Middle East women: liberation and social revolution

Much has been said about the numerous obstacles Middle Eastern women face in their journey through three prisons: the father's house, the segregated school, and the husbard's confine. But there are times—revolutionary times—when Middle Eastern women crack-these threefold prisons. They begin to break the walls of illiteracy, total economic dependence, hunger and multiple religious and serist lews such as "honor" killings as well as physical and mental abuses towards "daughter-producing" women.

It is these historic periods—when in the struggle for national liberation the woman also raises the hanner of her liberation—that we want to discuss, taking up at one and the same time three movements as representative: Palestinian, Iranian, and Algerian women. We as well want to see how the struggles of these women relate to the Women's Liberation Movement internationally. This is particularly pivotal because we are within what even the United Nations has been forced to designate as the International Women's Decode.

Women and the Palestinian Movement:

what even the United Nations has been forced to designate is the International Women's Decade.

Women and the Polestinian Movement: living contradiction?

Perhaps nowhere more sharply than with the Palestinian question, do we find the necessity of penetrating the conflicting currents and necessary interrelationships of competing nationalisms, of class, and of woman as revolutionary force.

Last July's Copenhagen conference—the U.N. middecade conference on women — will throw some illumination on these conflicts. There, the delegation of women sent by the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO), far from raising issues of women in the Middle East, especially within the liberation struggle for a Palestinian nation, confined itself, to presenting that old resolution on "Zionism is racism" which was then ratified by the conference.

They allowed themselves to tail end that male-dictated resolution which in no way solves any of the issues facing today's Women's Liberation Movement, instead of allowing the universal demands of that Movement to help pose a way out of the Middle East mine by asking themselves: "Where do we begin now that every one of us is oppretted as a woman — whether we are in a liberation movement or live in a 'liberated country'?" "How do we as feminists pose a new alternative which will encompace the liberation of all, including the Palestinian women."

Indeed this became clear when the same delegation of women vehemently denied that the right of the Palestinian women to control her own body was even an issue. Leila Khalid, a member of the PLO delegation, spoke as follows: "It is not a priority to speak about abortion — every society has its own priorities. We don't think it matters to think about feminist problems."

But there are Palestinian women who are raising questions other than "anti-israel." Raymonda Tawil. a

lems."

But there are Palestinian women who are raising questions other than "anti-Israel." Raymonda Tawil, a Palestinian journalist, participant and organizer of numerous strikes and sit-ins against the Israeli occupation of the West Bank, was very bitter about the Copenhagen, conference, which she said had nothing to say on the oppressions of women. In her book, My Hozne My Prison, 2 after speaking of the oppressions of Arab women, she questions whether there will be the liberation of Palestinians, saying, "What (is) the point of striving for freedom for my people if our struggle did not bring freedom to women, indeed to every individual." She never forgets that, "I am fighting a double battle

against repression: I (am) fighting for the freedom of my people and simultaneously for my emancipation as a woman."

of my people and simultaneously for my emancipation as a woman."

Tawil has no illusions about the alleged equality of men and women in Israeli society and points to the sexual division of labor in that country, asking why the Israeli woman soldier, this symbol of equality, is the one sweeping the offices? Unfortunately, however, she does not also question the class contradictions within the Palestinian society.

We cannot here follow the decades-long conflicts and similarities between Jewish and Palestinian nationalisms in the post WWII world, including Israel's role as an occupier nation since the 1987 war. Instead we want to concentrate on Palestinian women who not only suffer from a national discrimination between Jews and Arabs, but also face an inferior and underprivileged status within their own people.

Today Falestinian women have become in a sense "proletarianized" through the occupation process. They form a labor force in textile and food processing indus-

form a labor force in textile and food processing industries, and in agriculture. Becoming a wage laborer has meant a certain economic independence, and the beginning of the breakdown of the Manueulah—the extended farsily. Ye has also meant that the women of the West Bank and Gazn have both denountrated against the occupation, and have begun to speak of the sexism in the Palestinian Movement.

The high birth rate is considered to be a weapon against "Zionism," and while Palestinian women have been allowed to enter the struggle for national liberation, their speaking out for new man/woman relations and against the traditional roles of women is often attacked as "misguiding the movement from the struggles against 'Zionism'," or even itself labelled "Zionism."

gles against Zionism," or even itself labelled "Zionist."

As one Algerian woman active in the Falestinian resistance movement writes, "For them (the men) the only worthwhile issue is the Palestinian struggle against Israel and they are unwilling to put any effect into the liberation of Palestinian women, Why? ... Without this struggle Palestinian women could end up in the same condition as Algerian women. Today Algerian women are still in their homes, just like my mother, like my grandmother." This is really the crux of the matter, not only for the Palestinian women but, as we shall see, in Iran as well.

Iran: Women in the Crucible of Revolu-tion and Under the Whip of Counter-Revolution-

None can doubt the great revolutionary achievements of the Iranian women, whether we look at the 1806-11 revolution where the women formed their own secret Anjumans (soviets), and founded a weekly news-paper for women called Danesh (knowledge), or whether we look at the 1279 revolution and the overthrow of the Shah, where numerous women's committees and publications for women sprang out in opposition to the suffocation imposed during the Shah's time. But against this we must face soberly the truth that by the second anniversary of this most recent revaintion, women have been confronted and early with the symbolic subjugation of the chalor (forced well), but with their actual subjugation as well. Lowering of the marriage age to 13 for girls, institution of the consided divorce as well as official legalization of polygansy and climination, of integrated sections, are only some of the many laws which have been instituted to attempt to push women back some Life years.

Now, under the anti-imperialist hanner of the host age crisis—which was in reality a power struggle between the clergy and the civilians, rather than against either capitalism or U.S. imperialism— the women watched the imposition of the new reactionary Constitution.

It is a constitution which calls the "primary task of women raising of children," includes a set of laws which to a great extent deny a woman the custody of her children in case of a divorce, and literally regards her as "half a man" in every legal and religious principle.

ier-revolutionary moves of the government, but also, and even above all, the attitude of most of the Left which has increased its distance from the women's movement as the mactionary government has lightened its grip. Some of the Left, including the Fedayeen organization, somewhat supported the March 8, 1970 demonstration when, for five days the women came to the streets, and chanted "We dish't make a revolution to march backwards."

But in Newsphere 1870 4

wards."

But in Nevember, 1979 the majority of the Left ignored the first transmit women's convention. The Kedyven even surpaged a competing vally. And finally, the July, 1974, spantaneous demonstrations of the women against the enforcement of the head scart for government workers, were attacked by next of the Left, which called them "housepools" and "reactionary" so well as "chaotic" since they were not led by a party.

well as "chaptis." since they were not led by a party.

The trath is that far from being reactionary, the
women—from very young high school women to work;
ers, students and mothers—have been involved in
numerous demonstrations, strikes and sit-ins which have
continued to this date.

The Kurdish women, who have formed their own
organization (The League of Revolutionary Women of
Kurdistan), have not only been in the forefront of the
fight against the Iran and Iraq aggressions, but have

also continued to challenge the sexism of the kurdish movement which tries to limit their participation in the struggle. That the Left should have such a sexist attitude tells much of the bankruptcy of thought which passes for Marxism in these organizations, including their "relation to women's liberation."

In a series of articles recently published by one Left Iranian group. Peykar, the leadership advises readers not to organize women either as an autonomous women's group or around concepts such as women's oppreciators and other feminist issues, but rather to concentrate only on the issues of fighting imperialism and aboution of class society. Indeed, the party paper discourages its membership from agitating around illiterate mothers (housewives) as it argues that they are most concerned with their oppressions as women, and do not wish to postpone a discussion of these demands, as the vanguard party wants, until the day after the socialist revolution!

As if Marxism and feminism are sitting on two dif-As if Marking and feminism are sitting on two dif-ferent poles, every party finds its utmost task to set it-self up as a mediator to make sure "too much" fem-inism does not get in the way of "Marking". This is true not only of the Left organizations which reduce wearen's Mocration to point seven or eight on the agenda, but also of many different beliependent women's normalization.

true not only of the Left organizations which reduce women's liberation to point seven or eight on the agends, but also of many different beloperations on women's organizations.

In Iran, under the title "theoretic questions on women's liberation," Lenin's dialogue with Clara Zetkin after the 1917 revolution is printed and reprinted as evidence that questions of sexuality and new man/woman relationships may not be brought up by the women's committees and that instead, the emphasis should be on the class struggle and at best, striving for equality of men and women after the revolution, Alexandra Kollontal, the Russian revolutionary, is praised/because in pile of her devotion to feminism she followed the party line and emphasized the increasity for reproduction, among Russian women in 1921.

Rather than taking the dialogue between Jotkin and Lenin as the point of departure for today, we need to recreate the dialectics of liberation, with women's liberation as an integral part of it for our time, just as Lenin did for his time when he singled out the movements of the national minorities for self-determination. We need to realize that in our decade "genuine Marxism has feminism within it and genuine feminism finds its most critical; that is revolutionary expression within Marxism."9

One way of seeing what happens when you subordinate women's liberation to the struggle as a whole, stands out glaringly in the still unfinished revolution in Algeria.

Algeria: Unweiled and Re-veiled

Algeria: Unveiled and Re-veiled

As against the beautiful descriptions by Frantz
Fanon in A Dylag Coloulation of the revolutionary
transformation which took place in the life of Algerian
women when they became participants in the national
liberation movement and begen to include demands
for their liberation as well, the Front for National Liberation (FLN)—which was neither grounded in a full
revolutionary theory that would include woman as a
revolutionary force, nor had any clear vision as to
what type of a society it wished to create once the
colonizer was overthrown—made "national heroines"
out of women, yet seked them to limit their participation in the struggle "within the context of traditional
Islamic family life."

In contrast there have been Algerian women
who raise the question of extending the revolution
beyond narrow nationalism.

Fadela M'rabet, an Algerian feminist who comes from a family of Ulama (elders of Islam) and is a writer who had a program on Radio Algiers for women, los among the many women who hitterly attack the narrow religious concept of women's development and strive to extend the unfinished revolution of Algeria by emphasizing that just as Algeria was once a colony for the French, so women remain a colony which exists for the good of men.

M'rabet points out that, 'The bourgeoiste in pretending to maintain the oppression of other men." All of which, she adds, 'takes place under the cloak of Islam or its variants, 'sacred tradition, 'glorious past'.

'In her quest for a theory of revolution, she rejects the concept of "evolution" of women's role in the context of the Islamic society, 'which one has never taken the trouble to define, but has on the contrary tried to obscure.

Rather than relegating the struggle for women's

10.4

the trouble to define, out has on the conscire.

Rather than relegating the struggle for women's liberation to the day after the socialist revolution, or speaking of a narrow feminism within the bourgoois context which strives for the advance of women without at the same time pushing to change society as a whole, she speaks of a revolutionary feminism, saying, "Women's liberation is not a problem which can be viewed in isolation. It is part of the whole in which it is implicated and which in turn conditions that whole."

whole."

The ctopping of the revolution and the re-veiling of Algerian women, opened a new chapter in women's struggles for liberation. In 1865 more than 15,000 women demonstrated against the enforcement of the veil. A spirit was kept alive, whether we look at the large mobilization of women who organized sixins in universities to protest the adoption of the retrogressive family codes in 1972, or today, as women continue to

participate in public demonstrations and strikes to protest both class exploitation and serism.

In a leaflet which was distributed at the University of Algiers, and which was addressed to the Iranian women who were challenging the enforcement of the well on March 8, 1979, the Algerian women wrote, We are disturbed by what is being done to Iranian women. These women raised their voices in revolt, but now the new government is trying to silence them. Algerian women also fought; as guerrillas in the streets; in the fire; of the colonial army. After independence and for the past 17 years the patriarchal power has been working to silence them. This is being done in the name, of the so-called priorities of in developing nation. In the name of revolution!!! Algerian women join their voices to the cries of Iranian women, who refuse the facility them.

fascist utilization of an islamism that is, trying to saifocate them.

The Women's Liberation Movement in the Middle
East has reached a most critical point, whether we are
dealing with the women of Iran or Algeria, who have
experienced a revolution and have a land of their, own,
or whother we look at the Palestinian Movement, where,
as we witnessed at the Copenhagen conference, the
whole women's liberation question was completely
evaded.

It is precisely because revolutionary feminism is
not fooled by a change in leadership, or forms of propcrty ownership—whether private or nationalized—but
rather, socks new human relationships, this escence of
Marx's Marxism, where the development of each is the
necessary precondition for the development of all, that
we must fight in the battle for our own freedom, the
day before, the deay of, and the day after the revolution.

Indeed we would not only not achieve our liberation, but would in fact leave the whole revolution unfinished, were we not to insist on the liberation of
women in the very struggle for national liberation. Our
struggle must continue.

- See "The UN Resolution or Zionism" in the Publical-Publicaphic Latters by Raye Descryonkers, Volume I, News and Letters, 1976 My these My Publica, Caymondo Towil, Holt, Rinchart and Win-ston, 1979 For a full discussion of the Amb-broell conflict from the 1976 way to the anymantion of East Jerusolem, see the series of
- 1979
 full discussion of the Anab-Irocell conflict from the 1976
 of the annecation of East Jerusolem, see the series of
 a Wer, Paoce or Revelocieus Shifting Affances in the
 East, by Rayio Dunoverstaya, See class isreat and the
 (1960) by Maxima Radinson.
 (1960) by Maxima Radinson.
 Protetorionization of Polestinian Women" in MERIP No. 50.
 has the India compathelistic reporting on the Middle
 See class the journal Radinson.
- Off Car Sects, December, 1979, "Warmen in the

- 17 Places refer th Second Clerk.