## Draft Perspectives, 1982-1983-

# A Philosophy of Revolution Needed: Mass Opposition to Reaganomics and the Drive for Nuclear War

... our epoch is a birth-time and a period of transition. Hegel, Phenomenology

... Alias: Cognition not only reflects the world, but creates it.

Lenin, Abstract of Hegel's Science of Logic

### 1. The Secret Pentagon Document on Nuclear War and the Mass Global Anti-Nuclear Movement

The dramatically new phenomena in the greatest-ever political demonstration in the U.S., when no less than three-quarters of a million anti-nuclear demonstrators marched in New York on June 12, monifested not only the massiveness of the outpouring, not only the varied composition of the marchers; and not only its internationalism and solidarity with the hundreds of thousands of freedom marchers throughout Europe in opposition to Reagan's nuclear stance, but, above all the depth of their opposition to the totality of Reaganism — economics as well as militarization, racism os well as fareign policy, sexism as well as the renewed drive to draft, the youth. Thus:

1) "Make jobs, not war" was the slogan of many in the middle class as well as a factory workers.

2) The opposition to Reagan-racism was evident everywhere, in San-Francisca a group of U.S. and Haitian Blacks marched, together, under their own banner. Moreover, the Black dimension has been integral to anti-war demonstrations ever since the Vietnam War. They have just concluded the long march from Alchama to Washington, D.C.

3) The women not only participated in the protest at the UN but followed that through in other cities and in singling out their solidarity with those women who went an a Hunger Strike for the ERA and chalined themselves before the Illinois legislature to denounce Reagan's mave backward not only on nuclear questions but on all questions concerning women.

women.

4) The youth who have always been the most active and resolute in their opposition to the Establishment — whether in the anti-Vietnam War movement or the Mississippi Freedom Schools of the Free Speech Movement, all coalescing in the turbulent 1960s to the point of near revolution —

were presently displaying the totality of their opposition both on war resisters and draft resisters.

55 Finally, the anti-nuclear marchers made it clear that they were against both the U.S. and the Russian drive, to war, Indeed, the demonstration was held not only before the so-called disarmoment conference at the whole UN, but was continued at the embossies of all the nuclear powers. Russian as well as U.S., British as well as Chinese, French as well as Israeli and South African.

Russian as well as U.S. British as well as Chinese, French as well as Israeli and South African.

Notwithstanding this measive, varied, vocal and militant outpouring of opposition to the drive for nuclear war, the Cold War warrior Reagan — who just a few days earlier had been forced by a quarter of a million anti-nuclear protesters in Germany to hypocritically declare, "I would march at your head if ... ——had actually underwritten the Pentagon's finitastic, zecret 125-page document that did nothing short of projecting a strategy for the next five years. Actually what they are doing is preparing for a prolonged five-year nuclear war.

What is needed, therefore, is to look at this actual preparation for nuclear war, articulated secretly, but leaked to a few chosen representatives of the press. (See front page article by Richard Holloran in The New York Times, May 30, "Pentagon Draws Up First Strategy for Fighting a Long Nuclear War," see also Time, June 14.3.

The secret Pentagon strategy discloses what the U.S. millitary brass is consisting to unleash. Not only do they think a "factical war" is "winnable" (sic!), but totally unchained is their concept of the prolonged war, they are preparing for. Just read the Peniagon gobbledyook for your-ledves: "The U.S. nuclear capabilities must pravail even under the conditions of a prolonged war." Nor does the pro-

lenged war put an end to the utterly instate "counterforce" missile-restling. It speeds to the conclusion. The U.S. mere never, emerge from a nuclear war without nuclear warpons.

Totally dismissed was the prepart of an independent two-year study by a high-level commission on discrimanent and including former \$1.2 secretary of State Cyrus Valse former, British foreign Secretary Devid Owen, Janzenian Foreign Minister Salim Salim, and Soviet Central Committee Members Georgi Arbetos. The study establed the thought that anything less than assured destruction of much of the world would a sali from any inclear confrontian.

The massive demonstrations against nuclear, weconny clearly reveal that all the honeved words of Jans reduction are fooling no one, any more than does the equally, hypocritical Russian declaration not to be the list to use the bomb.

With a nuclear Danacles Sward hanging over civilization's very survival, it, becomes imperative for the opposition to nuclear war to grapple creatively with c viscen, of what a totally new society of truly human relations would be. Toward this end, the 1982-83 Thesis will demonstrate that the hieroglyphic, 3 books, not 1 — for from being a bookish expression that this is the 25th year, since the publication of Marrism and Freedom, the 10th since the publication of Marrism and Freedom, the 10th since the publication of new works. Rose Lusembers, Names Liberation, and Marx's Philosophy of Revolution.

The trull to the 1980s found in the new moments in Marx's thought in the lost decade of his life, was this the reduction of a revolution in permanence, one that would continue after power was achieved and thus keep the revolution of the ory and procine a successful revolution. The trull t

<sup>1.</sup> Humanities: Pross In the U.S. and Howester Press in Britain bringing, out new decition, oil 2, Rays Dunayevskayts: Marshim Freedom and Philosophy and Revolution this month. (See see ad. p. 12.). They will have her newest work (aft, the cross by efall.)

## II. The Objective Situation: Reaganomics, Thatcher's Colonialism in the South Atlantic, and Begin's Genocidal War in Lebanon

The reality of Reaganomics now is: 1) 10½ million unemployed — and that counts only those who still receive compensation, not those who have exhausted theirs and given up any hope of getting work; 2) The youth have it hardest of all (and not only students whose tuition costs have risen to unconscionable heights). For Black youth, the unemployment rate is now 60 percent overall in industrial centers, and 85 percent in Detroit; 3) Women, who were among the first to lose their jobs, have retained what jobs they still hold because they get the lowest pay; 4) There is such deep discontent among rank and-file workers who have been forced by the labor bureaucrocy to occept all kinds of concessions and give-backs, that nobody doubts that the winter of discontent, far from being over, is sure to flare up to revolt dimensions.

Lest Reagan has forgotten what economy he is attempting to roll even further back, there is a fundamental difference between an ordinary cyclical crisis and the 1974-75 Recession that not only is still with us but that he is exacerboting. To grasp the difference between ordinary cyclical

ence between an ordinary cyclical crisis and the 1974-75 Recession that not only is still with us but that he is exacerboting. To grasp the difference between ordinary cyclical crises such as occurred in the so-called prosperity period after, the war — when all of West Europe and Japan were saved from total collapse by the Marshall Plan of economic aid followed by U.S. multi-nationals dominating the world and the U.S.'s nuclear umbreila — and the period since 1974-75, all one needs to remember is that from 1945 to 1974 the average rate of growth in industrial production was four percent (with the lowest at two percent and highest six to eight) percent and with Japan topoing it at tempercent). Beginning with 1974-75 and continuing to taday, it is less them one percent, with the highest never reaching above two or three percent. Where U.S. state-intervention could in the past assure the monopolists super-profits both at home and abroad, that has reached a dead-end.

So critical are both the deep economic Recession in the U.S. and the disintegrative state of NATO — i.e., Reagan's foreign policy — that any crisis, no matter where immediately takes front center of the historic stage. Thus, the Salklands/Malvinas conflict, which first gave Reagon the Britain and Argentine, soon became, instead, the total expose of NATO's "Atlanticism" as it revealed one more display of global imperialism with its outreach spalling out its death agany. It is this which is compelling Reagon to place his counter-revolutionery "urgencies" in Latin America on the back burner.

The U.S. rulers never did depart from the Cold War,

back burner.

The U.S. rulers never did depart from the Cold War, not only against Russia, but reaching into Africa where, from the very start of the African revolutions, U.S. imperialism was engaged — with the consivance of the UN — in plotting the murder of Lumumba. Just as the so-colled "Arlantic" Alliance had long since designated Japan as "the West," and just as the U.S. declared the Persian Gulf to be its "national" interest when the Iranian Revolution burst forth, so now Reagan's retrograde politics are deepening in the U.S. itself against rank-and-file labor, Blacks, youth and women.

The reality of the Vietnam War is that they "lost" Vietnam (whic) was never theirs), both because Vietnam defeated U.S. imperialism on the bottlefield, and because within the U.S. there crose a strong and massive anti-Vietnam War Youth movement, which had been preceded in 1900 by the Black Revolution and was followed by the emergence of the Women's Liberation Movement.

At the same time, the U.S. lost the air of Invincibility with their co-capitalist West European rulers by 1973, the Arab-Israeli War was soan followed by a shocking four-fald increase in the price of all (which is now 12-faldt). That spelled the end of cheep oil and ray materials on which imperialism had gluttonously enriched itself. By 1974-75, it back burner.

The U.S. rulers never did depart from the Cold War.

all added up to the deepast recession ever since the De-pression. This was by no means an ordinary economic cyclical crisic followed by a boom. It was so internal, so structural, so deep in the vitals of the capitalist areduction system that spelled out the truth of capitalism in its death agony: ere would be no more booms.

It spelled out the truth of capitalism in its death egony: there would be no more beams.

The Recassion that is now provalent in the U.S. is by no means limited to this country. It is world wide in Great Britain the economic crisis and the massive proletarion; opposition to it was definitely moving to throw out the Conservative government when way was declared against Arget a Argentina embarked on that adventure when the discontent in that country was so great that a General Strike was actually in progress. Thatcher, no doubt, is convinced that the chauvinistic patriatism she aroused has saved her regime, but a deeper look into the whirdwind that war produced will show that far from solving the economic crisis, it deepened it—and has moved the political crisis from being just a British phenomenan to one that calls into question the structure of NATO and creates the ground for a total shift in the relationship of the entire Latin American continent and the Western powers. This freedom dimension begins where decolonization ended; that is, with the realization that decolonization even after India and even after Suezhad not ended imperialism's insatiable hunger to re-establish it in new forms, and that the need therefore was to continue Latin America's struggle not only against Britain but against U.S. imperialism.

Thatcher's military "victory" in the Falklands over the Malvinas, far from assuring that the seminary and that the continual that the continua

U.S. imperialism

Therefor's military "victory" in the Falklands over the Malvinas, far from assuring that she can transform that outpost into "a fortress" for British imperialism, is sounding the empire's death knell. Although it will be a long time before oil the actual costs are known, that war has already cost Britain no less than 33 billian, not to mentice the thousand dead British and Argentine youth. It will be more than those thousand that will hourt her. It will be more even the whole of Latin America, but in the entire Third World.

The betted the

World.

The hatred that the neo-fascistic regime in Argentina had earned for itself from its own people— and, indeed, the whole world2—has now been transformed into red-hat hatred for British imperialism with its great delusion of empire-building, which at this very moment has extended itself also to Antarctica. This "victory" is a Pyrrhic one. What it discloses is that imperialism keeps revealing its mailed first. It must be totally destroyed and uproorder.

fist. It must be totally destroyed and uprooted.

Indeed, that is what has been initiated by the victors themselves, who, in the process of ochieving victory reached both the beginning of their own end as an empire and the undermining of NATO Itself. It is clear now that what the railing classes initiated in a "simple war" over "sovereignty" of some barren islands, and the passions it aroused in the Latin American masses opposing ever-renewed colonialism, ore worlds apart. And that is no mere geographic dimension but one that is measured in freedom aspirations.

The totality of the economic-political crises is now enveloping not only the U.S. and West European imperialism but Russian state-capitalism as it extends its imperialist rule from East\_Europe to Afghanistan. The counter-revolution

that Russia and its puppet, General Jaruzelski, imposed on the Polish people has not closed that chapter of history, Quite the contrary. The revolt of the Polish masses began to notally new historic stage of rebellion and a rew form of practicing truly human relations of mass control over-production and politics with the creation of Solldarity. That great movement has by no means died with the imposition of military rule last December 13. The mass opposition and its search also for a philosophy of revolution is continuing 3.

The crises have taken their most monstrous shape now in Israel's genocidal war still ongoing in Lebanon. The wanton invasion, of that Jand and the barbaric destruction, which, in six days, caused the death of over 10,000 people and left his indreds of thousands homeless, seems to show there are no limits to the imperialist aims for a "Biblical".

Erez lirael, Begin-Sharon style. Begin the terrorist had from the start dreamed of that type of Erez Israel (Land of Israel) and fought the Jewish leadership anxious to found a homeland, no matter how small, for Jews after the Holocoust: And Sharon has relected even Begin's minor attempts at compromise, Look at what these two are reaping now:

Where Israel's declared aim was to drive the PLO from where, it could and did engage in terrorist attacks on Israel, Begin-Sharon have shown total disregard for the civilian population, Lebanese as well as Palestinian, and Polestinian whether or not they were PLO. The desiructiveness of their military might does not stap them because the destruction of Lebanon is exactly what they are driving for, it is true that, above all, they want to destroy the PLO. It is not true that they do not wont "a single inch" of Lebanon and Polestinian in the language in the provision of their provision of the planese masses had fought and were on the verge of defeating in the 1975-76 Civil Wor.

That Reagan is presently supporting Begin-Sharon's Israel which is now reviewed and the provision of Begin's note within Israel is as

als who demonstrated in front of the Israeli Embassy in Pais, was printed in Le Mende, June 19.1

Israel's present blitzkrieg has a stark resemblance to nothing so much as Hitler's "final solution" for the Jews. Begin, should have learned from that Holocaust that you connot destroy a whole people and their hunger for freedom. What Arafot could not achieve through all these years. Begin has managed to do for him by giving Arafot an expanded acceptance by the majority of Palestrians and by making him a hero.

If, conything proyes that successful revolution is impossible without a philosophy of prevolution it is, precisely Lebengh 5. The 1975-76 Civil War in that land was taking place in the period when, on the one hand, there was the greatest worldwride Recession since the Depression and, on the other hand, new forms of revolution were arising that pased new questions. Didn't, for example, the revolution in Portugal really begin in Africa? The Portuguese Revolution also witnessed a tendency that called for apartiderises (non-portysm). The entire decade was concluded with the 1979 revolution in fron that 'made revolution rother than oil the characteristic also of the Middle East.

It wasn't, however, the 1970s that had first begun the new age. A whole 20-year movement from practice began in the 1950s when it became clear that the banner of Humanism, raised by the foldly new appearance of freedom lighters from under Communist totallitorianism, was so universal a form that it characterized all the freedom lighters from under Communist totallitorianism, was so universal a form that it characterized all the freedom lighters from under Communist totallitorianism, was so universal a form that it characterized all the freedom lighters from under Communist totallitorianism, was so universal a form that it characterized all the freedom lighters from under Communist totallitorianism, was so universal a form that it characterized all the freedom lighters from under Communist totallitorianism, was so universal a form that it

<sup>3.</sup> Sec. our. 1981-92. Perspectives. Thesis which had an movement before martial law was imposed, and our isal in the January-Fernary 1982. NS2 on the situation of the January-Fernary 1982. NS2 on the situation of the January-Fernary 1982. NS2 on the situation of the January 1982. The New Yerk Times ron a letter 28 of America's most respected Jews, including Albord Robbi Cardaza, which protested the wist of Menochen leader, of the Herut Party, which it described, akin, in its organization, methods, political philosophy appeal to the Nazi and Fascist parties."

oppeal to the Nazi and focust parties.

Of all, the Arch lands, Lebanon is the one that freest expression for all political tendencies; and Communist Party even before Lebanon gained an existence in 1943. It was because of the narrow which the Stallinists reintroduced in the 1975-76 Lebanon and which persists to this day that or Pellitral-Philosophic Letter on that Civil War ended, history and theory got into each other's way, and observedulion got reparated, there is no exist from company consequences. It is these counter-evoluting quences that we are suffering right at this moment.

#### III. Methodology and Politicalization: The 30-Year Movement from Practice that is itself a Form of Theory, and the Theoretical Developments that Reach Philosophy

The new age had begun with a new stage of production, the introduction of Automation, the predecessor of the robotics of today. The questions the workers raised in the mines where Automation was first introduced had never before been posed instead of asking about wages, the workers battling Automation wanted to know What kind of labor—mental/manucl—should men and women do? And while this seemed at first to be limited only to the mines and then the auto shops and to appear only in the U.S., the question that was raised in East Germany in 1953 also come out of "norms of work." With that very first revolt from under Communist totalitationism the world's attention shifted to East Europe, where it reached a climax in 1956-57 in Hungary. It was there that, along with the revolution, Marx's Hurmanist Essays were brought onto the historic stage. This movement from practice that was itself a form of theory marked a new world stage in production, in politics, and in philosophy.

Whem the capitalist idealogues had declared the 1950s to be the decade of the "end of idealogy," the mosses in motion displayed a passion for a philosophy of liberation as powerful as the actual battles. Both spread like wildfire throughout the world with the emergence of a whole new Third World in Africa, the Middle East, Latin America, as well as new forms of Black, youth and anti-wer revolts in the technologically advanced warld, including the U.S. itself.

However, instead of Marxists digging into the new movement from practice as the new chollenge to themselves not the matter.

However, instead of Marxists digging into the new movement from practice as the new challenge to them-selves, post-Marx Marxists continued with their old econoserves, post-max Morviss Continued with their old economist analyses, Indeed, even some of the Marxists who had participated in the development of a new theory of state-capitalism to meet the challenge of the 1930s—the theory which showed that the first workers' state had been transformed into a state-capitalist society—failed to meet the tormed into a state-capitalist society—failed to meet the still newer movement from practice that was itself a form of theary. We alone completed a comprehensive philosophic-theoretic major work, rooted in that movement from practice, with the publication of Marxism and Freedem. Moreover, it was preceded by the breakthrough on the Absolute Idea in 1953 six weeks before the actual outbreak of the first East German Revolt, on June 17.

German Revolt, on June 17.

The four-year period between that breakthrough on the Absolute Idea—which saw in it neither a total abstraction nor a God but a movement from practice as well as one from theory, in which their unification was "absolute"—led to a o God but a movement from practice as well as one from theory, in which their unification was "absolute"—led to a re-examination of Marxism. Instead of stopping at the theory of state-capitalism which had met the challenge of the Depression, on the one hand, and the transformation into apposite of the first workers' state into a state-capitalist society, an the other hand, we now did not merely translate Marx's 1844 Manuscripts but situated those Humanist Essays in the post-World War. Il period. Furthermare, we related Marx's 1844 internationalist-Humanist principles to the American roots of Marxism,

The significance of this was that Absolute Idea—as a movement from practice to theory as well as from theory to practice; as a new relationship of the two; as a new beginning—faid the ground for hearing all the new voices from below in the turbulent 1960s. We published them in a multitude of pamphlets, from Freedom Riders Speak for Themselves to Workers Battle Automation and the Free Speach Advenment, and worked out new aspects of the Black dimension both on the American scene in American Civilization on Trial and on the African scene in the pamphlet on the African Revolutions. At the same time, along with the first English translation—

of Marx's Humanist Essays we published the first English translation of Lenin's Abstract of Negel's Science of Logic; both translations were published as appendices to Marxism and Freedom in 1958.

and Freedom in 1938.

Without such philosophic preparation for revolution, activism in itself, even when it reacher such a high point at 1968, cannot achieve a successful revolution. A new stage of cognition was reached with the end of the Illusion that activism by itself was sufficient, and that theory could just be picked up "en route." That new stage of cognition—Absolute Idea as New Beginning—was spelled out in Philosophy and Revolution in 1973.

Revolution in 1973.

1974-75 is the second new stage in capitalist production in the post-WW II, period. Whereas the first basic new stage of production in 1950 when Automation was introduced cleared the ground for ever-greater mass profits for capitalism and capitalism could play deaf to workers demands for a new kind of lobor—the 1974-75 crisis hit capitalism production in the stage of the second content of the second content in the s new kind of labor—the 1974-75 crisis hit capitalist oroduc-tion in its very innerds with such deep structural changes and such drop in the rate of profit, when related to the high costs of high technology, that it became clear this crisis would not be followed by any sort of boom. Shockingly, once coain, most post-flore Marsists, instead of (feeling a compul-sion to reorganize their thinking, continued their pladding, vulgar, economist way.6

With the publication finally, in the 1970s, of a transcription of Mark's Ethnological Notebooks, however, we were enabled to see Mark's work as a totality and to recognize those new moments in Mark's last decade, when he declared that "The Historical Tendency of Capitalist Accumulation", the climax of his greatest theoretical work. Capital—was characteristic only of Western capitalism but not a universal farm. That is, it was not relevant for what we now call the Third World, which could, Mark said, have its revolution ahead of the West.

It is in this last decade of Marx's life, the very period when the first post-Marx Marxists behaved as if it were very rearly nothing but "a slaw death," that we found the trail to the 1930s on the question of "revolution in permanence."

the 1930s on the question of revolution in permanence.

Rosa Luxemburg was the first great revolutionary Marxist, who had raised the question of democracy after the conducts of revolutionary power. A study of Luxemburg as revolutionary, theorist and activist as well as in her heretofore enexplored feminist dimension, together with a view of today's Women's Liberation Movement as revolutionary force and Reason, become integral to the third book, Rosa Luxemburg, Women's Liberation, and Marx's Philosophy of Revolution.

Wamen's Liberation, and Marx's Philosophy of Revalution.

When, in the period between 1878 and 1882, Marx projected the fundamental Man Woman concept that he had raised in his very first discovery of a whole new continent of thought and revolution in 1844, he was also reading the latest in anthropological studies—Henry Morgan's Ancient Society. Marx, at cauris, want's just "recoding," he related the much freer troquois somen and the Irish women who had more power before Britan subjugated freland, to the type of ground needed for tardily new human relations. Methodology and Politicalization, i.e. the concretization of a philosophy of revolution, is at the essence precisely because it illuminates the immediate as well as the ultimate problems.

<sup>6,</sup> it is important to study again the chalves made in the N&L comphier, Mere's Capital and Today's Global Crisis, See especially the critique of Ernest Mandel and the Trotskylsts, "Today's Epigones Who Try to Truncate Mars's Capital."

#### IV. New Moments in Marx and Today's New Challenges

The deepening Recession in 1980 and Carter's imperialist and tragic fiasco in the desert of Iran illuminated one thing only—how rapidly the U.S. is hurtling down a deadend track. The two major conclusions we drew from the Draft Perspectives for our last convention, "Tamorrow is Now; U.S. Imperialism in the Iranian Desert, and, at Home, in Deep Recession and Resurgent Racism," were that, in intensifying all our activities, the need was to expand N&L from an 8 to a 12-page paper and to continue working out a philosophy of revolution for our age. We called 1980 "The Year of the Book" and alined to complete a new work, Rosa Luxemburg, Wamen's Liberation, and Marx's Philosophy of Revolution. In the past two years we achieved both these goals.

What we've learned from both the expansion of N&L

What we've learned from both the expansion of N&L (which permits us to listen to ever new voices, record activities, and work out new developments in theory) and from the new book now going to press is low usgently relevant to our age were Marx's "new snaments."

were Mark's "new moments."

Marx spent all his life developing that new continent of thought and revolution he founded in 1844 as a philosophy of world revolution rooted in the actual class struggles pitted against capitalism whose economic lows of development and crises Marx analyzed most profoundly and originally. At the end of his life he found still either "new maments"—that is, new paths for development:

1) New revolutionary forces, no longer limited to the proletoriat in advanced lands but present also in the underdeveloped countries.

2) New cultures which, even when "primitive," actually

2) New cultures which, even when "primitive," actually disclosed more human relationships, as witness the Iroquois

3) The multi-dimensionality of civilizations, in which the West was by no means seen as "civilized" and the Orient as "backward." There is no Chinase Wall between civilizations. Dialectical development allows for no such unbridgeable

Stegifying

Wels any Lost-Marx Marxists grounded in such a magnificent vision of humanity's "absolute movement of becoming"? What became tragically evident in World War I, with the collapse of the Second International (which, after all, represented the world's esteblished Marxism) was that a Greet Divide was needed, not only a Great Divide against betrayal and reformism, but a Great Divide in thought. There were many great revolutionaries, like Rosa Luxemburg and Leon Trotsky, but one and only one—Lenin—felt any compulsion to reorganize not just politically but philosophically. Such a new dimension in Marx unfolded for Lenin, on his return to Hegelian dialectics that he could conclude (in his Abstract of Hegel's Science of Logic) that none of the Marxista had fully understood Capital, "especially the first chapter."

Lenin's break with his own philosophic post and reestablishment of the dialectics of revolution faid the ground
for 1917. The very obvious transformation of Russia as a
workers' state into its very opposite needs hardly to be proven
now; vet no Marxist, when Lenin died, grasped Lenin's dialectic methodology and met the challenge to analyze the new
stage of the economy and the new harrific transformation of
Russia into a state-capitalist society.

Russia into a state-capitalist society.

Each discovery of new writings of Marx followed a revolution. It was only after Lenin broke with his philosophic post, only after the actual successful 1917 Revolution that attempts were made to discover the full Marx heritage. None knew of the 1844 Humanist Essays before the 1917 Revolution uncerthed them from the closed vaults of the Second International None grasped the 1857-59 Grundrists before the Chinese Revolution made Marx's analysis of the "Astatic made of praduction" concrete for today. It took the birth of a whole new Third World as well as the development of Women's Liberation from an idea to the new force of Women's

Liberation as Movement before Mark's last writings, the Ethnological Notebooks, were transcribed and published.

The new worlds that were emerging were not just geo-graphic, but new spheres of thought, whether we consider those that came from East Europe asking for "Socialism with a Human Face" or the Black Revolution in the U.S. with its stagen of "Freedom Now." Pur differently, the movement from practice that was itself a form of theory demanded that its chalfenge be met

It was with that challenge to the movement from theory, that, for the first time, a few Marxist theoreticians finally began to feel the compulsion to return to the skeep distinction between theory and the total reorgenization that a philosophy domands. No matter how important theory may be—and the theory of state-copicitism was reusel—it is this distinction, between theoretical analyses of the objective situation and philosophic group of the new subjective forces of revolution as Rection, that is crucial as the ground for both current activities and philosophic preparation for revolution, and which led to the shocking discovery that no post-Marx Marxist was deerly grounded in Marx's Marxistm.

What was born, objectively, to point the trail was the movement from practice which required the recognition that since those new moments provide ground for theoreticions, theory itself must develop to a total outlook, a philosophy. The most shocking experience in working out the book was to find what the post-Marx Marxists had done for more correctly, had not done to preserve, much less develop, the unfinished writings of Marx. The best, Engels, had achieved what no others could have done, by editing Volumes II and III of Marx's Copital for publication. But neither the heirs he chose for Marx's archives, nor even he himself (who was no Marx) led anyone to grapple with the totality of Marx. Indeed, he was the first post-Marx Marxist whose talent at popularization for outdistanced his grasp of Marx's Marxism. That popularization proved so popular that II, and not Marx's Marxist, became ground for the Second, international.

Seeing Marx's work as a totality made it possible for the lirst time to criticize not only reformists but also revolutionaries, including Lenin who alone had reorganized philosophically and met the challenge of WWI and the breakdown of established Marxism, thereby creating ground for the November 1917 profetation revolution, but who had not extended that philosophic reorganization to the old vanguardist 1902-3 concept of the Party, though he had aften modified it.

Rose Luxemburg, Women's Liberation, and Marx's Philosophy of Revolution reveals both that first view of Marx as a statility, and the needed break with all post-Marx Marxists who congested Marxism to their dogmatism and failed to work out the new moments as a continuing development. Just as the publication of Marxism and Freedem laid the ground for listening to and projecting all the voices from below; and just as Philosophy and Revolution laid the ground for such panishlets as Francix Fanon, America's First General Strike, and the Latin American Revolutions; so there is no doubt that the new book will increase our outreach not only in general, but specifically on Rose Luxemburg as a revolutionary spontanelst, on the Women's Liberation Movement, and on the totality of Marx, hinself. Thus, our contribution with "3 books, not 1," synthesized with what happened ob-

jectively and subjectively for the past 30 years, manifasts what we mean by the "Second Great Divide."

what we mean by the "Second Great Divide."

That is the philosophic and not only the political need, theoretically as well as organizationally, to prepare for zero-lution. This calls, not for "a vanguard party to lead," but for a philosophy of revolution that is roated in the new three-decade long movement from practice and thus integrates spontaneity and organization.

Our organizational tasks for 1982-83 flow from the "3 books, not 1," with which we greet the centenary of Marx's death and which had caught the link of continuity with Mars's Marxism, and the discontinuity between the two ages—the 1880s and the 1980s. These become ground for the 1982-83 Perspectives both as they were expressed in the analysis of the myriad crises of the day and the organizational conclusions flowing from them.

First and most important is the needed widened activity

conclusions flowing from them.

First and most important is the needed widened activity on the Black dimension. The urgency today is by no means new, however, since the Black masses as vanguard hove characterized the whole American development. Whether we take as long range a view as American Civilization on Trial, or begin with the first theoretical work when we become independent, Marxism and Freedom, where the Montgamery Bus. Boycott was placed on the same level as the three new pages of freedom. East Germany, Hungary and Vorkuta—that is to say, the whole historic movement from practice of the 1950s; whether we take the international Black relations as seen also the definity of the philosophy of Frantz Fanon and Marxist-Humanism; the present need is to intensity our activity with the Black dimension at the Center.

Along with our activities in the Black dimension, stands

Along with our activities in the Black dimension, stands our work in Wamen's Liberation and with the Youth. And we must, of course, expand our activities with the Latino liberation movement.

tion movement;

As imperative as all those activities are, none are more important this year than the work around "3 books, not 1." It demands a national tour by the Chairwaman this Spring, with books in hand, and the participation of all Markist-Humanists in the projection of this work. That is what is manifested in the expression "Have thumb, will travel." That is to say, we cannot be limited to the places where we have locals. The need to project Markist-Humanism in as comprehensive of form as has been done in the latest work requires that we cover the length and breadth of the U.S. as well as Europe.

as Europe.

Signally and most important—Indeed, the proof that the other tasks have been successful—is organizational growth. What gives this need a new foundation is our singling out what Marx had done in his last decade as he developed the concept of permanent revolution as the foundation for or-ganization. Too often and too superficially the fact that Karl Marx had not developed an "organization theory" in a direct

way has been used by post-Mark Markists to centered that he had no theory for organization and that he was not an organization man, which in fact belies everything he did from the first moment; he broke with bourgeois society, founded his new continent of 'thought' and 'revolution and promptly, organized; the Communists' Correspondence Committees. And that in the very city where he was writing those Humanist Escays, Paris.

Lenin certainly had a theory of the vanguard party and while he modified it greatly, especially, after the 1905 Revolution, he hever did reaganize his philosophic post in creating the first Greet Divide in Markism. While his great Stella and Revolution was based mainly on Mark's wark on the Paris Commune; and the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of the Critique of the Gothe Progressme, the one part of what was to be a new organization, a critique of a progress of what was to be a new organization, a unification of Eisenachists and Lassolicans. While he colled it, modesly enough, "Marginal Notes," and they were written alongside the program itself, they turned out to take up 31 pages as against the four pages of the original program. Marcover, these "morginal notes" deliberately left out the strictly narrow organizational conclusions which he dismissed as "a mere echo of the bourgeois People's Party." He had addressed his critique to only a few leaders in the hope that these would be ike after a successful revolution as we come out of capitality soc

THE RESIDENT EDITORIAL BOARD