbitrarily firing shop-committee members. We will take care of the Communist problem as tradeunionists by strengthening our own free trade-union forces and by launching the only kind of constructive and positive trade-union program that reveals itself in bread-and-butter terms, that kind of program of demagogic Communism or demagogic Fascism is not a subject for and makes no comparable appeal. If there was no strong Communist influence in that plant it certainly got a big helping hand by that kind of policy approach to the Communist

TRICKLE-DOWN

At another point, after noting that "we have given our blessing to the Marshall Plan," he added: "But you know what, the only

15

place where the benefits of the Marshall Plan have really trickled down to the boys at the grassroots level, where the benefits of the Marshall Plan reveal themselves significantly in terms of higher living standards, the only place that has occurred is in those countries where the free tradeunion organizations are not only strong in the factories, mines and mills, but influential politically in the councils of the government.'

In this he contrasted Britain and the Scandinavian countrie's favorably as against France and Itaty. He did not, of course, refer to the well-known effects of the Atlantic Pact's rearmament program on the "fair shares" policy of the British Labor government and on the British workers' standard of living; but the degree of difference that does exist might have been food for thought for those delegates who opposed independent political ac+ tion by labor in this country.

You're Invited

to speak your mind in the letter. column of L.A. Our policy is to publish letters of general political interest, regardless of views. Keep them to 500 words.

CIO War on Wage Freeze -The heavy hand of government

(Continued from page 1) sition in relation to the administration.

admitted that they expected no relief from this intolerable situation during 1952!

WARNS OF BLUDGEON

What are they going to do?

Murray said: "I don't proclaim to the universe

lurks forever around the corner. It may have a bludgeon in its hand us." Furthermore, the CIO leaders ready to bash our brains in with-I don't know. "But whatever hazards are in-

who are responsible men. understanding that trust has been reposed in us, will endure all of those hazards, and provide with I am going to do business as usual. whatever wisdom God may have



given us, a proper sense of direc- Borg Warner strike, and one gets policy of support of the Truman . tion for the people who employ a perfect illustration of where an administration and the elemen-

no appeal to class hatred about takes a more militant position ment is going to assume more inthese things-it is the furthest cident to the prosecution of ordi- thing in my mind-or class nary collective bargaining, we strife." However, he emphasized again, "It may be that workers will be forced into a strike situation through no fault of their own."

CONTRAST WITH UAW

very frank picture of the real situation in union negotia-

tions was described by Murray: "What do you do when you meet an employer and he says to you at the beginning of the conference, 'Here it is, take it or leave it. If you don't like it, go or over to the Wage Stabilization Board. If they give you a couple of pennies, we will seek a price increase. Go on: Get out of here. That is the extent to which we are going to collectively bargain with

"To all practical purposes that is the kind of collective bargaining going on today."

Contrast that speech with the Caspar Milquetoast letter of the UAW-CIO to the Wage Stabilization Board—a fine example of collective begging replacing collec-tive bargaining—in calling off the

crdinary unionist, concerned with tary needs of the American peo-Murray added, "I am making the ABCs of collective bargaining, ple represented by the labor movethan a "socially-conscious" leadership personified by Walter P. Reuther. [The UAW's letter was published in LABOR ACTION last week. -Ed.]

> The real situation in America was furthermore brought out by the admission of Maurice Tobin. secretary of labor, that 20,000,000 families whose wage earners were not organized have received no wage increase since inflation soared upwards in the last two years.

Of course, no speaker at the convention asked the question: Why did we get in this terrible predicament? The discussion on political action was purely rou-

tion to grapple with this basic problem marks the political blind alley into which its present political policies have driven it. In the field of foreign policy, the determination of the CIO leaders to look with blinded glas-

The failure of the CIO conven-

tense forms.

ses at the Truman administration was shown by their blasts at the "military viewpoint" which sought bases in Spain, worked with the totalitarian governments in various pacts and recognized Franco. The idea is that Truman was NOT responsible for this foreign policy.

This happens to be something that no one outside of the labor movement professes to believe.

Get it EVERY week! A sub to LABOR ACTION is only \$2 for 1 year

By GORDON HASKELL

yet to be seen. Everyone knows that the power

ance.'

highest hidder

old imperialist powers.

ticipate.

WHICH WAR?

other of all time.

peace agreement. Further, each side in this war

Shachtman Speaks in Cleveland

CLEVELAND, Nov. 10-As part a few questions asked, and the of his national tour, Max Shacht- meeting ended with a discussion man, national chairman of the Independent Socialist League, spoke here on Wednesday, November 7, at the Slovenian National Home. Comrade Shachtman spoke on "The Struggle for World Power," elaborating on the reactionary natures of the two great power blocs, and explaining the position of the ISL in advocating support of the Third Camp as agginst the American and Russian war

tine. But the conflict between the

FOREIGN POLICY

Mid-East Pact Cooked Up For Western Domination

November 19, 1951

Our world is witness to the development of some very peculiar political-military structures. But of all of these, there is little doubt that the proposed Middle East Command is one of the strangest

of Britain and France is waning fast in this area. At one time, they were in a position to buy and sell the governments and armies there, or if the price was set too high by some ruler, to undertake a little "police action" which would soon quell the "disturb-

But those happy days are gone. Even the corrupt rulers have come to understand that more can be gained from independence than from bribes, and in any event the rising national feeling of their subjects makes it impossible for them to sell their national resources and independence to the

But neither Britain nor France have the strength or the will to "police" the area in the old way. The American government is logth to take on itself the onus for stepping into the military boots of the

And thus a wonderful new idea has been born: to set up a nonnational, more or less internationmay contribute forces. In addiily there) will also be able to par-

The purpose of the command is to be, the official announcement of its creation states, to defend the area from "outside aggression" But while doing this, it is not to interfere in any way with any aggression by one or more states inside the area against each

This points to one of the features which makes the proposed Middle East Command not only the most peculiar military organization in existence but perhaps

For in this area there is one delicate problem which the wise framers of the proposal for the Middle East Command have not been able to wish away. Although "outside aggressors" (read: Russia) are not at the moment pounding at the gates of the Middle East, there is in fact a "war" going on among countries "territorially in the

area," namely the Arab states against Israel. Although this war is not, at the moment, being fought with guns, it is one which has never been declared at an end and which has not been terminated

side is convinced that if the other tion of joining in. This is no gets enough arms they will be used doubt a clear example of the prininside the area, and not at all against any "outside aggressor." And thus each side is very much ting up the Command, which opposed to the other side being reads: "it is incumbent upon armed, for whatever supposed purpose.

THE REAL PLAN

That is quite a problem. And although there is no reason to have too much respect for the intelligence of statesmen or generals who have cooked up this proposal, there is also insufficient evidence to believe them to be clinically unbalanced.

Thus one must conclude that although the proposal is worded for diplomatic purposes in such a way as to invite the participation of the states "territorially in the area," actually what is PLANNED is to organize an army, navy and air force dominated chiefly by people who come from other parts of the world.

The Middle East Command is actually going to be set up by the governments of the United States, Britain, France and Turkey. Three other prominent "Middle Eastern" countries - Australia, New Zealand and South Africahave already signified their intenciple of "regional defense" as set forth in the official statement setstates of any area to be willing and able to undertake the initial defense of their area."

Once the Middle East Command is set up by these powers, a military force will exist in the area which is completely independent of the will of either the governments or peoples who happen to live there. Perhaps the idea is that if and when the menace of a Russian military attack becomes sufficiently clear, the governments of the Middle East will be forced to give up their present internal struggle and unite against the common danger. Then they will have a military organization and a nucleus of military force in being around which to rally.

But in the meantime, they are being given a good practical example of what the West means by 'defense of their area" by the British, who are so successfully defending the Suez Canal Zone from the Egyptians. The only question one might ask is: Who is the outside aggressor?

Westinghouse Tries Out **'Bell Pattern' in Buffalo**

BUFFALO, Nov. 10-in its seventh ally by the IUE-CIO Board have week, the strike of the 5,000-member Local 1581 of the CIO Electrical Workers Union (IUE) against the Buffalo Motor and Control Division of the Westinghouse Electric Corporation has defeated all company efforts to manufacture a cal 1581 and the Niagara Frontier CIO are digging in for any siege which management may try to further impose upon the strikers from here on in.

The strike issues, as defined by the International Executive Board of the IUE, include: defeat of the company attempt to end plant-wide seniority through the substitution of a "job family unit" system for the system in force for the past four years; defeat of a company attempt to misuse a three-day off penalty supposedly devised to discipline "wildcat strikers"; return to work of an unjustly discharged second-shift departmental steward: and a demand that the company sit down and negotiate a fair and workable daily grievance handling procedure.

Fund-raising efforts on the part of the top officialdom of the CIO, area internationals. IUE New York State District 3 and nation-

enabled the strikers to compete with the corporation's expensive propaganda drive. The company has taken large display ads in all local newspapers twice a week. mailed copies of the advertise ments to workers' homes, as well back-to-work movement. Both Lo- as personal letters from the plant manager, on an average of once a week.

TRIES BELL PATTERN

Local 1581 has replied to these constant attacks by securing 33 highway and city billboards throughout the area to tell its side of the story, as well as taking half-page ads in the newspapers, mailing to workers' homes, circulating leaflets in workers' shopping districts on shopping nights. in addition to leaflets circulated by area CIO unions to their own membership.

From the opening day of the strike, local management proclaimed that the gates were open for its employees to come to work: and by its unremitting and vicious attacks upon the union has shown that it has no genuine desire to settle the issues but instead is aiming to break Local 1581.

It ordered its foremen from all three shifts to cross the picket lines in organized flying squads led by supervisors while plant guards were posted on rooftops with motion-picture cameras, in an obvious attempt to follow the injunction pattern previously used by the Bell Corporation when Bell launched its unionbusting attempt upon the Niagara Frontier

CP FROZEN OUT

Foremen, salary workers and a separate unit of welders have access to the plant, but no production workers followed as management had hoped. With only one week to go before production workers become eligible to file for unemployment insurance under New York State law, management's back-to-work plans are going further awry.

The outcome of the strike issues, especially the one involving plant-wide seniority, will affect the entire Westinghouse chain of IUE locals across the country. IUE President James B. Carey has personally intervened both in local and national negotiations with the Westinghouse Corporation in defense of Local 1581.

The CP-dominated UE, rejected by Buffalo Westinghouse Workers two to one in a previous NLRB election, has pledged yards of paper support to the strikers, while hiding the fact that its acceptance of the company's "job family unit" seniority plan in the large Westinghouse Essington plant contributed directly to the company's attempt now to shove it down the throats of Buffalo Westinghouse workers.

WEEK by WEEK

LABOR ACTION screens and analyzes the week's news, discusses the current problems of labor and socialism. A sub is only \$2 a year!

Those present showed their interest and support of the speaker's point of view in their response to a collection made to delowing the talk there were quite national.

period. Two of the audience took the floor for discussion, to disagree on some point with Shachtman. One maintained that the Korean war was "phony," and was being drawn out for the convenience of both Washington and Moscow in handling their domestic problems. The other participant attempted to defend the economic system in Russia as "socialist." Both were answered in final rebuttal.

The following evening Comrade Shachtman led a discussion at the Cleveland Branch meeting on the war question, based on his articles fray expenses of the meeting. Fol- in recent issues of the New Inter-

giving their support to strikebreakers, continuing their corrupt interference in the life of the ILA. President Truman publicly appealed for an end to the strike without suggesting how the strikers might satisfy their grievances or put an end to gangsterism. Federal mediators dabbled in the strike only to demand the same type of unconditional work return. ornamented by their own airfilled paper promises.

IT'S A TRUCE

And finally, Superior Court Judge Stanton of New Jersey issued an injunction of indefinite duration, prohibiting New York dockers from picketing piers in New Jersey. Peter J. Johnson, attorney for the strikers, tried to intervene in the injunction proceedings but was barred by Judge Stanton on the grounds that he was a member not of the New Jersey bar but of the New York bar. The strikers were consequently denied representation at the hearing.

The injunction was the last straw. At least for the moment. the strikers found the combi of top union officials, bosses, mobsters, presidents, mediators, and judges too powerful to break up. A mass meeting of strikers voted unanimously to accept the recommendation of their leaders for what they called a "cease fire." In returning to work, they are not dispirited, they do not seem to feel resigned and defeated, and obviously look upon the latest turn of events as a lull before a new attack on Ryan.

Every mention of Ryan's name was greeted by loud and prolonged boos. The ILA members in the locals which spearheaded the walkout have not given up their aim of defeating Ryan and clearing the mobsters out of their union.

Although the strike failed to

Get ALL your books from Labor Action Book Service 114 West 14 Street New York 11, N. Y. We can supply you!

were notable: it showed the whole ILA that a powerful opposition to the Ryan regime exists; it cast, the glare of the public spotlight on gangster elements on the waterfront and showed that these criminal elements still flourished despite the revelations of the Kefauver Committee: and it demonstrated that this section of the American labor movement is ready and willing to resist the unjust freeze policies of the Wage Stabilization Board whose for mulas and rulings Ryan had accepted.

BOOKS RECEIVED

Received from the New American Library, publishers of Signet and Mentor pocket books:

PSYCHOPATHOLOGY OF EVERYDAY LIFE, by Sigmund Freud. Translated by A. A. Brill. A Mentor book, 168 pages, 35 cents.

THUNDER MOUNTAIN, by Theodore Pratt. A novel. Signet book, 192 pages, 25 cents.

CRY OF VIOLENCE, by Joseph Kessel. A novel. Signet book, 144 pages, 25 cents.

ANGER AT INNOCENCE, by Wiliam Gardner Smith. A novel. Signet book, 192 pages, 25 cents.

THE RAINS CAME, by Louis Bromfield. A novel. Signet Double Volume, 528 pages, 50 cents.

LOS ANGELES

MAX SHACHTMAN

оп

THE STRUGGLE FOR WORLD POWER

Sunday, NOVEMBER 25, at 8 p.m.

Case Hotel, 11th & Broadway (Conference Room, 6th floor)

Page Three



NEW YORK, Nov. 13-Longshoremen went back to work at New York piers on November 9. The spectacular strike that began on October 15, that spread to the whole port of New York, that tied up all shipping in the harbor and that finally extended to Boston, is now over.

For 25 days, thousands of members of the International Longshoremen's Association (AFL) were on strike. They were demanding revocation of the contract signed by President Joseph Ryan and the shipping companies. They insisted that the membership referendum vote announced Rvan had been fraudulently by reported in favor of the agreement and they demanded that its terms be renegotiated to provide a 25 cent hourly wage increase instead of the 10 cent increase accepted by Ryan.

And during these 25 days the strikers, led by a group of local union officials, faced a powerful front of assorted opponents.

The whole international leadership of their union was eager to break the strike from the very

first day. The shipping companies, is convinced that the other is in- of course, supported Ryan and reterested in reopening it as soon fused to negotiate with the strike as it is in the military position to committee. Gangsters, mobsters,

hope for a victory. That is, each and racketeers; ex-convicts and



Page Four

LABOR ACTION

The ISL Program in Brief

The Independent Socialist League stands for socialist democracy and against the two systems of exploitation which now divide the world: capitalism and Stalinism.

Capitalism cannot be reformed or liberalized, by any Fair Deal or other deal, so as to give the people freedom, abundance, security or peace. It must be abolished and replaced by a new social system, in which the people own and control the basic sectors of the economy, democratically controlling their own economic and political destinies.

Stalinism, in Russia and wherever it holds power, is a brutal totalitarianism—a new form of exploitation. Its agents in every country, the Communist Parties, are unrelenting enemies of socialism and have nothing in common with socialism—which cannot exist without effective democratic control by the people.

These two camps of capitalism and Stalinism are today at each other's throats in a world-wide imperialist rivalry for domination. This struggle can only lead to the most frightful war in history so long as the people leave the capitalist and Stalinist rulers in power. Independent Socialism stands for building and strengthening the Third Camp of the people against both war blocs.

The ISL, as a Marxist movement, looks to the working class and its everpresent struggle as the basic progressive force in society. The ISL is organized to spread the ideas of socialism in the labor movement and among all other sections of the people.

At the same time, Independent Socialists participate actively in every struggle to better the people's lot now —such as the fight for higher living standards, against Jim Crow and anti-Semitism, in defense of civil liberties and the trade-union movement. We seek to join together with all other militants in the labor movement as a left force working for the formation of an independent labor party and other progressive policies.

The fight for democracy and the fight for socialism are inseparable. There can be no lasting and genuine democracy without socialism, and there can be no socialism without democracy. To enroll under this banner, ioin the Independent Socialist League!



State

Editorials

Another 'Disarmament' Maneuver

Above all else, the peoples of the world desire peace. To them, and this applies particularly to the war-weary peoples of Europe, the prospect of another futile, infinitely destructive war seems the ultimate folly, the ultimate catastrophe.

The Stalinist leaders were the first to recognize that political capital on a large scale could be made out of heart-felt popular aversion to war. With the utter cynicism which is their hallmark, they have proceeded to organize a "peace movement" on a world scale. With petitions and monster rallies and a constant propaganda campaign in all countries where the Stalinist movements function, they have sought to channel the desire for peace into support for their own diplomatic and military objectives

The United States government has now decided to start a "peace campaian" of its own. Unlike the Stalinists, however, American capitalism has not been able to build a vast popular movement which could adopt the "peace" slogan as its own. The State Department therefore is compelled to launch its "peace campaign" not as a mass movement but as an open diplomatic maneuver.

This is the obvious significance, and the main meaning, of the "disarmament" proposal launched by Truman and offered by Dean Acheson at the opening of the General Assembly of the United Nations in Paris. In fact, the purely propaganda intention of this proposal is so clear that it is bound to fail to accomplish even its propagandistic purpose

In this respect, the Russian Stalinists have a considerable advantage. Their armament program is well advanced, and takes place under a veil of totalitarian secrecy. Dissatisfaction with the terrible economic hardships imposed on the peoples of Russia and her satellites by the armament program is smothered under the guns of the secret police. Popular opposition to their side of the arms race is, for the time being, effectively silenced by all the organized means of communication and coersion of the state.

But in the rest of the world, the American effort to whip up the armament race to all-out proportions has to be conducted out in the open. In a Paris dispatch dated November 11. New York Times corresdent Anne O'Hare McCormick states that "outside the Palais de Chaillot, however, the main subject of discussion is not disarmament, but armament. Military experts, ECA advisers and ambassadors are gathered here from many countries to confer about the West's sharpest dilemma-how-to adjust military programs to economic conditions."

DON'T WORRY - NO DANGER OF AGREEMENT . . .

Propaganda can scarcely be effective when there is such an open public contradiction between what is proposed in the interests of "peace" and what is being done to prepare for war. And just to make sure that no one should take the American plan seriously, on the day the Acheson proposal was made to the UN American correspondents quoted unnamed government officials to the effect that no one need worry that the United States would actually have to reveal the size of its atom-bomb stocks, as the Russian government was bound to turn down the whole "disarmament" scheme.

A further ironic touch was put to the whole tragic farce by the Paris stock market. The slim possibility that the Russians might accept the disarmament proposal had depressed industrial shares and raw materials for two weeks. As soon as Vishinsky made his speech sarcastically rejecting the proposal, these shares resumed the upward course which the armament boom has given them.

The genuine desire of the peoples for peace, for an end to the grinding arms race, for a chance to gain economic security and an end to oppression and exploitation cannot be fulfilled by the statesmen who have gathered in Paris. The grand objective of both the Stalinist and capitalist governments is to create a world cast in the image of their own economic systems and thus subordinated to their own political power. If either of them could achieve this goal without war, there would be some possibility of disarmament. But both are firmly convinced that only overwhelming military might can guarantee their victory, and to them that is what really counts.

The road to disarmament and peace lies elsewhere. It lies in the creation of a popular world force which separates itself completely from the objectives and the power-spheres of the two war camps. It must consist of the working people, the colonial nations struggling for their independence, all who want nothing but freedom, democracy and equality.



READING from LEFT to RIGHT

BIG BUSINESS AND THE SCHOOLS, by J. Austin Burkhart.—The Nation, Nov. 10.

This is a recital of some of the little known facts in the campaign of big business to turn the schools into propaganda media for themselvesa campaign that has met with the willing cooperation of many school heads. It works through the showing of subsidized films glorifying businessmen's aims and the profit system, through susidized speakers on free enterprise, and so on.

"Should the effort be intensified, we shall need a Supreme Court to separate business and the state in public education. A principle is at stake," writes Burkhart.

"A few years ago it was estimated that the money spent on business-sponsored teaching aids exceeded the educational budgets of Delaware, Idaho, Nevada, New Hampshire, Vermont and Wyoming."

The National Association of Real Estate Boards' textbooks are being used in 127 colleges and universities. The Committee on Constitutional Government, a businesmen's outfit far to the right even of Taft, has distributed 5 or 6 million leafiets to school children-through the schools. The proto-fascist Mervin K. Hart's National Economic Council sends out gift editions of its publications to innumerable schools and colleges.

The big-busines films are costly-anywhere from \$20,000 to \$125,000. An NAM movie shown through the schools compares the state's intervention on behalf of people's security to the rise of Hitlerism in Germany. A Burroughs Adding Machine Company film "pictures what happened to a Gloucester fisherman who divided his profits with his crew and then applies this lesson to modern business. The impression left with the student is that profit-sharing does not work." An Alcoa film presents Alan Ladd as the scientist who discovered the process of making aluminum and preaches the beneficence of the big monopolie

"Several companies can claim yearly school audiences of over ten million; one corporation reports that a hundred and fifty million individuals have witnessed its offerings since the inauguration of the audio-visual program."

Thomas Keeps the Factional Pot **Boiling in SP with New Demands**

By PHILIP COBEN

The issue of electoral action is coiling up again in the Socialist Party, again as the result of a new move by Norman Thomas and his supporters to commit the party against the decision of their last convention. Leading up to the new dispute

were the following stages:

(1) At the 1950 convention, the majority of the delegates defeated a resolution sponsored by Thomas and his group which, in effect, would turn away from running candidates in the name of the SP and permit support of Fair-Dealish capitalist candidates running on the Democratic ticket.

(2) Although defeated by the convention, Thomas and other SP leaders created such turmoil in the post-convention period by openly refusing to obey the discipline of the party majority that the said majority, timid and weak-kneed as usual whenever their leader Thomas insisted on his own course, capitulated to their pressure. In a membership referendum, the convention decision was virtually scrapped.

Or, as the majority SPers have just phrased it once again in a letter to be referred to below: "After the elections of 1950, the members of the NEC representing the point of view of the majority of the convention were faced with the choice of taking disciplinary action against some prominent party members for violation of the convention decision, or suggesting to the party membership that the convention decision be modified by referendum vote to allow individual party members to support certain non-socialist candidates under some conditions."

NEW REFERENDUM

Now Thomas and his friends want to put over another slice of their complete position. They have raised the question of putting the kibash on an SP candidate for the presidency in 1952. Further details on this further stage in the political disintegration of the SP are contained in "An Open Letter to the SP," signed by a majority of the NEC and published in the Socialist Call for October 26.

The proposal for no SP campaign in 1952 (presumably, we may add, in order to support the Democratic Party) was raised by Thomas at a meeting of the NEC in December 1949. The majority voted it down at the 1950 convention, as mentioned above. Thomas and "some prominent party members" know, however, that they lists to responsible party need only push hard enough to bers had been established get their way, membership views the last convention, the national notwithstanding: At the last secretary agreed to allow the use meeting of the NEC, they again of the list to Norman Thomas proposed a policy for no campaign without consulting the NEC." in 1952, to be put into effect The Open Letter, then,

erendum. The NEC majority ing to Thomas' private referenvoted this down by two-thirds. dum. The latter's Open Letter explains:

"This new referendum was suggested by Irving Barshop and Norman Thomas as a means of avoiding conflict at the national convention next year."

This is among the cutest reasons for holding a referendum that one will be privileged to hear about. It is the convention which is supposed to be the most authoritative means of expressing the members' views, taking place as it does after discussion and votes on delegates who can thereupon debate the issues on the convention floor. "Peaceful" conventions, however, seem to be dearer to the SP leaders than democracy.

PEACE, PEACE -

The Open Letter continues: "Our earnest conviction is that the party needs peace and an opportunity for constructive action now even more than next spring. Since the last convention, we have had hardly an uninterrupted month for party building of constructive political thinking because of issue after issue raised in a factional

"For the sake of peace and unity in the party, most of us [the majority members of the NECI supported the referendum last spring, going against deep convictions to do so. But there is now less unity than before, and at the first opportunity another referendum was introduced into one local—New York (on the Liberal Party, now postponed until November)."

The writers of this touching account are not the first who gave up "deep convictions" for an illusory inner-party "peace" before pressure from the right-only to find that the more they abandoned their "deep convictions," the more the right wing was encouraged to demand the rest of its program.

Immediate occasion for the Open Letter was a further move by Thomas. Since the referendum was voted down by the NEC, Thomas & Co. proceeded to take a referendum on their own. Using the party's membership list. Thomas sent out over his own signature a letter inquiring individuals' views on the matter. The Open Letter says:

"We are frankly appalled that, after the NEC voted against the proposal to call a referendum, a private group within the party should use its own machinery top do so. Since the precedent of allowing use of the membership mem-efore the through another membership ref- NEC majority's means of reply-

Get your L.A. every week! Subscribe at \$2 a year!

November 19, 1951





In a democratic organization there would be a constitutional means provided of appealing for a referendum from the NEC to the members. We do not know at the moment whether (a) there is no such machinery in the SP, or (b) whether Thomas scorned to use it. In any event, the imbroglio is instructive about the inner life of the SP and its democracy.

It is not, of course, the tawdry details of this maneuvering which are instructive about the state of ·the SP, though they are instructive with regard to the relations that have always existed in that party between Norman Thomas and the party as such. Democracy-on-paper in the SP has never meant very much whenever Thomas put his foot down.

BEHIND SQUABBLE

What is more important, however, is that behind the Squabbling is the fact that neither the NEC majority nor the Thomas group have any distinctive political pol-Ficy upon which the party's continued existence can justify itself, especially since the party gave up any pretense of being anti-warthat is, since it fully plumped for the Korean war in particular.

The Thomas group, pushed by the logic of the position, are moving toward virtual liquidation of the SP as an independent force of any kind-electoral action being the first arm to be chopped off. In the absence of any electoral function, of course, a socialist organization may well decide that it prefers to be a propaganda group, but it is not this type of orientation which is behind the Thomas proposals. After all, a propaganda group needs above all a clear policy of its own for which to propagandize!

As we have said before, we do not gloat over the sad state of disrepair of the SP. That might be in order if its once extensive mass support had left it in order to build a genuinely independent and revolutionary socialist movement. This, to be sure, is not the

The whole American socialist movement is weak, as is well known, and the weakness of the SP is in good part a reflection of this general situation. The point is that, in the face of these objective conditions, the SP in addition has no political ideas which can keep it together either as an electoral-action machine or a propaganda group. This is its tragedy. Its pro-war development has spelled suicide for it.

HOW "THE ARMY BUILDS MFN

CONSCRIPTION NEWS

Published by the National Council Against Conscription

Military training has two main purposes. The first of these is preparation for war, and the second is the annihilation of the individual's will by instilling in each soldier the habit of automatic obedience to authority. These two purposes are of course meshed together. As Willard Waller put it in his "Veteran Comes Back":

"The aim of an army is to impose its will upon the enemy. Before an army can succeed in this purpose its leaders must first impose their will upon the men in their organization. They must mold the common soldiers and the officers into perfect instruments for expressing the wil of the leader."

The marines are quite proud of their training system and have cooperated with various writers who in a number of periodicals have described it for the public. A former marine, writing for the January 16, 1949 New York Times magazine, says: "The theory is that you can't change a civilian into a marine without first driving a hard wedge between his past and his future."

This "wedge" is started the moment the marine enters "boot" camp. The drill instructors "are deliberately rough and abusive. They heap maddening indignation on the boots, demand almost impossible physical exertions and keep up a ruthless pressure 17 hours a day, seven days a week." (Life, October 8, 1951.)

The indignities, many of which have been photographed by a Life photographer, begin with the shearing of every marine's hair until he is completely bald. The theory is that this is "essential for humility." (Ibid.) A marine who had neglected to shave all the fuzz off his chin had to scrape it off with a dry razor while a bucket covered his head and rested on his shoulders. Another who "forgot his belt had to carry it around in his mouth." (Ibid.) A careless recruit who throws a candy wrapper on the floor is punished by being forced to "hold it in his 'little hand' for three hours until the hand goes slightly numb." (New York Times, January 16, 1949.)

There are innumerable indignities of a sadistic nature such as every recruit being forced to stand in a tear-gas chamber and sing the Marine Hymn without gas masks. A recruit who "committed the crime of calling his rifle a gun had to hold the 10-pound rifle at arm's length for five minutes." Another who scratched a bug bite during formation had to wear his cap pulled down over his eyes. Life shows him stumbling along to the chow line in this blind fashion.

The Perfect Nervous System

Every move of the marine recruits, unless otherwise specified by drill instructors, is made on the run. (Life.) They must address everyone as "sir" from PFC on up and must lurch to attention when spoken "must request permission" to speak, get a drink of water or go to the toilet. (Life.)

"The objective," writes an ex-marine, "is a nervous system condiioned to respond without the slightest hesitation." The marine recruit s given no opportunity to make choices. "Every act is a command," and "the smallest detail of training becomes a matter of supreme urgency."

Life magazine tells of "a march in full combat gear to an area" where for eight hours the boots raced through a field course." The drill instructor "double-timed the boots along the blistering, dusty road. When he detected a boot sneaking a drink of water without permission, he made the entire platoon empty their canteens." Recruits who passed out were left lying by the side of the road without any aid. One picture in Life shows a collapsed recruit by the side of the road. He was made a lesson by the drill instructor who marched the platoon 'past the inert form twice" in order "to emphasize the point that weaklings are not wanted." (Life.)

"Profanity is still liberally used and nobody urges anybody to write ome to mother." The recruit is taught how to stick a bayonet in and how to pull it "out of an enemy's belly." In fact, he is expected to do literally anything the drill instructor tells him. The drill instructor "roars his orders . . . and waves his swagger stick, demanding instantaneous, almost cringing obedience." (New York Times, January 6. 1949.)

This is marine training—as brutal and sadistic as any storm-trooper aining in Hitler's Germany. The result is acceptance of authoritarianism and dependence upon it. There is a vast difference between this ordered existence and the opportunity parents, teachers and other civilians give boys to learn to make decisions for themselves so they are not forever dependent on a "leader."

Air force training at Randolph Field was described in the July 24, 1949 New York Times magazine. From the moment the boy gets his uniform "he is not permitted to walk across the campus. If he is alone he must run. If he is with another cadet he must march in step. He goes from class to class in stiff formation. Outside his room he may not speak unless he is spoken to except under certain rigidly defined ations. He must keep his ever cast down to the gro saluting."

"... Meal-time for the cadet is an astonishing ordeal. He files into the huge hall without a word because he is not allowed to talk. His eyes are on the floor. He walks stiffly, his shoulders thrown back in the almost painful posture of 'bracing. . . . He then sits in his chair, but his is a special one. Its front legs have been sawed off several inches, so the cadet is hunched forward. He sits only on the forward inch of the chair, eyes still cast down and not speaking."

Theory of Superiority

The article continues with an account of childish actions which some college fraternities use for a few days or perhaps a week in hazing freshmen, but which most colleges have outlawed. The purpose of all this is "to make a man respect authority—in the military way." Not only is there this subjection of the will to that of superior authority, but cadets are expected to be informers. They "are taught that it is the duty of a cadet to report any infraction of rules by any other 'cadet."

Army training is similarly authoritarian. "I don't need to explain anything to my men," a post commander said recently. "The good soldier is the one who obeys without question everything that he is told." (New York Times, May 19, 1951.) This idea is not simply the expression of one officer's convictions. It is the rule of the army. One the "Armed Forces Talks" issued for the use of commanders in informing their personnel of army procedures and ideas points out that "training in the service is designed to instill in us the direct and almost automatic obedience to orders that is necessary in peacetime and indispensable in war. The habit of discipline must become almost second nature to us and it can be acquired only by actual performance." (Armed Forces Talk No. 281.)

The army teaches every man that this automatic obedience to authority is a virtue surpassing the undisciplined civilian life. The same Armed Forces Talk says to the soldier: "In the armed forces . . . you are faced

with standards that are higher than and different from those you knew in civilian life." This emphasis on a soldier's being superior to a civilian is part of the program which the marines call driving a wedge between a man's past and his future.

As Willard Waller points out, there is a direct relationship between a man and his environment. Most men have whatever habits their society permits and encourages them to have. Few court social disapproval in a conforming society. "Change the society," says Waller, "and you change the man. The civilian turned soldier derives his distinguishing characteristic from the social environment of the

The Role of the Number

Two social scientists, Howard Brotz and Everett Wilson who served in the armed forces, discussed the characteristics of military society in the March, 1946 American Journal of Sociology. After discussing the authoritarian caste system, they described the disintegrating results of military life:

"Not only does entrance into the armed forces mean the lapse of civilian occupations and avocations, it also involves the increasing decline of the social controls of the family and of the neighborhood.

"... The impersonality of the military method of handling great numbers also makes for anonymity. This impersonality, as evidenced in serial numbers, squad and platoon numbers, queueing up by alphabet, numbers designating main civilian occupation and main occupational specialty, tent numbers, and laundry numbers, is soon accepted by the GI. He learns, somehow, that his new role will be easier if the nonymity of numbers is preserved—if, to be specific, his officers and the orderly room never identify his name or number with face and personality

"While lack of objectives and other incentives discouraged initiative and creative effort among men in the army, another condition contributing to the same effect was the security, however minimal, offered by the services to their men and dependents. Since, on the one hand, effort went largely unrewarded and, conversely, a slothful disposition of duty did not jeopardize the soldier's rating and his monthly stipend, the tendency was to 'soldier' on the job, to get away with as little work as possible. Over any period of time the dull, do-nothing routine stimulated escape reactions, which, in decreasing order of frequency, were movies, gambling, liquor and brothels.

The complete exhaustion of the monthly paycheck within a few days was comparatively common. The soldier could squander his cash with equanimity, knowing that next month would see him 'flush' again; while, in the meantime, there was always the assurance of food and shelter. In the army, money came to have a new and more direct meaning for many soldiers. Time had only a present phase. Money had only its immediate goods and services value. It was used or loaned or gambled with considerable abandon. The future could and would take care of itself."

Readers Take the Gloor.

A Dissent

To the Editor: It seems to me that Shachtman (in his article in LA, October 9, on the joint declaration of the Indian and Japanese Socialist Parties) is wrong in holding that the "Third World of Socialism" is the same as the Third Camp. "The Third World of Socialism" s the general Socialist goal in opposition to both capitalism and Stalinism; while the Third Camp

is usually understood as the pro-

gram for the war crisis. As I remember the discussion sometime ago in LA, the participants (Coleman, Green, McKinney) did not give up the Third World of Socialism. But they maintained that in view of the actual conditions existing today, proper socialist tactics consist in giving critical support to the U. S., since a victory of Stalinism would destroy the chances of a Third World of Socialism for a sneering reference to these people does not seem to me right

The program of the Indian and Japanese socialists seems to be inadequate. For instance, they say: "World peace can be indefinitely secured only when the retarded two-thirds of the world ... is raised to a decent level of wellbeing and strength." But what happens in the meantime, since such a development must be a matter of time, and much time, whereas the danger of war is immediate? What would the Indian and Japanese socialists do if they were faced with the engulfing military might of Stalinism? For once under the military despotism of the Russian army, what opportunity would they have to carry out their program? If not butchered by the conquerors, they might dream about their program in the wastes of Liberia. And one can also legitimately ask if it is Stalin army which permits the evasion of these questions. A READER

cialists used the term "Third World of Socialism" in the context of their Joint Declaration in the same way as we use the term "Third Camp." Readers of LA-BOR ACTION should know this, especially as far as the Indian socialists are concerned, from our reports on Janata (the Indian SP organ), on the speech in New York by Comrade Lohia (who signed the Joint Declaration), and more recently from Norman Thomas' attack on the Indian socialists precisely because they do support this concept.

Comrade Shachtman's article referred to "the skeptics, the tired and retired people, the timid and the highly respectable people in the labor movement" who "shrug their hopeless and helpless shoulders" at the aim of building a Third Camp against the war blocs, etc. "A Reader" insists on dragging in the names of "Coleman, Green, McKinney," quite pointlessly.

"A Reader" has, before this, expressed his pro-war views in our letter column. The issues we have debated in this regard are not visibly clarified by his singling a sentence out of the Joint Declaration - one, moreover, which is increasingly accepted as axiomatic even by pro-war liber-

"A Reader," however, should he sufficiently acquainted with socialist anti-war views to know that the Indian and Japanese comrades do not pose "a decent level of well-being and strength" as the sum-total of their fight against both Western and Russian imperialism. They work for a socialist India and a socialist Japan. What "A Reader," like most Americans, finds it easy to forget is that the people of Asia are also "faced not the presence of American mil- with" the "engulfing" domination itary might in Asia holding off the of the capitalist West-and they are not as willing as "A Reader" to support the old exploiters out of fear of a new exploiter. They The Indian and Japanese so- seek a road to fight both.

THE APOSTASY OF THE LIBERALS Nobody but Nobody Is Against 'Freedom' — Except in Concrete Cases — Like Egypt

By SAM FELIKS

Since the end of the Second World War there has been a great search for the reasons why the Communist Parties have been so successful in their world propaganda, especially by liberals. A number of pat reasons and phrases were developed which certainly pointed to part of the truth but never advanced beyond mere shibboleths.

When the Marshall Plan was first proposed in 1947, the stock liberal approach (if there is such a thing as a stock liberal) was essentially this: The CPs are able to win over large sections of the West European working class because they feed on poverty; the Marshall Plan will help to feed Europe, and perhaps even solve some of the basic weaknesses of West Europe's economy. But the fact remains, with or without the Korean war, the Stalinists still constitute a major force in France and Italy, and the basic problem of Western Europe, the disorganized, national capitalist economies, still remains.

Next was the Point Four program for the undeveloped areas of the world. Once again we were solemnly told that "communism feeds on poverty." Point Four will give technical aid and help raise the level of productivity, and perhaps even loan large sums of money to carry out the program. There is a need for land reform-which to some meant the development of "American-style" farming. This will prove to the world that the U.S. is primarily interested in the welfare of these peoples. The standards of living will rise and the influence of communism will decline.

However, Point Four never really became much more meaningful in practice than the piece of paper on which President Truman's 1949 inaugural address was written. The two reports on Point Four, the Gray report and the Rockefeller report, both showed that the U.S. is more interested in developing raw material resources of these countries, while the propaganda purposes of the program were relegated to the future.

The victory of Stalinism in China and the State Department's White Paper on China brought to emphasis the realization that the U.S. has been losing political prestige in Asia. Our liberals were quick to point out that the U.S. has to support or at least not oppose the nationalist and revolutionary forces. Heretofore, the U. S. has "permitted itself" to be pictured as supporting the old colonialism, and this has been a strong point of communism. Now we must become the champions of the Asiatic revolution, and this will expose Russia's imperialist schemes.

And so went the general liberal proposals to meet the new developments.

On "Despicable Roles"

While each one of these points takes a step toward an understanding of Stalinism, they do not add up. The struggle is not merely against poverty and hunger. The revolutionary upsurges and discontentment, while striking out in one way or another for a better world, are also a reaction against the given social system which has caused their present plight. They want a change in the old policies of war, exploitation and poverty AND in old rulers who are responsible for these conditions.

The results of the U.S. economic aid that has been given in Europe and Asia indicate what is at fault with the liberal approach. It is precisely because the U.S. has worked with the social forces that represent the status quo-the landlords in Asia and the capitalists in Europe -that this aid has failed materially to benefit the people. The partial exception has been in Britain where a Labor government at least assured some mass benefit from this aid. The barrier which stands in the way of increasing living standards is set up by the forces that the Western imperialism supports or supported until recently.

Therefore, the crisis of Western policy in the Near East reproduces many of the problems that were met, to one extent or another, in Europe and Asia. But the events of the last six years might as well not have occurred for all the influence they have had on U. S. policy,

''VPERED''

is the organ of the Ukrainian socialist resistance movement, published by its section in emigration in West Germany, recording the thinking and activities of the new anti-Stalinist underground fighting behind the Iron Curtain. It is written in Ukrainian, of course, but an English summary of the contents appears in each issue.

For Ukrainian friends, Vpered is a must. Others will find the English page of extreme interest-and can help

the movement by subscribing. One dollar for 5 issues.

> Order through: LABOR ACTION BOOK SERVICE 114 West 14 Street, N. Y. C.

Read about INDEPENDENT SOCIALISM Send for the two special issues of LABOR ACTION devoted to explaining the ideas of the Independent

May Day 1950—The Principles and Program of Independent Socialism May Day 1951—Independent Socialism and the War

10 cents each

or for that matter on the thinking of prominent liberals. The approach of the U.S. government in this area has been strictly dominated by military and strategic considerations, with the liberals trailing along. The prime concern in Iran has been the disposition of the Abadan refinery and in Egypt the availability of the Suez Canal for Western shipping. The political implications of these moves have been added as a second thought, and then only to ask whether Russia will benefit from them-and it has. It is the program of peace through armaments that has paralyzed liberal political thinking.

This could lead the editor of the Nation, Freda Kirchwey, to write about a year ago in a similar situation that the Egyptians seem to have a strong case on paper,

"Yet nowadays Egyptian efforts to cast off the British imperialist yoke does not inspire a sympathetic response from Western liberals. The despicable role of Egypt in the Arab aggression against Israel is too fresh in the memory. Moreover the miserable performance of the corruptionridden army in that campaign showed plainly that it could not be trusted to protect the Canal Zone, which is vital to the defense of the whole Middle East."

Hollow Words

Freda Kirchwey permits the "despicable role of Egypt" against Israel to cancel out the "despicable role" of Britain supported by the U.S. against Egypt, all in the interest of the "defense of the whole Middle East." One can only wonder about the dilemma Miss Kirchwey would have been in if the Egyptian army were not corruption-ridden and could "be trusted to protect the Canal Zone." What if Egypt chose to protect it against the foreign occupier and its ally?

Faced with the concrete case of Egypt, the liberals' demand that the U. S. "support the Asian revolution," and cease to oppose the strivings of the peoples for freedom from old exploiters, has turned out to be as empty as the following invocation to Freedom by Dean Acheson at last April's Conference of the Foreign Ministers of the American Republics:

"What binds the nations of the free world together into partnership is that they have a powerful interest in common: the concern for freedom

"Freedom is the key. This is what free nations have, and other nations do not. This is the heart of the matter, for without freedom neither real peace nor real security nor any real progress is possible.

"To the nation, freedom means national independence, freedom to work out its destinies in its own way. ... "When people ask us, 'What is it you are for, you men of the free world?' then we say, 'We are for freedom, because freedom is the key to everything else we want.' "

The very literary style of this litany is revealing: the word freedom is chanted in a ringing voice as if it were echoing in a hollow space, and in truth there is nothing but hollowness behind it, for Acheson. When it comes to the question of the freedom of a nation "to work out its own destinies in its own ways" as against the military plans of the U.S., Acheson announces that there is no "justification" for this "interpretation" of national independence. The liberals translate this into their liberalese.

This is the measure of the apostasy of the liberals: Throughout the events in Egypt, not one of the leading liberal journals has come out unequivocally for the right of the nation to its full national independence, its right "to work out its destinies in its own way." None has spoken out forthrightly for its right to shake off imperialist domination. The only concession offered was a better deal inside of the U. S.-dominated power bloc. Regardless of whether or not the Arab nations will eventually join a Middle East Defense Command, part of the right of national independence is the right to stay out of it.

LABOR ACTION

Keeping in mind the hypnotic influence of this military emphasis, various devices have been used to get around the question of the rights of the Egyptians and Iranians. They are devices, for whatever kernel of truth they contain cannot cancel the importance of national independence.

Short Course in Apologetics

Following is a composite of the liberal formulas for its

apostasy: First, you point out the reactionary and feudal nature of the Iranian and Egyptian ruling classes.. This, it is hoped, will cover up the reactionary nature of the U. S.'s support of British imperialism.

Then, a deal is offered whereby Egypt can be permitted to participate in the government of its own territory through a Middle East Defense Command, and whereby Iran can participate in an international corporation that administers its own oil, all the while keeping your fingers crossed lest Iraq and Saudi Arabia do not get similar ideas, not to speak of Venezuela.

If this is unsuccessful, then you can point out that Egypt's army is corruption-ridden and inefficient as a military force and that it would be of small use in the defense of the Middle East. This makes you feel better, since they refuse to join your military pact anyway.

Next, you start writing about the dangers of "sheer nationalist passion," "Arab fanaticism" and "chauvinism," linking it to Islamic extremism with its headquarters at Cairo, which seeks to dominate the Middle East.

Then you ease up and point out that joining the Middle East Defense Command is a chance for Egypt to gain prestige in the region through an "evolutionary process.'

Another angle which used to work with great success is the threat to drive the weak country into economic and political bankruptcy, or to manipulate behind the scenes for the overthrow of the government in the hope that a more pro-Western regime will be set up.

Finally, it can be pointed out, with the sense of smugness peculiar to a rich and powerful country, that Egypt is too weak to force the British out of the Suez-and beside the American fleet is in the Mediterranean.

Of course, none of this goes out over the Voice of America, which all the while is busy broadcasting: 'Freedom is the key. . . . To the nation freedom means national independence, freedom to work out its own destinies."

And in the spare moments, and for the empty pages. soul-searching articles can be written trying to explain how poverty and failure to back the national revolution drove people into the arms of Stalinism, or in pondering the growth of neutralist tendencies.

HOW TO SUPPORT IMPERIALISM - LIBERALLY

Difficult as that may be, the reader should bear in. mind as he scans the following expressions of imperialist chauvinism that the passages are from some of our leading LIBERAL journals, writing on the Egyptian crisis:

From The Reporter, Nov. 13, editorial by editor Max Ascoli:

"In the Middle East, Iran and Egypt hug with fanatic fury what they think is theirs, even if the Abadan refineries rust into heaps of scrap, and no more ships sail through the Suez Canal.

"Face to face with these movements, our government has the duty of talking plainly and acting forcefully . . . must be guided by clear and hard principles. . The first of these principles is that no nation has the right to behave as if it were a world unto itselfnot even a nation whose pride has been long hurt. . . . National independence does not mean the right to set

the world afire. . . . The idea of absolute mineness that Egypt and Iran advance is about as feudal as their internal political orders. "Americans still obsessed with the anti-colonial

complex like to say that every newly independent country is entitled to make its own mistakes and evolve in its own good time. . . . As for the Washingtons and Jeffersons of the Middle East, it is worth remarking that they like to drive Cadillacs. . . .

"In every step we take in the Middle East we must act as if we were the agent of the UN-not because the UN now can solve the Middle Eastern problem ut because ultimately it will inherit any solution that we bring about . . . one day we will render an account of our actions to the UN-and to the people of the Middle East." [Italics in original.]

From The New Republic, Oct. 29, editorial:

"For one thing, the Egyptians are militarily useless to the West, since their armies are impotent. . . . Ideologically, Islam extremism has for a long time had headquarters in Cairo, and shows no sign of losing its hold.

"Whatever hopes there may be for bringing mon reasonable Egyptians to leadership will not be fur thered by giving in to the present set of Egyptians blusters and threats.

. . [Egypt] needs domestic reform and a gradual deflating of her religious and other fanatic elements. The West should extend her help to advance toward these goals and a modest share of regional authority. . . . In view of this, it is best to proceed apidly and drastically to deflate Egyptian ambition Western power in the Middle East may be fading, but it is still strong enough to determine who shall share in the succession.'

From The Nation, Oct. 20, editorial by Freda Kirchwey:

"This is a moment when sheer nationalist passion, outweighing security or even apparent national interest, is the ultimate controlling force in an area of critical international concern-a fact both Britain and America have consistently underestimated ever since the war ended.

"To say this is not to suggest that Egypt's present move is 'correct.' . . .

"Let Washington make the best possible deal with Egypt; it will be no stronger than the treaties Egypt has broken as long as the misery of the people creates enough smoldering unrest to provide a blaze any time the politicians need one to reinforce new demands."

THE FIGHT FOR DEMOCRACY on the HOME

Two Florida Negroes, whose

curred in 1949.

Sheriff McCall who, it is testified, said to a guard "You-all haven't electrocuted these n---rs yet. When you do, I want to see them flinch," killed one prisoner and wounded the other. Handcuffed together, the pair were being taken to Tavares by the sheriff for a retrial of their case. This case had previously been quashed by the Supreme Court because inflammatory press and other attacks on the Negroes had made a fair trial impossible. Sheriff McCall has been quickly

the attack.

This brutal "execution" by law enforcement officers has, of course, already been utilized by Russia's Andrei Vishinsky, who demagogically attempts to use every violation of civil liberties in the United States as a counter weight against any criticism of forced labor, concentration camps, totalitarianism and absence of civil liberties in



didate to office. that of a labor party.

candidate loses.



November 19, 1951

Page Sever

Jim-Crow Murder by Florida Sheriff

By MEL HACKER

conviction on the charge of rape was upset two years ago by the Supreme Court, have been shot without provocation by Sheriff W. McCall of Tavares, Florida, in as brutal a racist crime as the country has seen in many a year. Mob violence-including the terrorization of local Negroes, the burning of homes and attempts to lynch these men-had previously oc-

exonerated by a "packed" coroners' jury. However, the FBI and the Lake County Grand Jury are continuing their investigations of

Stalinist Russia. Also aware of the international scandal of U.S. race relations, Walter White of the NAACP stated that "This killing is worth five divisions of troops to Stalin."

The NAACP, long criticized in the South for "outside interference," has so far decided not to interfere unless it becomes clear that Florida will do nothing to prosecute the case. The governor and local officials are meanwhile keeping very much out of sight.

BEGGAR IN DIXIE

Clarence Mitchell, Washington NAACP director, has warned that the association may drive to shut off the flow of all federal funds to the South if segregation practices are continued there in certain projects. Speaking in Birmingham, Mitchell declared that "The South, to maintain its costly segregation practices in schools iails and parks, has had to adopt the role of a beggar and seek funds from the federal treasury."

OHIO GAG

Dr. Howard Bevis, president of Ohio State University, in response to protests from faculty, religious and professional sources, excluded three classes of speakers from the university "gag rule." Speakers invited by faculty members for classroom purposes, speakers for religious foundations, and participants in conferences of off-campus professional

most completely made up of vari-

ations on the single theme of

crime, corruption and influence.

Aside from some feeble syllables

on housing before a Puerto Rican

audience, opposition to a sales

tax, and a statement for the right

of policemen to join a union-al-

though without the right to strike

-the Liberal Party's candidate

did not make a single bold, forth-

right statement on any of the ma-

ior issues which were boiling over

in the city during his campaign.

He said nothing of importance on

the dockers' strike, the sanitation

workers' strike against the city.

the monstrous housing problem,

Yet it was labor in the main.

forming the core of the Liberal

Party, which produced the Halley

victory. It was because the Liberal

Party is the only independent po-

litical party with a labor base that

LABOR ACTION supported the

Halley candidacy. This election,

whatever the shortcomings of the

campaign and candidate, afforded

to function as an independent or-

ganization, even though it did this

poorly and did not utilize the cam-

paign to build and strengthen the

in a manner that should be under-

stood by the temporizing labor

leadership of this country, those

The results have demonstrated

Liberal Party an opportunity

Halley Victory – The Halley campaign was al-

(Continued from page 1) ity party which elevated its can-

That third, minority party, the Liberal Party, which exists only in New York State, is not yet a labor party. But it is the closest thing to a labor party on the American political horizon. It is really a quasi-labor party, deriving its principal strength from the unions, mainly the International Ladies' Garment Workers Union and Hatters Union on which it is based. The selection of its candidate was made at the top and his campaign, based almost wholly on crime-busting, was determined by the leaders of the Liberal Party. But to the extent that its candidate was an independent candidate of the Liberal Party, its campaign resembled

etc

The arguments that have been used by the Liberal Party heads in the past are the classic arguments that are used universally by labor leaders everywhere in the case of the formation of a genuine labor party. They are always opposed to running an independent candidate of labor for fear of "splitting the liberal vote." The argument is a self-defeating one, even if initially the liberal vote is split and the labor

But the Liberal Party vote serves notice that the machine candidates CAN be beaten by a party based on labor. We hope the lesson is not lost on the CIO, which did not vote to support Halley here, and especially its UAW segment, whose spokesmen are eternally postponing the formation of a labor party or any kind of independent political party based primarily on the broad masses of workers.

"practical" politicians demanding "practical" results, that a new national party of labor could win victories too. The election should do much to spur the Liberal Party further in the direction of independent politics. It should stem the tendency to fusionism and reliance on the old parties. That is the implied mandate of New York City's voters.

party as such.

In English for the First Time! **Rosa Luxemburg's** THE ACCUMULATION OF CAPITAL Yale University Press • 475 pages \$5 LABOR ACTION BOOK SERVICE 114 West 14 Street, New York City

organizations may appear on campus without first being screened and cleared by Dr. Bevis.

FRONT

However, the gag rule still remains in effect for university students and local faculty groups. At Chicago, the American Association of University Professors attacked the gag rule: "The training of students in the methods of free and responsible discussion can be accomplished only by actual practice. Imposing censorship and curbing the right to hear dissenting views deny students this maturing experience."

Two off-campus professors within two days rejected invitations to speak at Ohio State in protest against screening. The professors, both of whom were approved in the screening process, were Robert Dixon of Denison University and Dr. Douglas G. Ellson, chairman of the Indiana University Psychology Department.

STORK CLUB

Walter White, secretary of the NAACP, has charged that Sherman Billingsley's Stork Club discriminates against Negroes in its employment practices: "Failure or refusal to employ Negro waiters, captains, clerical help, musicians and entertainers by the Stork Club is as notorious as its policy of violation of the State Civil Rights Law with respect to Negro patrons," White stated. He urged the State Commission Against Discrimination to investigate this matter as well as the Stork Club's Jim Crow treatment of Josephine Baker.

NO STIR

Negroes sat beside whites at a North Carolina football game for what was said to be the first time. A university official said: "It did not create the slightest stir."

LONDON LETTER Tory Line Will Push Laborites to Left

By DAVID ALEXANDER

LONDON, Nov. 7-So far the Tories have surprised their own supporters by completely ignoring their election pledges to build 300,000 houses a year, to abolish controls, to abolish food subsidies, etc. Instead they have extended state control by vast restrictions on imports from Europe and halving the foreign-currency travel allowance; and they are even going to introduce their pet excess-profits tax.

One of the first things Churchill did was to cut down the salaries of cabinet ministers by 40 per cent during the economic crisis. This was a clever move, designed to set an "example" of how to tighten one's belt. It did not, however, escape the pro-Labor press that there was some difference between cutting down a salary from \$15,000 to \$12,000 a year and cutting a wage from \$25 to \$18 a

The effect upon both Tories and Labor of these moves has been somewhat mixed. It may be that the Labor Party feels that to a degree the wind has been taken out of its sails; but at the same time there is the realization that the Tories must maintain a good portion of Labor's advances. Today the Conservatives gave an undertaking to keep up the social services; and they are not going to undo the constitutions of the African colonies.

But the Tories do intend to denationalize the steel and roadhaulage industries. Still, the fact that they know of Labor's intention to nationalize once again, when they are returned to power, deters most capitalists from risking investments on these industries. Further, a large measure of control will be needed to make up as much of the deficit as they can of the one and a half million tons of steel which industry requires this year.

Even here, it appears, we shall see that the Tories will only be able to modify state control. They have not even formulated a plan for action-they cannot give back these investments to people who regard them as unprofitable.

TWO STATEMENTS

The new Tory measures, precisely because they look more "progressive" than those of previous Tory regimes, are beginning to dictate a new policy for Labor. They are initiating a movement WHICH MUST FORCE LABOR TO ADOPT MORE CONSISTENTLY SOCIALIST POLICIES. Otherwise, the latter will do nothing with which to appeal to the electorate next time.

Immediately after the Tories had settled themselves comfortably in office, two important statements came from top leaders of the Labor movement.

The first was a statement by the executive of the trade unions, saying that they would cooperate with the Churchill government in those measures which they consider in the national interest. Attlee and the Labor Party leadership took the view that "give them enough rope and they'll hang themselves."

The other statement was by the president of the large shipbuilding union, warning the Tories not to take advantage of their power to force measures against the majority of the people.

These two together mean, as Attlee put it, "We shall be vigilant in opposition, but not fac-

After 127 Years of 'Wardship': This Emancipation Is VERY Gradual

By MEL HACKER

After 127 years of federal wardship, America's 435,000 Indians are still far from emancipation. A great number of these Indians are living in varying degrees of squalor and poverty on their reservations. Educational programs and facilities are inadequate to deal with the Indian needs for emancipation.

These facts have been publicized by a current dispute between Dillon S. Myer, commissioner of Indian affairs, and representatives of Indian tribes supported by lawyers' and ethnological groups.

Myer proposes to control lawyers representing Indian tribes by enabling himself, as Indian commissioner, to terminate lawyers' tribal contracts, limit their terms, control fees and require periodic reports of lawyers' activities. These powers have been attacked as dictatorial, since many legal actions are directed against the Indian commissioner himself. The government is undoubtedly worrying about mounting Indian land claims against it. The Utes recently won a \$32 million land claim.

The American Bar Association, in opposing the government's regulations, suggested that the only policy consonant with the emancipation of the Indian would be to let him negotiate freely with counsel provided the attorney is competent and the fee reasonable. Even granting that federal paternalism might reduce the exploitation of ignorance by some lawyers dealing with the Indians, this paternalism would prevent the

mistakes. Oliver La Farge, president of the Association of American Indian Affairs, asserted that 'The proposed rules, by interfering with the Indians' free choice of counsel, would reduce them to second-class citizenship. They would strengthen the Indians' sense of frustration and anger, the feeling of helplessness and loss of rights."

CHARGES LISTED

Myer is further accused of "en- INDIANS RESTLESS gaging in a subtle attempt to charge was made by John Collier, a former Indian commissioner and editor of the Southwest Indian Newsletter. Harold L. Ickes, who had applauded Myer's appointment, described him as a "blundering and dictatorial tin-Hitler." Myer is also said to be a pliant tool of Senator Pat MacCarran of Nevada, no friend of the Indians.

Indians have complained that Commissioner Myer is "insisting that tribal government bodies obtain special permission from him to send delegates to Washington; refusing to see some delegates when they make the long trip to. the capital — witness the 'runaround' given the Standing Rock Sioux; opposing and even disdaining budgetary recommendations submitted by the Indians; objecting to a provision which would have required the consent of the Indian tribe before an Indian hospital could be transferred to any non-Indian agency; making personnel changes without consulting, and even against the wishes of, the Indians; attempting to coerce the Indians from learning how to gov- Indians into endorsing bureau proern, how not to make their own grams without fully informing

them of the plans and programming; and only half-heartedly endorsing the extension of the Indian Claims Commission."

Not only are the Indians not being encouraged and taught to manage their own affairs but, they charge, Myer's paternalism is denying them a large measure of self-rule which had been permitted them by previous commissioners.

Behind the present dispute lies enlarge and expand the control of the fact that there is no real fedthe bureau over Indians." This eral program for the emancipation of the Indians. Proposals, including one by former Indian Commissioner Zimmerman, are growing dusty on many shelves, rejected because of the "complexity" of the problem. Myer believes programs must be developed locally, doubting that federal paternalism will end in his lifetime.

Meanwhile, only two even slightly comprehensive programs are at work, both in response to emergency situations. First is a congressional rehabilitation plan for the Hopi and Navajo tribes. Federal funds for these most severely depressed tribes have been cut by Congress. Second is a project to teach the Ute Indians how to manage their affairs and spend the \$32 million recently won from the government.

Ruth M. Bronson, executive director of the National Congress of American Indians, emphasizes the restlessness of the Indians to take the initiative and solve their own problems, to take over /unctions as soon as they can. Myer is opposing these attempts as efforts to "needle" him.