THE DECISIVE ROLE OF THE SOVIET PURGE

by WILLIAM Z. FOSTER

When the Communists of the U.S.S.R. and other countries stated that the purge of the Trotakytte, Zinovievite, Bukharinits spies and wreckers in the Soviet Union during the years 1936-27 constituted a major defeat for Hiller and the other imperialists who were contemplating a military attack to overthrow the Soviet government, many liberals scotled at this analysis. They twee shocked by the drastic elimination of these traitorous elements from Soviet activity and declared that the Soviet government, abandoning its Socialist principles, was butchering a legitimate opposition and turning into a brutal tyranny.

Now, however, in the Soviet-German non-aggression pact the tremendous events that are flowing from it, the decisive and constructive importance of the role Soviet purge stands played by the out so clearly that only those willfully blind politically can ignore it. Ever since the foundation of the Soviet government in October, 1917 the British and French Tories have plotted, boldly and unblushingly, for its overthrow, sometimes one group and sometimes the other taking the lead in the growing inter-national anti-Soviet front. And And when Hitler, the champion of Gerimperialism, seized power in 1933, he immediately began to fit his course in with this anti-Soviet orientation. Upon all occasions he shoutdetermination to the Ukraine and he even talked of overrunning the Soviet Union as far east as the Urals; it was Hitler also who launched the great agita-tional campaign "to save the world from Bolahevism" and initiated the anti-Comintern pact between Germany, Japan and Italy, a pact which was directed towards the destruction of the Soviet government.

The British and French reactionaries applauded all this and proceeded systematically to strengthen Hitler's hands through the appeasement policy that the world now knows only too well. Thus, there gradually more and more took shape a great imperialist front of Oermany, England, France, Japan and Italy, the central purpose of which was eventually to destroy the Boviet Union. The spearhead of this anti-Soviet movement was fascist Germany.

Hitler based his strategy upon the von Klausewitz tary strategist who held the theory that the only way Russia could be degistvely defeated militarily was by the attacker being assist e same time by an organized revolt inside that country. With this conception in mind, therefore, and his Japanese bought up the Trotakyltes, Bukha-rinites and other associated traitors These elements were to strike their blow at the Soviet leaders and government simultaneously with the German and Japanese military attack from without. In return surrendering the Ukraine to Hitler and the maritime provinces to Japan, these traitors were to be placed at the head of a puppet Russian government sustained by foreign fascist bayonets. As this gradually anti-Soviet conspiracy ripened, England and France en-



WILLIAM E. POSTER

couraged Hitler from the back-ground.

But the purge of 1936-37 completely upset this carefully thoughtof British strategy British-German-Japanese-French wrecking the counter-revolutionary organization of Trotskyites, Zinovi-Bukharinites. etc. upon whom Hitler was depending to stage revolt simultaneously with his tack from without. Therefore, attack from with his putsch forces inside the Soviet Union destroyed, and in line with von Klausewitz's theory that Russia could only be defeated with the help of internal revolt, Hitler had to give up-for the time at least his long-projected deeply-cherished military as and Union. The the Boviet purge thus blocked Hitler's march to the east and forced him to direct his attention elsewhere for conquests.

The general consequence was that the ranks of the imperialist powers were split, their strategy thrown into confusion and the mutual antagonisms among them enormously sharpened. Their plan to attack the Soviet Union through Hitler was hopelessly disrupted. The British hopelessly disrupted. The British and French Tories, however, did not accept the full consequences of this situation as quickly as Hitler did. They kept on trying, through concessions, promises and threats, to force Hitler to resume his drive the east against the Soviet. Union. They surrendered Czecho-slovakia and Austria to Hitler and they systematically aided him in building up his land, air and naval forces, all with the aim of directing Union.

But Hitler refused to take the path they wasted him to take, because, guided by von Klausewitz's theory, he was convinced beforehand that a German attack could not succeed in the face of a united Soviet people. Instead, Hitler, in his campaigns of aggression, kept pressing more and more against Great Britain and France and consequently getting into ever greater conflict with them.

The final result of this clash of imperialist aims we now see in the war between England and France on the one side, and Germany on the other. The imperialist wolves, balked of their anticipated prey, the Soviet Union, have turned about and are devouring each other.

Hitler, stopped in the Boviet Union made immeasurably stronger by its thorough-going purge of traitors, was compelled to sign the non-aggression pact. And the aftermath of that pact shows by the acid test of life itself that the whole Soviet course of the purge and the pact was currect. The pact thereby dealt world fascism a heavy defeat; it has stopped Hitler's drive to the east; seriously weakened to the east; seriously weakened German, Prench and British im-perialism in the Balkans and the Baltic and Japanese imperialism in the Far East; it has also enormously strengthened the strategic tion of the Soviet Union and raised its prestige among the oppressed peoples of Central and East rope. In ahort, the Soviet-German which flowed from the defeat pact Hitler in the Soviet purge 1936-37, represents a great victory for the forces of Socialism and peace.

Many intellectuals and liberals d not understand the purge any lore than they now understand did not the Soviet-German pact. But this is not unusual on their part. It is one of the striking facts of the Russian Revolution that practically all the important steps taken forward, those that were really deci-sive in the life of the revolution, have been widely misunderstood by liberals and have only come to be grasped in their full significance after a considerable lapse of time.
Thus, these people condemned the
overthrow of the Kerensky regime in October, 1917, although the passage of time has clearly shown that without this the revolution must have failed completely in Russia. They also repudiated the Brest-Litovsk Treaty in 1918 as a betrayal of democracy, but now even con-servatives admit that this treaty s a great strategical achievement by Lenin and that without it the revolution could not have survived. Many liberals also excoriated the Economic Policy of 1921 as an abandonment of Socialism and surrender to capitalism, but again they were completely wrong. NEP, like the Brest-Litovsk Treaty, is understood almost everyindispens where to have been an able measure for the upbuilding of Socialism

Now, like so many times in the past, the liberal ranks again are all hot and bothered over the Boylet-German pact. Once more every-thing is lost. And these liberals, confused by the breakdown of the world capitalist system, are wan-dering in a swamp of pessimism and chaos. Eventually, many of them will doubtless come to under-stand the true significance pact and the purge, even as they have got an inkling of the real real meaning of the overthrow of Kerensky regime, the signing of the Brest-Litou k Treaty, the establish-ment of the NEP and many other measures which, in their day, deeply alarmed and confused them. The course of the proletation rand u-tion is unique and full of many surprises, and by the time the liberals catch up with the true significance of the Soviet-German pact, undoubtedly they will be then confronted by still more startling and world-shaking developments that will give them new cases of jitters and confusionism.