INTERNATIONAL SOCIALISTS

BULLETIN

No. 50.75

Early August - Mid - August

BRINGING YOU ANOTHER SPECIAL CONVENTION EDITION

CONTENTS

Amendments to Working Women, CLUW, & 15. Work--Joan M., NY

Amendments to Working Women, CLUW, & 1.5. Work--Mary F., L.A.

Amendment to Labor Document -- Richard E, Detriot

Amendments to the Mike P. Document on Bureaucratic Collectivism -- Emmet C.

AMENDMENTS TO WORKING MOMEN, CLUM, -AND IS MORK

By Joan HcKiernan-

1. Involvement in CLU

GLUM has opened up an important arena for all women, but particularly for our industrialized conrades. It is these women who can go to GLUM as rank and filers who are actively engaged in fighting for our perspective of building a rank and file novement in a national arena. It will be their work in leading the struggles of women workers on the job that-will make real our strategy to militant workers and radicals we try toreach in GLUM.

It is these industrialized wence who because of the base they have developed in their jobs, will have the greatest legftinacy in leading the fights against the bureaucrats in CLUU. -They will be able to play the nost important role in exposing those bureaucrats to their own rank and file as the bureaucrats refuse to lead real struggles for women's needs. Also, because of the industrial emphasis in CLUU, the burden of this work will fall particularly on women in auto, telephone, and teamsters, as well as any who go into hospital in New York.

Because of the opportunity that CLUT opens up for developing a working women's movement, it is more crucial than ever for women to become active in these industrial arenas.

As CLUU-becomes more active, other areas of importance may open up for women who for some reason do not get jobs in ournational priorities. The needs of our work in CLUU should be an important consideration when discussing additional local priorities for jobs for women conrades.

- However, CLUW work should not be limited to our industrialized comrades. -All other women, where possible, should be involved in CLUW work. For some this may mean getting a union card to attend meetings; for others it means doing research or back up work. This involvement is particularly important for women comrades who have joined in the last few years. This is the only important women's arena today and it gives us a chance to develop our organizational skills and gain political experience. Our opportunities for such development have been limited in the past few years because of the decline of the women's novement and the few available arenas in which non-industrialized women can function.

2. CLUU Conmittees

-CLUW has opened up opportunities for industrialized conrades to begin to organize around vonen's demands in their unions, to Amendments to Morking Homen, Cluw, and IS Mork, Page 2.

meet-and work with militant women workers around support for CLUV-and women's needs in the unions. The formation of CLUV has made legitimate the raising of women's demands. Many women in CLUV are actively comparing contract coverage of maternity - benefits, etc. and realizing that something better can be obtained.

In-order to further our positions as rank and file feaders in the fight for vomen's rights and to limit bureaucratic control of CLUW in our unions, we should attempt to initiate CLUW connitices in each union where we are active. We have already begun to do this in the AFT and vomen in other unions, AFSCHE 1707 and 1199, have established CLUW connities. The connities should be established to support GLUW, but also to begin to discuss women's needs within the union.

To support CLU!! the conmittees could work for endorsement ____ of CLU!! by the union at the national level; union funding for its members to participate in-national and regional CLU!! meetings; for CLU!! announcements to be made at local union meetings; and for union newspapers to regularly report CLU!! activities.

These connittees can, however, nove beyond support for CLUT. This has been done in the AFSCHE local 1707 CLUT committee which already plans to do research on the AFSCHE contract with the intention of raising organized depends that women's interests be covered in future contracts and to establish a resource center to study day care problems. Other unions, such as District 65, have established committees which include only bureaucrats and organizers. These seen to be only interested at this time in getting more women hired as organizers and promoted into higher positions. None of these committees have attempted to mobilize large numbers of women in their unions.

The CLUM committees can use the endorsement or demands of CLUM to make demands in its own union. For instance, New York CLUM is supporting the demands of the child care-groups and is planning to seek endorsements from individual unions. This may open up opportunities for women in unions like the CMA, which probably has not shown support for child care.

We should also use these connittees formed to support CLOW as a stepping off point to organize the struggle for women's needs in each union - preferably in conjunction with a national issue c.g. around better contract demands for women's needs. We can use these connittees to begin to raise demands and nobilize support for equal pay, maternity benefits, upgrades, childcare, and more women shop stewards. Amendments to Working Women, CLUM, and IS Work, Page 3.

Use will, of course, have to fight to prevent these committees from falling into the hands of the bureaucrais. We should therefore fight for committees open to all union women, with stearing committees elected by the membership of the committee and actively work to encourage women to become active in the committee. However, the most important element of our work in such committees will be our readiness to raise demands that will really meet the mede of women workers. Fighting for such a program will differentiate us from the bureaucrats who will not feed militant struggles of women workers ore raise any issues that will bring them into serious conflict with male bureaucrats.

. Contract Campaign

We should begin now to organize for a campaign around contract demands. Each labor fraction should review its owncontract for clauses effecting women workers - maternity benefits, equal pay, child care, apprenticeships, upgrading, etc. The Women's Commission should coordinate this research and also arrange for research into other major unions we come across in CLUW. In preparation for national intervention in the future, we should begin to formulate "model clauses" that we can fight for in our unions, and begin organizing support for this actionin CLUW committees in the unions and in CLUW itself.

4. Page 5, paragraph 2, Sentence that reads: "However, we have found that due primarily to the stalling tactics of the bureaucracy, that the campaign for organizing the unorganized was ahead of time since there are still no official local CLUH chapters and probably will not be until the middle or late fall."

Replace with: Our attempts to raise theidea of a campaign to organize the unorganized has brought up into confrontation with the bureaucrats' attempt to stall any action and to avoid taking the issue of organizing in a serious way. The bureaucrats do not want 'to fight to commit union resources to possibly expensive organizing campaigns. The question of organizing the un= organized raises problems for them such as - radicals are attracted to organizing committees, membership in CLUM of non-unionized vomen; jurisdictional disputes; independent unions. We intend to continue to fight for a campaign to organize the unorganized at CLUM conferences and meetings. We see such a campaign as a major need for women workers and an important means of building CLUM. Organizing the unorganized committees may prove useful in developing a campaign and bringing non-union members into CLUM work. Amendments to Working Women, CLUW and IS Work Mary F., Los Angeles

(These admendments are offered as an attempt to flesh out even more, our persepctive on CLUW. The document presented by the Women's Commission did not, in my opinion, sufficiently deal with the questions at hand. Hopefully the convention discussion will help rectify this. In addition, there are a few formulations in the document which are semewhat unfortunate, if net wrong.)

Paragraph one, p. 1; beginning with, "It is not a resolution...." SUBSTITUTE: "While it is not a resolution aimed at concretely telling our fractions what to do tactically on a day-to-day besis, it will attempt to put forward some concrete suggestions on how to implement our perspectives and strategy within CLUW.

Strike last sentence, paragraph one, p. 1.

Paragraph six, p. 1; Delete entire paragraph after first sentence. SUBSTITUTE: As inflation soard and real wages failed to keep pace with rising prices, more and more women were forced out of the home and into industry in order to prevent their families standard of living from falling. The increasing number of women in the work frace also reflected the continuing break-down of the family with more and more women workers classified as heads of household menaing they did not have a man in their households or their husbands or friends, for whatever reasons, were not able to work and contribute to the families income. Once in the workforce, these same pressures, inflation and the decline in real wages, began to force women into militant activity and self-organization. Because of the influence of women's liberation, as well as the economic pressures, this activity and organization often took the form of demands for equal pay, equal jobs and child-care and maternity benefits

"ith predittions of a major recession finding more and more toots in reality, women will be faced with yet another pressure that could move them to more increased militancy and organization-the pressure to keep jobs they now-have. In t the past few years, women have made gains reflected in the opening up of job opportunities in industry previously closed to them, i.e. auto, trucking, telephone installers, etc. While these gains have been minimal and have affected only a small percentage of women, they were important gains and it is quite likely that the coming recession and the high unemployment which is bound to accompany it will wipe out these gains. Even those women in traditional women's jobs will begin losing their jobs or find it harder and harder to get work. The demand for equal pay-will meet with more and more resistance from the bosses. The domand for equal work will be meet with hues and cries accusing women of wanting to take work away from "family" man-who according to sexist standards are more entiteled to what ever work there is to go around. In effect, we may scon be faced with the same same "back to the kitchen" syndrome which followed World War IE.

- Our analysis, that the developing crisis of the capitalist system will deepen, is the basis for our general perspective of helping build a rank and file movement and a revolutionary party, and also to building a working women's movement. Today, the fact that larger numbers of women than ever before are in the workforce, that they are there because of necessity and that they are influenced by the ideas of the women's liberation movement and moved to activity by the developing pressures of the crisis, means that in this period, unlike the period following "orld War II, _ there is a basis for women organizing inside the trade unions and the working class in general to fight for the rights and needs of working women and to be moved, through that struggly, to the fight for socialim. Ary back-to-the-kitchen movement by the ruling class will have a much harder-time getting acceptance among working women.

Page 5, full paragraph 2, after, We will probably have to continue to do this. add: However, the nature of this fight will change somewhat as the chapters become official and can finally begin doing things in the name of CLUW. What is important in this fight is to use it to pose as alternative persepctive for CLUM as a fighting, militant action organization. Also closely linked to this githa fight is the undemocratic practice of the bureaucrats. They do things undemocratically because they want to prevent activity. Not vice-versa. It is activity which in the long run is threatening to them. In arguing with them, we must make these political points clear. We fight for democracy because it is necessary in older to carry out the stated goals and to create a particular type of organization, not because it is "good" or "just" or "right" in any abstract sense. Their inability and/or refusal to make these political points clear is partial reason for both the success and the fieldres of the October League. Their objections to bureaucratic schemes which they happen to be opposed to (since they have several of their own) are seen by many rank and filers and independent radicals and sometimes by the less sophisticated bureacrats as "differences of opnion" rather than differences of perspective.

Page 5. para 3; Delete entire paragraph

SUBSTITUTE: Thus, our general strategy towards CILW is to fight for it to become an effective organization for the struggle of all working women, not just present trade union members, and to generate stable organizations of union and nonunion women within the individual unions and among women trying to organize which can eventually be integrated into the larger rank and file movement against the trade union bureaucracy. To do this, we must begin to bring together the rank and file women and industrialized, independent radicals whitin CLUM for the purpose of building a mational opposition-based upon a program which emphasises militant struggles around women's equality in the workforce, opposition to the whole of the trade union bureaucracy, organizing the unorganized and fighting the attack on working women which is sure to come as the recession picks up steam. This opposition will be clearly counterposed to the strategy of the present leadership-bureaucratic lobbying and rank and file inactivity:

p. 5 para 4 sentence beginning "The issues are those " add lay-offs" so the sentence reads "The issues are those of equal pay for equal work, up grades, - child-care, maternity, lay-offs and organizing the unorganized."

ADD to end of document the following section:

IMPLEMENTING THIS PERSPECTIVE

In order to implement this perspective, we will raise the following domands within our Toeal chapters and through the journal and Workers Power and attempt to organize a national opposition to the current leadership around these demands as they represent an alternative perspective to that of the burcaucrats. What is outlines here covers those issues which seem most crucial to the continuation of CLUW as a viable organization and the building of a working women's mevament to deal with the most critical problems facing working women today. While ne specific demands regarding equal pay, child-care, etc are raised in these demands, it is understood that we fight for these demands when they arise in struggles we are involved in, we propagandize around these issues, etc. However, these domands often interlock with the broeder and more crucial domands far of organizing the unorganized and fighting lay-offs, and for the coming-period, will meny times be dependent on winning those.

We should aim for this opposition to have some organizational form prior to the -1975 CLUW convention. At the convention, the opposition caucus would raise these demands as resolutions. It would also proposed specific constitutional provisions to embody this perspective. As much as possible, local groups would run candidates for steering committees, conference delegates, etc on the barrer basis of these demands. If possible, the national opposition would also run a slate of candidates for the national positions. The journal would be the vehicle throught which we call for building this opposition.

1. Open_CLUW to all Working Women (the fight for this demand will take the form of fights to allow women from independent unions in CLUW, for clarification on the status of organizing committees, the staus of women_an withdrawl and of course the fight to change the membership requirements. Also involved would be a fight to allow non----union women to participate in CLUW with voice but no vote until such time as the membership requirements can be changed.)

IL. For an internally democratic structure. (all leadership positions to be clected with the right of immediate recall; state convenors, not members, vos not to be automatically indiment included in steering committees, for printed minutes that record all votes, for full discussion of the issues at meetings, for the right to raise resolutions at all meetings, for aboltion of the requirement for three meetings before charter, that all publications be opened to all views within CLUV.)

III For Support of the UFW- this requires no expnalation.

IV. For a national campaing to Organize the Unorganized (this is detailed in provious documents and Workers Power. This is a demand which can be raised at the local level for local campaigns and in that way to start raising it as an issue for the 175 conference)

V For a national campaign to fight lay-offs of women- (this demand is based on the motion put forward at the 74 conference. Initially, it may be almed at one-particular industry where women are being hardest hit, is auto, and will expand as the problem and CLUW grows. We also need some discussion on the questions of preferential hiring and supersenority for women as it relates to this demand)

VI For the active building of women's caucuses within the unions (whether official or unofficial, these caucuses would push for the rights and demands of working women in the context of their specific union; they would be a link to CLUW and a place -from which to involve rank and file women in CLUW

AMMENDMENT TO LABOR CODUMENT by Richard Estes-Detroit

p.8 Insert between 2nd and 3rd pargraph

It is unlikely that the Maoist groups will be able to build or lead a real rank and file novement. But they can and will have the ability to hold back and even break up groups that we are building. This is especially true in periods when the livels of activity and political discussion are low. Most maoists are recognized as militants. They duite often have more blacks and workers than the IS. The main advantage they have is the sort of "softness" toward China prevalent among radical workers. These things, combined with their opportunism give them a certain credibility and following that is important in a time when activity and conscious are low. It is much easier for them to attack and isolate the ISers at a time when you don't have to prove your politics in action.

p 8 add after 3rd paragraph

- At the same time we must realize that the maoists have little or no desire to be in a United Front with us. They have instead tried to isolate us(OL) or have come out and directly attacked us(CL). In general they would rather fight us than fight the bureaucrats. We must recognize this and be prepared to deal with them as an unfriendly tendency in the labor movement, just as we must with the bureaucracy However, we must also attempt to win them over and expose them with the United Front. We must expose them as the "splitters and wreckers" and the the Trotsky vs. Stalin question to rank and file action vs. sectarianism and defeat.

We do not raise the Tratsky vs. Stalin question as the primary question that must be answered before a # rank and file movement canbegin. But this question will arise. It will not always be ratsed in public debate, but it will certainly be raised privately. The massists will use it as an attempt to denigrate us a and explain to workers why they want no part of us. We must use the question to explai to workers why we want to collaborate with massists workers who are hostile to us.

The basis ff this question is the United Front. We conceive of the United Front as a tactic for building a mass workers movement. It is a class alliance. It is based only upon points of agreement and is aimed toward joint action. Each group participations has the right to maintain ther own independent political voic We act upon what we agree upon to build a workers movement.

The Stalinist conception of the Popular Front is different. It is not a class alliance based upon actionable agreement. Rather it is based upon accepting their political-line, usually the anti-imperialist coalition. Anyone who agrees with this line, regardless f their class, is in. It is not a strategy for class action butrather class collaboration. It leads either to opportunism or sectarianism, in ;both casses defeat. That is why they will not work with us.

We must be able to deal with this question and the best way is to tie is to concrete activity as much as possible. If we accept the United Front as our tactic, then we should begin to pursue it by proposing alliances with Maoist caucuses in industrial arenas. The main focus of such United Fronts would be in opposition to the various vureacracies, Woodcock, Fitzsimmons, Meany, Shanker, etc. This does not mean for that we should ignore opposition groups that are not led by radicals, far from it. But we should also begin to bring the Maoist caucuses into our opposition movement in order to win the workers from them and build a ourselves as a strong political pole of attraction. AMENDMENTS TO THE MIKE P. DOCUMENT ON BUREAUCRATIC COLLECTIVISM Emmet Casey

Substitute the following for all but the first paragraph of Section 1.

This new ruling class is organized as the bureaucratic apparatus of the state, which runs all productive industry. It controls an economy which is based on:

(1) large-scale machine production,

(2) exploitation of the labor power of the working class, which receives only a minimum for subsistence, and does not own the product of its labor, and
(3) subordinates all economic needs to expansion of heavy industry and arms production.

We call this form of society bureaucratic state capitalism.

Bureaucratic state capitalism differs from conventional western capitalism in the following ways: - -

(1) xakepasparty, is xxwist xxwist to be a structure to the ruling class owns property collectively rather than privately.

(2) The profit motive operates in a disguisedway, through taxation, rather than openly.

(3) Economic growth becomes even more crucial to the health of the system.
(4) Unemployment, instead of being cyclical, becomes chronis underemployment. The business cycle is suppressed by state regulation and becomes silattened out into a long-term tendency to stagnation.

(5) The state apparatus is totalitarian. There is greter political regimentationin every phase of society.

(6) The social crisis takes the form of underproduction and stagnation rather than the classical cycle of boom and bust.

All the differences represent the culmination of tendencies operating within private capitalism. They are not fundamental in nature.

Because of its origin in Stalin's Russia, we also call this form of society "stalinist". By that we do not mean to limit the applicability of our analysis. In our view, such varied states as the People' Republic of China, the Democratic Republic of Vietnam, Castre's Cuba, Yugoslavia, and Russia since Stalin areevery inch as-"stalinist"-- that is, bureaucratic state capitalist-- as Stalin's Russia.

Were are concerned not with the differences between these societies, but with sudiscovering the general dynamic that applies to all of them. Western capitalist states vary from fascist to social democratic, but they all remain capitalist. While we will focus our analysis on Russia and Eastern Europe because they are technologically more advanced and thus show more clearly the nature of the crisis of bureaucratic state capitalism, but the same crisis afficts all the state capitalist societies.

There are no longer only two fundamental xxixx classes contending for power in the world. The state bureaucracy of the statinist countries has joined bourgeoisie and proletarian in a three-connered struggle for world domination. Like the bourgeoisie, the state bureaucracy of the statinist countries lives by dominating the labor power of the bourgeoisie, working class. This makes it a capitalist class. Unlike the bourgeoisie, the statinist bureaucracy rules by its collective control of the state, not by its individual ownership of industry. This makes it a state capitalist class.

Because the state capitalist class in Russia arose not in a victorious revolution against feudalism, but in a bureaucratic counter-revolution against the working class, it is reactionary through and through. The emergence of the bureaucratic class was the product of a unique histoical situation-the degeneration and final defeat of the Russian revolution. The expansion of bureaucratic state capitalism after World War II was the result of the entirely new relationship of forces brought about by the devedopression decayof capitalism and the inability of the working class to make a revolution in the advanced countries.

The bureaucratic class arrives will late on the scene of history. By means of the most brutal repression and vicious exploitation, it achieves the historic mission of capitalism -- the development of modern industry and a modern working class, which mowns nothing but its own power to work and must sell that power in order to live. As Marx says, "Capital springs into birth dripping from head to foot, from every pore, with blood and dirf." (Capital, Kerr edition, p.-834). History has invented no other way than this "primitive accumulation of capital" to destroy small-scale pre-capitalist modes of production and separate the producers from their means of production.

We believe that it is impossible to struggle consistently against U.S. capitalism without becoming a revolutionary oppenent of bureaucraticstate capitalism. We dedicate ourselves to building a movement that can run the workplaces and smash the existing state machine in every country in the world, replacing them with a new kind of state based on the revolutionary democratic organizations of the working-class. Only such a state can really tead to the end of all oppression, all exploitation, and all injustice in the world. This theoretical work is no abstraction bureaucracy, and the state, to build a rank-and-file movement in the working class and a revolutionary movement in this country.

substitute for the section "ORIGINS OF THE THEORY"

THE THEORY OF BUREAUCRTIC COLLECTIVISM

Alongside the theory of bureaucratic state capitalism, there are other, older theories which also oppose the idea that stalinism is a movement in the interests of the working class, or some kind of workers' state. Foremost among these is the theory of bureaucratic collectiversism, which asserts that stalinist societies are neither socialist hor capitalist but a totaliy new form of class society.

This theory arose inside the Trotskyist movement before World War II in opposition to the position of Trotsky that Russia must be unconditionally defended. Its supporters argued that in the war, **xxxxkyisexxie** revolutionaries must adopt the policy of the third camp: opposition to both imperialist war camps -- allied and axis -- and support for the independent movements of the working class and struggles for national liberation.

-. 73 - 7

But the revival of capitalism after the war and the expansion of Stalinism to cover a third of the globe left revolutionaries confused and unprepared. Marxist theory stagnated and even the brilliant analyses of Lenin and Trotsky no longer were an adequate guide to action in the new and changed reality.

The theory of but eaucratic collectivism was a casualty of this period. It had assumed that stalinism could only come to power on the back of an unfinished workers' revolution, and at one time, that the working class had become "modern slaves" -- therefore, forced labor was an essential part of the stalinist system. It denied the possibility of economic or political reform within stalinism, considered the leader cult to be unavoidable, and denigrated the possibility of violent power rivalries between stalinist states

Thus, the theory of bureaucratic collectivism proved incapable of explaining the dynamic of the development of stalinism. It concentrated on a definition of the class holding state power, which it described at various time as more progressive, more reactionary, and just as reactionary as private capitalism. It confused property relations with production relations, and, like Trotsky, abstracted the forms of property into an ahistorical idea independent of the laws of motion of capitalism. It did not inquire into the nature of the economy of bureaucratic collectivism, and thought that the arbitrary decisions of the bureaucracy were the motor of the system.

But the theory of bureaucratic collectivism had a revolutionary thrust, It pointed to the need for the working class to struggle against an exploiting class. Since it was a socilogical theory of the nature of the state r3gime and the ruling class, and not an economic_theory, there is no fundamental contradiction between it and the theory of bureaucratic state capitalism.

- - -

add at the end of the section threat "THE APPEAL OF STALINISM"

(3) The little red engine that could— In opposition to Marx's assertion that "social being determines consciousness" stalinist system are appealing to those who believe that "consciousness determines being", or as Chairman Mao puts it, "A chicken feather can fly up to heaven". They want to believe that a largely peasant country can make the transition to socialism, solely by its own efforts, and merely by wanting to strongly enough.

Many people in the U.S. want to overthrow capitalism very much, but they despair of ever building a revolutionary party which is up to the job. They take refuge in idealistic conceptionslike those of the children's story The Little Red Engine Thar Could, where a locomotive is able to pull a heavily loaded train up a steep grade by puffing through its smokestack over and over again, "I think I can, I think I can..."

The backwardness of Chinese society appeals to alienated radicals who would like to see socialism built in the nice, clean countryside rather than the dirty, crowded city. The lack of a real network of communications and transportation in China appeals to notions that democracy is incompatible with large-scale production and centralized industry.

(4) The straw we cling to -- Many more serious radicals, whose first allegiance is to building a revolutionary party in U.S. based on the working class, support fhina not because they think everything there is beautiful, or a model for the world to follow. They support China because they see Maxix accounts on the abolition of private property in China as a sign that change is possible, that oppressed people can take their destiny into their own hands, and that the lot of the Chinese people has improved greatly since the revolution.

We hope to demonstrate to these people that the Chinese government is as firmly wedded to the theories of "socialism in one country" and peaceful coexistence as is the Russian government; that the Chinese government also practices "great power chauvinism" and subordinates the interests of struggling people all-over the world to the interests of its own ruling class. To continue to support it is to mortgage the future to the past, and to chairown the working class to the program of its class enemy. It is the workers, and not the bureaucrats, of all lands that must unite.

ec doxxxxxxxxx amendment 4

add to section II, page-8, second paragraph-THE CASE OF -CHINA

-

Mao's army effectively "declassed" the peasant -- it removed him from his land as a matter of conscious policy, gave him a new identity as a soldier, and indoctrinated him with the goals and outlook of the new ruling class. For the most ambitious peasants, it offered the perspective of joining the new class and marching up the ranks of the new hierarchy in party and army. It was this which enabled an army, largely recruited out of the ranks of the peasantry, to seize state power without placing it self under an alliance led by the working class.

- Unlike the working class, which cannot simply lay hold of the old state machine and use it for its own purposes, but must smash the capitalist state. Mao's new class of bureaucrats left the old state machine intact and filled it with their people.

- ----

THE LAW OF MOTION OF THE BUREAUCRATIC STATE CAPITALIST ECONOMY (substitute for-section V of the Parker Decument)-Emmet-Casey

In order to understand the workings of any social system, it is necessary to understand its internal dynamic. Just as modern physics and the development of modern machine industry awaited Newton's discovery of the laws of motion of physical bodies, modern social science depends on the discovery of the laws of motion of social bodies. In Capital, Marx set himself the task of discovering the law of motion of capitalist society.

For Marx, the law of motion of capitalism is accumulation for the sake of accumulation, and the subordination of all other economic activity to the goal of accumulation. The capitalist is forced to become the personification of capital and to have no other wants, needs or desires than the augmentation of his capital. In so far as the capitalist appropriates (makes his property) the wealth of others, he becomes wealthy; but his wealth is not his to consume. He must accumulate it. Not only the workers' consumption, but also his own, must become to him a sort of crime, for it is an act of robbery on accumulation.

This differentiates capitalist society from all previous forms of class domination. In all pre-capitalist societies, whether patriarchal, barbaric, slave, despotic, or feudal, the primary role of the ruling class was to steal enough from the producing classes to ensure its own survival, free from productive labor. The function of the ruling class was to consume, and production was always production for use. The immense productive possibilities of capitalist society come into being-because "it is not values in use and the enjoyment of them, but exchange value and its augmentation, that spur-(the capitalist -- ec) into action. Fanatically bent on making value expand itself, he ruthlessly forces the human race to produce for production's sake; he thus forces the development of the productive forces of society, and creates those material conditions which alone can form the real basis of a higher form of society, a society in the full and free development of every individual forms the ruling principle." (Capital, p. 649-- all citations from Capital give page numbers from the Kerr edition-- ec)

The ruling bureaucratic class in the USSR, China, and other stalinist states perform the same social function; this is why Marxists refer to them as a capitalist class, even though they do not own the means of production as individual private property, and they are not motivated by individual private profit.

PROPERTY RELATIONS AND PRODUCTION RELATIONS

In a modern society based on machine production, as in any class society, the ruling class establishes formal, written codes of law which sanctify the given distribution of wealth, or property relations. The distribution of wealthis based on a specific organization of the productive forces, which continually reproduces that distribution of wealth. Thus, the property relations are dependent on the production relations.

to withdraw from the world market, and cut their foreign expenditures to almost nothing, like China during the great leap forward or Russia in_the 1930's. If this move were successful, the society would cease to be capitalist, for it would be able to plan consciously how much ofits resources to spend on consumption and how much on production. It would not be at the mercy of blind forces beyond its control.

However, there is one form of competion that no society can isolate itself from. Modern armaments can reach every part of the globe and totally destroy all life there. Therefore, every economy is forced to join the arms race, or ally itself with another power that does. This in turn requires a large sector devoted to the production of the machinery needed to make weapons, the matals required in their production, and the electronic hardware for their guidance systems. This requires the massive intervention of the state in the economy, since these things must be producted, regardless of anyone's desire and regardless of profitability. Even in the private capitalist more makes arms economy, profitability has long since ceased to be the sole mechanism for allacating capital resources.

By means of taxation, large amounts of capital are shifted from the hands of private capitalists and put at the disposal of the state. Evers larger sums are removed from the workers' paychecks, that is, from consumption, by the tax agents.

In the state capitalist countries, taxation is even more regressive. In place of income taxes, the major form of taxation is the turnover tax. The turnover tax is calculated as a fixed percentage of the final selling price of a commodity. It is therefore even more regressive than the sales taxes we are familiar with, because the rate is different for different commodities.

In practice, the turnover tax is high on consumer goods, and low on luxuries, high on argricultural goods, and low on manufactured goods. In this way, the workers' consumption can be restricted in fayor of accumulation.

-Thus the turnover tax plays the same role in state capitalist society that profit plays in private capitalism. It steers capital from one sector to another. The turnover tax represents the collective profit of the collective ruling class_under state capitalism.

SEPARATION OF THE WORKERS FROM THE-MEANS OF PRODUCTION

Capital is primarily a relationship between people. It may take the form of money, machinery, or property, but it is something distance from them. For capital to exist, the labor of one person must be owned by another, who uses it to dominate still more living labor. When, through the combination of machinery, raw materials, and living labor power, all of them bought and paid for by the capitalist, a new value is created,

greater than the sum of the values that were used up in its production, capital is born.

For capital to exist, the laborers must not be able to own the product of their labor, but they must own their power to labor, and be able to sell it. The specific difference between capitalism and all other systems of production is that the employers of labor in a capitalist system are chiefly motivated by a desire and a need to increase their own capital.

- In Marx's words, "Labor-power is sold today, not with a view of satisfying, by its service or its product, the personal needs of the buyer. His aim is augmentation of his capital, production of commodities containing more more labor than he pays for, containing therefore a portion of value thatcosts him nothing, and that is nevertheless realized when his commodities are sold. Production of surplus-value is the absolute law of this mode of production. " (p. -678)

The bureaucratic rulers of the state capitalist countries, like the rulers of private capitalist countries, do not produce mainly for their own consumption. Although their incomes are far higher than those of the working people, and they have many privileges that separate them from the masses, ktheir consumption amoints to only a small part of the tremendous wealth they control.

Kuron and Modzelewski have shown that between 1949 and 1963 production of xonsumer goods in Poland more than doubled, but accumulation rose 3.6 times. (Open Letter To The Party, Pluto Press; p. 21) Because prices are distorted due to the high turnover tax on consumer goods, this actually understates the subordination of consumption to accumulation in state capitalist society.

COMMODITY PRODUCTION IN STATE CAPITALIST SOCIETY

Is it possible to speak of commodity production in state capitalist society?

Commodities must have a specific use-value and a general exchange value. In order to be exchanged, each commodity must have some property in common with all: "all are reduced to one and the same sort of labor, human labor in the abstract." [Capital, -p. 45]

While six it is easy to see that commodities must be exchanged, the process of exchange ideals tends to mask its a crystallization of human labor power. -" A commodity is therefore a mysterious thing, simply because in it the social character of men's labor appears to them as

m

It would appear that this fetishism of commodities cannot exist in 1 -a state capitalist society. "As a general rule, articles of utility become commodities on because they are the products of the labor of private individuals of groups of indiduals who carry on their work independently of each other... the labor of the individual asserts itself as a part of the labor of society only by means of the relations which the act of exchange establishes directly between the products and indirectly, through them, between the producers. (p. 83-84)

- - Only where commodities are exchanged then does the labor time embodied in them become subject to the law of value. It would appear, that in a society where all property was in the hands of the state, that there is no commodity production.

State-capitalist society would then resemble a pre-capitalist society in which consumption is the goal of production and articles of commercedo not become commodities inside the production unit. Commodity exchange in these societies begins from the outside in, as it were, as the slave-owners and feudalists start to exchange products with one another,

"The exchange of commodities, therefore, first begins on the boundaries of such communities, at their points of contact with other, similar communities, or with members of the latter. So soon, however, as products once become commodities in the external relations of a community, they also, by reaction, become so in its internal intercourse." (p. 100)

Once a-society begins to trade articles of commerce with another society, it must begin to consider the cost of production of those articles. That is, it must consider them as a definite part of the total labor time expended for productionin that society. Only if it is profitable for someone will the producers spend an ever greater proportion of their time producing commodities t for exchange. This, in turn, reflects upon the time needed to produce the non-commodities, the articles intended only for use. This time must also be spent as efficiently as possible if the society is to go on producing for use. A hidden relation of exchange begins to develop bewtween the articles produced for exchange and the articles produced for uswe.

In this way, the circulation of commodities begins to establish - itself in place of the direct exchange of products, or barter. "The exchange of commodities breaks through all local and personal bounds inseparable from direct barter, and develops the circulation of the products of social labor..." (p. -126) The process requires the use of money as an intermediary.

On the basis of the money economy, capitalism destroys the self-sufficiency of the small-scale pre-capitalist production unit, and forces all non-capitalist societies into a single universal world market.

Within this market, larger and larger production units are built up, inside of which the exchange of products again no longer obey the laws of commodity production. Ford has a vertically-integrated auto plant at River Rouge where steel, for example, is made on the premises, and manufactured into cars. Only the finished car is commodityproduced for exchange---the steel is produced for use only. However, Ford is not the only producer we of steel in the U.S. - Therefore, it must submit its steef production to the same kind of cost accounting as U.S. Steel, which does produce steel as a commodity. Ford has now begun to buy from U.S. Steel because it has discovered that U.S. Steel can produce the same product cheaper. Thus, the external compulsion of the commodity market subjects Ford's steel-production to the law of value even the law formally does not apply.

A similar process takes place inside the Russian economy taken as a whole. Once Russia begins to divert any significant proportion of its social product into foreign exchange, all products produced in Russia become indirectly subjected to the law of value by the relations of exchange existing between Russia and the other states trading on the world market. Arms competition also subjects production to the law of value because armaments take up a portion of the labor time of society which would otherwise go into production of commodities.

IS LABOR POWER A COMMODITY?

However, this is not the only condition that must be satisfied to prove that labor power is a commodity. Does the laborer possess his labor power or is it owned by another? Is he a worker in the true sense of the term?

For the worker to possess his labor power he must be able to sell it. -Labor power must be-sold only for a definite length of time. "He (the worker)--ec) must constantly look on his labor power as his own property, his own commodity, and this he can only do by placing it at the disposal of the buyer temporarily, for a definite period of time. By this means alone he can avoid renouncing his ownership over it. "(pp. 186-87)

A forced laborer, a serf,or a slave, do not sell their labor power for a definite period of time. Under socialism, labor power is not sold at all, because the producers themselves control production. But as long as the producers are separated from the means of production, as long as the worker remains a worker for wages who has no control over the means of production, wage labor and capital continue to exist whether there is one buyer for labor power or one mullion.

h amendments 5

"X In reality, the laborer belongs to capital before he has sold himself to capital, His economical bondage is both brought about and concealed by the periodic sale of himself, by his change of masters, and by the oscillations in the market price of labor power." (p. 633) The capitalist production process itself containually recreates the relationship between capital and labor. The "change of masters" brings about his bondage because he is no freer under his new master than under the old; it conceals it because it gives him the illusion that he can regain his freedom with the next change of masters. The change of masters does not produce his bondage, for that is necessitated by the process of accumulation itself.

It is astounding, but labor turnover in Russiā has always been extremely high, even while Stalin's dranconic 1940 labor code was in effect. Apparently even the Russian worker is not convinced a "cahnge of masters" will do booxhim no good!

Once the process of accumulation begins, the very existence of capital serves to transform the relations between capitalist and worker and distort the exchange of value between them.

"The relation of exchange subsisting bewtween capitalist and waxkarlaborer becomes a mere-semblance appertaining to the process of circulation, a mere form, foreign to the real nature of the transaction, and only mystify (sic) it. The ever-repeated purchase and sale of labor power is now the mere form; what really takes place is this -- the capitalist again and again appropriates, without equivalent, a portion of the previuosly materialized labor of others, and exchanges it for a greater quantity of living labor." (p. 639)

The state capitalist ruling class does no more and no less with the workers under its xcontrol. This is the essential meaning of capitalaccumulation as outlined by Marx. To maintain that labor power is only a commodity where the law of value applies is to maintain that it is not a commodity under xxpitelizexxx private capitalism as welf.

THE RESERVE ARMY -- LAW OF CAPITALIST ACCUMULATION

The existence of capitalist accumulation submits the working class to a further inexorable law -- the formation of a reserve army of unemplyed workers. Unemployment disciplines workers to fear loss of their jobs and so to accept lower wages and speed-up. It also provides a pool of surplus laborers to be drawn on in times of boom and creates the material basis for exploiting racial, sexual, ethnic, and religious divisions in the work force.

-Since World War II, relatively full employment has been achieved in the advanced capitalist countries through the maintenance of large unproductive sectors in the economy supported by taxation. However, increased -

ec arrendment 5

competition of the capitalist countries on the world market has diminished the stabilizing effects of arms spending. This has led to increased unemployment rates in the U.S. and the other countries of modern state monopoly capitalism. - The law of accumulation is being obeyed.

Is there no unemployment in the state-capitalist countries? Are the state capitalists exempt from the law of accumulation? To answer these questions we must look at the productivity of labor in a state capitalist economy.

In a state capitalist economy the productivity of labor is much lower than in western capitalism. - In part, this is caused by the wastefulness and inefficiency of bureaucratic direction, and the vast army of controllers employed to spy on the workers and low-level management. The main cause, however, lies in the lack of any realistic or rational price mechanism. As we have seen, prices are distorted by the bureaucracy through the regressive turnover tax in order to fuel the accumulation machine.

The result is that some commodities are, by conscious choice, sold cheaper than their value-(the socially necessary labor-time needed for their production). Other commodities are sold dearer. In general, armaments and machinery are cheapened, consumer goods are made more expensive. Thus the bureaucracy itself is unable to determine with any accuracy which of two industrial processes is more efficient.

One effect of this situation is that labor is used very wastefully. In 1957, the total number of workers employed in the Russian economy power discondances was 12% greater than in the U.S. Yet the social product was only half as great. In effect, vast numbers of workers were under-employed. Since the state regulates production of consumer goods, it has no interest in reducing the work force whose consumption in any case cannot exceed the inadequate amount of consumer goods available.

An additional supply of surplus population is found in the countryside. Nearly half the Russian population is still engaged in agriculture, a strangely high proportion in an industrialized country.

Instead of the unemployed forming a welfare class of rootless, angry human rubbish, the entire Russian people suffers from chronic shortages of food, clothing, housing, and other consumer goods. The **xinetizexx**inefficiency of bureaucratically directed production has turned the entire working class into paupers who beg tourists for clothing. While the form that the surplus population takes has changed, the capitalist law of accumulation has not been violated.

By regulating the amount of consumer goods available, the bureaucracy can, within the limits available to it, regulate the misery of this surplus working population. The crisis of state-capitalism reveals itself not in periodic unemployment but in chronic under consumption.

PLANNING OR BUREAUCRATIC DIRECTION?

If the state capitalist bureaucracy is able to regulate the amount of consumer goods to control the consumption of the working class, why can't it prevent crises why can't it prevent crisis by accumulating so rapidly that wages tend to rise over a period of time? In fact, many state capitalist economies have shown high rates of growth for long periods of time.

In a private capitalist economy, a high rate of accumulation, attentionship between wages (variable capital) and investment (constant-capital), causing the average rate of profit to decline. The declining rate of profit tends to stifle new investment and reduce demand, bringing on a crisis in which unemployment becomes massive, prices and wages decline, less efficient capitalists go broke, and the rate of profit, and therefore the rate of accumulation, go up again. Can such a crisis occur in a state capitalist society?

The ability of a state capitalist society to prevent a crisis dependson its ability to plan rationally. If capital xaxacan be allocated in the correct proportions between the light (consumer goods) and heavy (capital goods) industries, the economy should be able to keep an expanding at a kraxxacatex steady rate, without producing a surplue of either capital or consumer goods.

Planning in Russia means the establishment of definite production goals for eachax enterprise. Goals may be specified in terms of quantity, (1) volume, weight, or price. In whatever terms the goals are specified, the start motivation of the plant manager and other factory officials, including the technicians and the party secretary, is to conspire to see to it that the goal of a is met in the stated terms.

Does the goal of a tractor plant specify total weight? The tractors and will be as heavy as possible -- half again as heavy at tractors produced in the west. If price is the goal, the mest expensive raw materials will be used -- and not enough tractors will be produced. If size is the criterion, large, show tractors will be produced, if quantity is the criterion, many small tractors will be produced -- many of them missing essential parts that were in short supply. The need for tractors -- which types, which size -- will not be taken into account, only the need to fulfill the plan.

A further contradiction arises because of supply shortages. Due to the failure of the previous plan, supplies will not be available in sufficient numbers and assortment to produce the goods required by the plan. Either defective goods which cannot be used will be produced, or the factory will procure its_supplies by black marketeering, or it will make them itself, at a much higher cost of production. In any case, the cost in terms of wasted labor power, is crippling. The trend to "departmentalism"-- the striving for self-sufficiency at any cost-- leads to anarchy in production. - Planning thus leads to its opposite -- a total breakdown in centralized direction and an anarchic duplication of services and products. -

Another bad effect of bureaucratic planning is that the tyranny of the quota causes technicians and plant managers to be conservative -- technical innovations are shunned because any new process might lead to a short-term loss of production that would endanger this year's plan figures. As in private capitalism, downtime to repair and maintain machinery just takes valuable hours away from production -- there is a tendency to run machines until they break down, and need much more costly repairs. Since there is a very rapid turnover among-plant managers, there is no reason for the manager to worry about next year -- he'll be somewhere else by therm?

The type of "planning" done by stalinst bureaucrats is not incompatible with capitalism -- all modesn state monopoly capitalist economies require years-ahead "investment planning" in order to grow. Most of those economies have begun to adopt "incomes policies" to regulate wages costs in advance.

As Tony Cliff points-out, "If by the term "planned economy" we understand an economy in which all component elements are adjusted and regulated in a single rhythm, in which frictions are at a minimum, and, above all, in which foresight prevails in the making of economic decisions - then the Russian economy is anything but planned. Instead of a real plan, strict methods of government dictation are evolved for filling the gaps made in the economy by the decisions and activities of this very government. Therefore, instead of speaking about a soviet planned economy, it will be much more exact to speak of a bureaucratically directed economy. "(SMAXIMEX Russia: A Marxist Analysis) p. 274)

THE STATE CAPITALIST CRISIS

If bureaucratic direction cannot fill in for the blind workings of the market, how does the Russian economy allocate resouces? The one factorthat the bureaucrats cannot restrict is arms spending. Arms spending tends to prevent overproduction from occurring and yet aids economic growth. Arms spending requires heavy investment in metals, electronics, and machinebasistxbuilding. World-wide arms competition, a blind economic force, makes the bureaucracy need to subordinate consumption to accumulation.

Not possessing the immense capital reserves that U.S. corporationspossessed, Russian state capitalism must still spend enough on arms to maintain approximate equality with the U.S. Whereas the U.S. has only recently begun to experience a shortage of investment capital and balance of payments difficulties, Russia has been short of capital for decades.

Russian state capitalism was faced with the additional burden of squeezing a heavy industrial base out of an impoverished country. The rapid

a cumulation of the 1930's and 40's was made possible by depressing the living standards of the people, and by the forcible expropriation of the peasants which released the vast surplus population of the countryside and drove them into becoming factory workers. "The so-called primitive accumulation, therefore, is nothing else than the historic producers of divorcing the producer from the means o of production. It appears as primitive, because it forms the prehistoric stage of capital and of both mode of production corresponding with it." (Capital, p. 786)

But the selection of Russian state capital was preconditioned by the backwardness of the Russian economy. While other state capitalist economies still find themselves in the stage where "accumulation of capital is, therefore, increase of the proletariat" (p. 673), Russia, Czechoslovakia, and East Germany have had to come face to face with the tasks of a highly developed capitalist economy.

State capitalism is, if anything, even less able than western capitalism to develop the prodictivity of labor. All the developed state capitalist countries have shown stagnant growth rates in the last few years, a sign that they are no longer able to generate capital by adding workers to the work force.

State capitalism's chronic shortage of capital means that expansion is only possible by drastically limiting consumption. However, they cannot even raise wages through productivity dealing as western-capitalists do. There is no carrot, only the stick. While liberal reformers dream of applying the methods of western capitalism inside the state capitalist countries, increasing wages, consumption (particularly the privileged consumption of the technocrats), and plank entrepeneurial initiative inside the society, reform shatters on the rock of national defense.

As long as arms spending remains the chief priority of the economy, any increase in consumption is a direct threat to military security. Arms competition, not production for use remains the goat of the ruling class. Nikita Khrushchev made this explicit in 1955 when he attacked then prime minister Malenkov for attempting to increase consumer spending.

Khrushchev said. "IH-starred theoreticians are trying to prove that at a certain stage of socialist building the development of heavy industryso they allege-- ceases to be the main task and that light industry can and must outstrip all other branches of industry. This is a profoundly mistaken reasoning, alien to the spirit of Marxism - Leninism...

"The paramount task, to the solution of which the party devotes all its efforts, has been and remains the strengthening of the might of the Soviet state, and, consequently, the accelerated development of heavy industry, which forms the firm basis of the whole of the national economy and the indestructible strength of the country..." Malenkov resigned as Prime Minister two weeks after this speech and was replaced by Bulganin, the Minister of Pefense.

The bureaucracy is unable to plan for even its own needs. Lured by the vast variety of commodities for sale on the world market, it attempts to increase consumption. Lured by the sophisticated computer technology of the west it attempts to accumulate_ foreign currency by trading on the world market. It relaxes the pressure on the peasants, allows the private market in agriculture a bit of breathing room-- and the economy stagnates.

Stagnation threatens the military bugdget and the heavy industrial sector. Consumption must be limited again. Investment in the heavy industry and arms sectors is increased, foreign trade is cut off, compulsory purchases from the peasants are increased, the workers are told to workharder. -Material incentives **xeplaxx** are replaced by moral incentives. Shortages get worse, sabotage increases, and industrial productivity decreases. The plan goal is not fulfilled, and there is increasing unrest among the population, if not open revolt. Waste and inefficiency increase. Competition between various enterprises for short supplies produces economic chaos.

The result is a constant series of zigzags between slow and mepdewrapid growth, between entering the world market and market and market withdrawal, between "socialism with a human face" and real markismleninism". As long as workers are excluded from any real control over production and any real power in the state, the bureaucratic ruling class can only postpone the moment of its death.

Real economic growth can only come about when production for consumption's sake replaces accumulation for accumulation's sake, when the workers of all countries take power into their own hands, and, led by their own revolutionary Marxist party, proceed to construct the socialist order.

Vn - miller +1- =+ trantl hay 10- 51 411 1/11- 36 15.29