May 28, 1958

Dear Jean:

One-helf million people out on the streets in Paris, any the sir vaves, and this is the first good news in two tense veeks since everyone from the Communists to Pflimlin were playing politics —and only the counter-revolution in Algeria, in Corsica and in France itself, that which headed by de Gaulle acting seriously, striving for power a la Hitler.

Is it too late-have 10 years of Communist-Socialist thwarting of the masses' desire for a new society taken its toll and de Gaulle will reach power nevertheless?

In any case it is a cinch such questions are not faciled in parliamentary halls but on the streets and only mass action can possibly prevent the inevitable bourgaois move to fascism, with america smiling on a la Eisenhower who has already informed us today that "I like de Gaulle."

The fate of Europe has never been more at stake since 1939-and if you could at all write us an on-the-spot report it would be great not only in informing the American workers, but preparing solidarity action, to whatever limited extent that is possible when you are as few as we are, for the eventualities that are sure to keep on developing in the next few critical wasks and months.

I don't know whether I had sent you previously a copy of the small workers' newspaper that I am now connected with, NEWS & LETTERS, but I sent you one undersceparate cover now, and in any case you would know the suddence I have in mind.

The last 2 weeks we have all been living in France but it is absolutely impossible to have a full oleture when you are not physically there and have contact with French workers, intellectuals, and the spirit of France in general. Leat week I had also written to Damen in Italy who has contact with a small group in France called Socialisme on Embaric (a periodical in which CLRJames has a hand, by the way) and who I assumed would have gone to France to see what can be done for a report as Italy sees it for anything this serious happening in France really means all of Western Europe. You get a shudder pass through to you to think that We II could have happened and gone, and all the revolts in the colonies, and the mass parties and the small left groupings and yet Tascism could once again reenter the scene and ahead of the H-Bomb and Us-USSR world struggle for power triggering off WY III.

I know this is the time for action, not for words, but analysis cannot really be separated from activity, and so I repeat, if at all possible, please do write at once on the situation in France.

Yours,

Maya , 9419

Barre 1 47/18

Paris, Mine 1, 1958

Dear Raya

The pressing tone of your letter shows tellingly the extent to which people outside France are concerned and even excited about the current events in this country, while people within France of at least for the time being - literally don't give a damm. The lesson one would be unforgivable not to draw from the present situation, is the wing total absence of the working class on the political scene. Surely, there are millions of them, but only as an economic category; as a body capable to voice their own aims in a fighting spirit, they simply do not exist. Not now, at any rate. Today, Sunday, the sky being bright, they went fishing in masse; and this very evening, in masse, they'll go to the movies. Of the three workers' unions of this country, the socialist led F.O. has no other moving power except its distrust and hatred towards the communists, a deeply rooted bitter antagonism fed by memories of the brutal repressive anti-labor policy of the commiss at the time they were commissars in de Gaulle's government, and the movie accept the process of the process of the commissars at the time they were commissars in de Gaulle's government, and the more recent memories of the purely pro-Russian equally labor-breaking political strikes engineered by the same commics once they were out of government the catholic C.F.T.C., whose bosses and to a lesser degree rank and file are more "left-minded" than the F.O. outfit, but not really enough to make love with the communists (by the way, it is a remarkable thing that catholic workers and intellectuals are morelly if not politically, more courageous and more honest than lectuals are morally, if not politically, more courageous and more honest than the traditional "left"); and the thoroughly communist infiltrated C.G.T., the big gest union of them all -- but now the less entirely isolated from the other formations. What that isolation really means is shown by the C.G.T.'s appeal for a local (it concerned only Paris) general strike of one single afternoon (May 19 it was meant, in fact, to get a feeling of the workers' response, a feeling of their readiness to stand up and fight -- if necessary: Well, the most inflated figures don't go beyond a 15% answer to the call! It was a resounding flop, the e so that not even all the C.G.T.'s party endoctrinated troops answered pressnt. On the other hand, may I point out that the "one-half million people out in the streets in Paris" -- a parade in which edgerly look for "the first good news in two tense weeks" -- were just about two hundred thousand, half of them on-lookers. Oh yes, there were workers among the remaining hundred thousand, but als Messrs Daladier, Mendes-France, Philip, Gazier, etc., and their clientèle, all past, present or futute ministers of French capital, yelling "Vive la République under the benevolent eye of thousands upon thousands of cops whose saint patron is Jules Moch, a "minority socialist" if you please, the same Moch who with the knowing collaboration of his Stalinist colleagues in the first de Gaulle government had company a well alubbed to death that Time Messyine calls him the touch ment had workers so well clubbed to death that Time Magazine calls him the toughes cop France can beast of. Thus they went, a "disciplined" parade relegated to the peripheral sections of gross-Paris, without a single class-conscious slogan—only the C.P. activists yelling "Fascism went pass!" a yell bursting with demagoury, a yell with no more revolutionary reality in it than there is socialism in the rotten souls of Messrs. K. or Mollet. And yet, you see, were it not that the workers have alienated their class-consciousness into the hands of communist & socialist parties and their union bosses, were it not for that, I'd consider the absence of the workers "out on the streets" as a positive, encouraging phenomenon: it would have meant that they refused to endorse capitalist solutions for capital's sake, refused to fight and die for one form of class-domination against another — as they so often did in history. It would have meant that they understood that, should they fight for the Fourth Republic against de Gaulle's Republic, there was only one possible issue: their dead bodies. Yes, it would have been a great exalting thing, were they absent on the streets by clear deliberation. But no, if they aren't there it is not by clear deliberation, it is by dofault.

\(\) Now, what is all that fuss about fascism? Where are the big rightist masses, their organisations, their political parties, their crowded rallies? Never in

modern history of France have extremist rightist movements dwindled into nothingness as it is the ease today. Granted, there are always Fascist-minded adventurers, but that's what they are: adventurers. Should they be crazy enough to think that this was the time for action, they wouldn't be able to mister two thousand fighting goons. A Biaggi, a Le Fen, a Demarquot) are just plain heoligans; even today's Algoria didn't want of their kind; the first was arrested there, the two others were expelled manu militari; they are no more representative of a Fascist "movement" in France, than McGarthy was a representative of a Fascist of a Fascist in the U.S. Let's leave saide the question concerning the nature of Fascism, for instance the fact that it springs into being - I have in mind Italy and Germany, the only two "pure" examples of Fascism - as a result of national monopolistic capital being crashed into bits under the deadly blows of international cartels. Let's rather consider the main sequels of such a situation, i.e. the inevitable dislocation of the State aparatus and the traditional bourgeois institutions, which in turn creates a social void - so that whils the ruined capitalists desperately try to recapture their lost privileges they find themselves facing a working class which is also, and at the same time, bidding for power. It is only when the State, its army, its police, its whole repressive machinery, as unable to cope with a working class actually and bodily reaching for power, that the objective need arises for cuxiliary repressive forces: para-military formations, regimentation of labor; destruction of the parliamentary system, physical and moral violence, well -- the whole brothel of boargeois ethics when the bourgeois become afraid. Yet, Fascism, Fascist unest, oblitizing millions upon millions of people - workers and petty bourgeois alike - is not, and never was, and never will be, a project capital will undertake lightheatedly. No, capital loves "order", and modern "enlightness" capital will under

Frence's political institutions have not really changed since the birth of the chiral Republic, in 1870. Though amended, the Constitution of the Fourth Rep. has failed to adjust itself to the new prevailing capitalist relations. The bulk of French juridical & political institutions are still today tuned into resonance with with property relations as they existed under competitive capitalism. This is due to many factors, of which the most important and, in the last analysis, the decisive one, was the parcelling of land during the French Revolution and, if I may say so, the (atomisation) of property over the whole compass of the country. Even now, while there is a tremendous accumulation of wealth and capital, France still is a place of small owners, small shopkeepers, small middlemen. For instance, there is one shop for every 40 inhabitants; though there are trading enterprises that employ hundreds and even thousands of clereks, the average of salaried employees per business (i.e. trade) is 1.1/4, which means that from 70 to 80% of shops are exploited on a family basis. That this is obsolete, ruinous, and properly medieval

Taly ment and the second

9421

as it is there next to some of the most modern industrial realisations in the world, such as steel mills, automobile, chemical combines, electronics, dams, etc., is quite obvious. Yet there you have a perfect example of the cleavage between "law" and reality, between super- and infrastructure Marx speaks of in the Introduction of The Critique. (Even in the U.S. there survive such anachronize phenomene, as for instance when a few miserable, ridaculous sheep-breeders, with no importance at all in the American economy, succeed in getting a bill through the Senate in "defense of American wool", the clear result being that, cut off the American market by highs custom duties Australian importers retaliated against American goods — a "deal" in which U.S. industry looses yearly several billion dollars in exports; the same can be said for bicycles, watches, etc., or still for the artificial rate of silver due to the Nevada lobby.) Here and there, since the end of first world war, attempts were made to adjust the obsolete legal institutions to the new property relations that were building up in the wake of expanding monopoly capital; but so tremendous was the pressure of the competitive capital represented in the legislature by hundreds of deputies and senators, so permeated the public administrations with sons and nephews of small capitalists, that all legal efforts to overhaul the "system" as they call it now, was doomed. In that there was nothing new historically speaking, for each time capital had to transform itself from one form into another it was compelled to fight it out in a civil war. The whole history of 18th century bourgeois revolutions against the difficult bloody transformations of capital-from manufacture to competition, from competition to monopoly. Eut now the times of bourgeois revolutions are over the only revolutions we witness are those of feudal economies jumping headlong into State capitalism, by-passing the intormedary stages of liberal capital same and they to a point. But now that point has ov

One remarkable result of these inner contradictions was that the French bourgeoisie hasn't stopped waging wars since 1870. The infernal rondo started even before, with the ill-fated Mexican expedition of Napoléon-le-Petit. There is not one single day for the past hundred years without French soldiers shooting it out somewhere in the worlds. Unable to expand "normally" within the national boundaries, French capital became to an eminent degree an exportable item (cf. Lenine). This took on a dual form: [inancial colonization of Bastern Europe (Poland, The Czechoslovakia, the Balkan States, Czarist Russia to a degree), and military colonization of Africa and Asia. Whoreas colonial conquests gave French capital the olbow-room it needed (raw materials, cheap labor, etc.), the military saga as such handily provided a vast and romantic cemcetery for the younger generations: unable to integrate them into a home-centered oconomic circuit, the French bourgeoisie saw to it that they be garbed up into professional soldiers and gloriously exterminated under alien skies. It is no secret that during the seven years of the Indo-Chinese war the very flower of French bourgeois youth has been killed off—batch after batch, as soon as released from the military academies. The poor stand of the French army in 1940 was due not to some diabolical "treason", but to the fact that it was as obsolete as France's other institutions, those institutions structurally geared to serve the dead body of parasitical capital in the very midst of a dynamically expanding amalgam of monopoly and State capital. This is not the place here to launch into a detailed analysis of the reasons which make that Algeria has become, both offectively and symbolically, the stronghold of parasitical cap, and hence the depository of a socio-political bacwardness which is but an expression of its desperate defense against the onslaught of industrial cap. - Yelling for de Gaulle, himself as little conscious of his real rôle as those who bless or curse him, the colons ca

I am not a seer nor a prophet. But to examine the situation in its essential bearings and the light of what was said above, here is how things look to me. There will be no totalitarian trend, no regimentation, no frontal attack against civil liberties, workers' unions, social benefits, etc. Modern capitalist production cannot simply function without the alienation of the working class within hugo "autonomous" unions capped with all the paraphanelia of "democracy". Modern capital, at least in its present state on this side of the iron curtain, is "liberal". Political reaction again all its primitive forms (and its counter-part, for instance all-out violent strikes) belong to the past, to the regnal years of competitive capital, which doesn't mean that its days are definitely over -- since capitalist structural transformations take place through a convulsive process. As for the future inevitable dislocation of present-day capital into State capital and the accompanying social unrest, there will be no doubt attempts at a more "progressive", more "evolved" type of repression -- and here Russia is a fair example -- quite different from brutal ineffective Fascism.

With the Algerian interlude, French capital is stepping into its adult shoes. If it is equal to its own takes, if it is capable to integrate itself into the European economic unit presently in the making, if it succeeds to invest the billions of dollars now dilapidated by unproductive capital into a modernized rational cycle of a mixed peace and war economy, then there will be given in the immediate future, no attempts to crush "democracy". Already, the first thing the de Gaulle government did, has been the suppression of censorship; and if, as is quite possible, the Algerian colons and their likes imagine that they can start an effective rump, there will be blows on their wooden heads - not by the workers but by the police - to teach them to behave. In a way, just as in the XIXth century the workers thought they were fighting for their liberties while in fact they died on the barricades for the bouregoisie's sake, so the Algerian colons still think (but already you can hear hiccoughs beneath their "victorious" communiqués) they have won a battle whereas they have lost a world. Such are the small ironios of history. Now, if French industrial capital is not equal to its own tasks, if it faces half-heartedly the deeply rocted contradictions of the whole social fabrio - for instance if it goes about its problems in the old-fashioned way (I mean the brutal clumsy direct way of over-exploiting the masses, proper to competitive cap., though naturally over-exploitation is accentuated under industrial cap.) which consisted in increasing capitalist accumulation at the price of naked cynical lowering of the workers' standard of living, then, yes, there may be broken windows. But the general trend is in the other direction: rationalisation of the productive process, increase of the real output per hour-labor, high-efficiency exploitation on the factory level, and in counterpart a few crumbs on the workers table which actually do increase their well-being — or to put more correctly, diminish their ill-being in the crude ma

Well, here is a "report" -- far longer than I expected to write. You may have expected a more factual apprisal of the current events, in which case I am afraid you won't be happy with my analytical approach. Moreover, and necessarily so, limited by space and time, I could only skim in the present letter the issues involved. Be that as it may, I shall appreciate your reactions.

In some next future I'll write you my views on the problem of la conscience malhoureuse in Hegers philosophy. François Fojto's address is 41, Bld Victor-Rugo, Neuilly-sur-Seine (Seine). Best to you and John,

fran

June 6, 1958

Dear Jeant

Thank you very much for your lengthy analysis of June 1, just received. It is not reportorial enough for NEWS & LEITERS and will need such cutting, but there is no substitute for on-the-spot writing, even when it tends to historical retrospection and economic summations. Moreover, for giving me an insight into what the Marxist intellectual is thinking in Thinking these critical days, it is invaluable. I trust you will not mind however if I disagree violently with your approach. You yourself do find it necessary when you speak of apathy and "total absence of working class on the political scene" to modify it with phrases like "at least for the time being", "not now, at any rate." And therein lies the difference in methodology between you and me.

Remember 1914 was a great deal worse than "apathy"—
socialist was killing socialist across national boundaries. Bukharin
was no traitor, Kautekyien centrist; no the was to the "left" of even
Lemin whose slogan of transformation of Var into civil war ne accepted.
Yet, when he insisted on taking his point of departure not the
betrayal of the leadership but what he called "the chauvinist conduct
of the masses", Lemin bore down upon him with everything from
"imperialist economist" to "proof of how imperialism summresses
thinking. "The point was not that he was not aware of the fact
that the masses did follow the imperialist war cry but that he refused
to judge by surface chenemena. Ultimately, the proletariat would
find its way to revolution; no other class would; none could bring
it about and start the new chapter in humanity's development. Lemin's
genius indeed consisted in this, that at no time—whether it was the
greatest reactionary period in history like Aussia 1908 or the
collapse of the 2nd International 1914—at no time—whether it was the
greatest reactionary period in history like Aussia 1908 or the
collapse of the 2nd International 1914—at no time—whether it was the
strand nothing else but that, was always his point of departure.
Soviets were built in 1905 and when they started all over again in
1917 on a mightler scale everyone could see. The point was not/w/
1905 or 1917, but who could hold on to them and never let go in
1908 and 1914? If you do not start out with that—with not an
immediate phenomenen but a fact in the Negelian tradition, which
takes in cendition and activity, than where can you possibly go now
when the proletarint, after 10 years of GP-BP-GGT "leadership" lis
stopping to think before plunging into mass actions under that
leadership. Again, you yourself, say that if the 250,000 were
really that inseed ofhalf onlookers and many who followed Pierc
Rendes-France attention they close on you default because "the vorkers
have allemated thair class consciousness into the hands of communist
and

But who also on the acene these past 10 years when the workers flocked by the millions into the CFs of Mestern Europe did have a comprehensive enough view of Merxism to have become a new polarizing force? Who listened to them when thousands did drop away and stopped to analyse the social composition of those CFs and see which strata of the working class did remain in, where the deepest layers had gone, and what they were doing? Isn't the fact that only the teachers strike was successful show how middle-class the

composition of the CP? Where is the movement from theory which

9424

ou 5

based theelf on these deepest layers and unified that movement from practice into a new totality—whether you call that Hagel's Absolute Idea or Marx's "new passions and new forces" for the reconstruction of society! The theoreticians must answer to history the proletariat will certainly do so.

I agree with you that de Gaulle isn't "classical"
Fascism—when does history ever repeat itself exactly?—but I do
believe that here too you underestimate the reaction that will follow. Evidently big capital is ready to break finally with the
small capitalists which have plagued France since 1870, have not
let it take full command a la Germany and thus compel it to sit
on the Maginot line, is making it lose out once again not only to
state capitalism a la Russia but modified private-state ala US or
even West Germany. Evidently also the colone in Algeria who brought
de Gaulle to power do not have a good representative in him—although
I would never agree with you that he was actually their "gravedigger",
—but if you think that he can get France out of its mess without
moving in against civil liberties, the proletariat, the Moslem
revolutionaries—and there will be much more than just "broken
windows", then you are quite mistaken. Of course, when the revolution
itself is not on the streets in Paris, there is no need for the
counter-revolutionary mass force of fascism, but, but,

- l) This is just the first act, not the last. The national revolution will continue in Algeria. I read in the paper that after de Gaulle made his speech about "we're all Frenchmen now" and the crowd had dispersed, some "wild youth, Heslems" ran through in a car shouting "Frenchmen, to the gallows! Nothing short of independence will helt that war, whether de Gaulle is just a transition to Delbecque or is the "real authority."
- 2) Exploitation in the "primitive forms" may not be the "preferable" way of present-day world capital which is learning a few tricks from Russian state capitalism, but when the movement against the worker. standards begins—as it must, (whether de Gsulle would like or would not like to have trade unions functioning—he will have no more choice than did Russia when she found she must statify her trade unions if she would make herself "catch up to advanced c-pitalism)—then you will have the second act in Faris itself. When Hitler invaded France in 1940, the prolotariat was set back, but when Hitler began taking slave laborers to Germany, you had the Resistance Movement.
- 3) There is no "order" much as world capital would like it; indeed if world capitalism had stabilised itself and found order then the revolutionary perspectives would indeed be dim. But the truth the crisis is total and world wide and no matter how ruinous the weapons, and the fact that surplus falue comes from its own proletariat, Us-USSR global struggle will come to a head sconer or later. The point is every victory a la de Gaulle, NATO, defeat of Hungarian Revolution, compounds the overwhelming obstacles in the way of a new society being born, and helps confuse the intellectuals who are not at the point of production. You have an interesting thought that capital will be spensoring "the Popular Front" but French capital does not have a Labor Party as integral to it as does Britain, and these are not built up overnight by "I de Gaulle proclaim."

 Do please keep me in touch with the situation for the popular beautiful proclaim.

. V