MARKISH AND THE DEGRO PROBLEM

A Discussion Article '

by F. FOREST

The Negro question is a problem that has a political history. Murkism has to deal with it in a Markist manner. This connotes an extension, development or precise revision of the principles proviously established by Harrists on this question. I propose here to state what the approach of Lapin and Trotsky was and to show that that approach we scorrect. It is necessary to do so in order to understand both the past impending developments in the future.

A. THE NEGRO QUESTION AS A NATIONAL QUESTION

"Properly speaking," proclaimed Debs, "there is no Hegro question outside the inbor question." (1) This ultra-left phrasology was a Social-Democratic form of escapism from the socialities of the Hegro

a Social-Democratic form of escapism from the actualities of the Regro problem both with respect to cupitalist society as a whole and within the labor and revolutionary movements in particular.

The Bolsheviks, too, were not without some theoreticians who failed to comprehend the complexities of the national question. Thus stalin tried to show that national oppression stammed only from faulal, not bourgeois relations, and hence that "in America national oppression, generally speaking, finds no place." To this Trotaky retorted; afthe author completely forgets the Negro, Indian, Immigrant and aclantal problems in the United States." (2)

Note that the "Negro, Indian, immigrant and colonial problems" are grouped together as manigestations of national oppression. Trotaky abstract deductions regarding the Negro problem. The Negro, they said, had no national customs, sulture or oven religion cutside of the American culture and religion und hence the Negroes are not a mational albut a racial minority. If even the Negroe is called a racial minority. American culture and religion and hence the Negroes are not a matical al but a racial minority. If even the Negro is called a racial minority, what difference does it make to the principles on which a Markist analysis of the Negro question should be based? "Nations," wrote trotaky, "grow out of the racial material under definite conditions, so we do not obligate the Negros to become a nation; if they are, then that is a question of their consciounness, that is, what they desire and they strive for.... In any case, suppression of the Negroes pushes them toward a political and national unity."

Trotaky's approach to the Negroe question, his insistence that

Trotaky's approach to the negro quontion, his insistence that "an abstract exiterion is not decisive in this question" were werely a continuation of Louin's approuch to this question.

I. Marx and Lenin on the National question

Lenin's Theses on the National and Colonial Question, which was adopted by the Second Congress of the Communist International was essentially a statement of principles. It embraces the Marrist policy on the question of nations and national minorities as well as colonial and "financially dependent and weak nationalities." The These single out two nations as illustrative of the type of national approximation that syckes many approximate of resistance. These nations are Ireland that evokes meet movements of resistance. These nations are Ireland that evokes meet movements of resistance. These nations are Ireland and the Negroes in America. This reference to the Negroes as a "nation generally calls forth superior smirks and and the Nerrista who have studied the National question in general and the Negro question in particular a good deal loss seriously than had lenin.

(1) International Socialist Review, Vol. VI, 1908, p. 1113. (2) History of the Russian Revolution, Vol. III, pp. 52-48.

Those who oppose the principles by which Lenin clearly demay cated the National question from the general question of the prole-tarian revolution and base their opposition on the fact that lenin, and after him Trotaky, thought the Negroes were a nation not only fly in the face of the material which exists but seem to be ignorant of the fact that, apart from the Revolutionary War, no section of American history is as familiar to Europeans as the importation of Negroes, their enslavement, and the Civil War. To think that Lenin and Trotaky believed that the American Hogroes were a nation in the sense that the Irish were a nation is to accuse them of gross and vulgar ignor-once. Does any one assert that Lenin and Trotuky thought the Jews in Moland were a nation? Vet, in his foreword to the Proliminary Thoses on the National Question, Lenin included the Polish Jews as an example of the problems to be dealt with under the National Question. (3)

Lenin used the word, "nution", (4) in its broad somes of oppressed and oppressing groups and applied it both to national minorities

and colonial majorities. In his very numerous polemics on the Mational and colonial majorities. In his very numerous polemics on the mational question, and again in his Thosos, Lenin emphasized that concrets historic situations, not abstract considerations, formed the focal point of theory and action on the National question. The decisive thing was that "All national oppression calls forth resistance of the broad masses of people." (5) It is insufficient to state that revolutionists would support these movements. It is not only a question of support. It is a question of support and the development of national struggles, not for obstruct response but because these simplest insufficient. not for abstract reasons, but because these struggles must inevitebly develop along lines of independent mass activity. Is Marxists, we would naturally, prefer-if we could indulge in such abstractions-what the movements which are grouped under the national question would take a turn towards a shedding of what Trotsky calls the national shell and reverl clearly to the perticipants the social and claus content therein contained. But history, as well us the analysis of the concert basis of that harmists call the national question, has shown that these questions can be resolved and clarified only in the course of the struggio itualf.

Moreover, where the national question is involved, it endangers a differentiation within the proletariat. The proletariat of the oppressed country occupies a subordinate position to the proletariat of oppressed ing country, this aspect is not peculiar to the united States. In this commeation kery said that as long as the Bourgeoiste of one country holds domination over the other, the councipation of the proletarist in the oppressing country is impossible. In his letter to Siegrifed Loyer and Karl Vogt, Marx amplified on this idea thus:

"The ordinary English worker hates the Irish worker as a competitor who lowers his standard of life. In relation to the Irish worker he feels himself a member of tion to the Irish worker he feels himself a member of
the ruling nation and so turns himself into a tool of
the aristocrats and capitalists against Ireland, thus
Shrengthening their domination over himself. He oherishes religious, social and national projudices against
the Irish worker. His attitude is much the same as
that of the 'poor whites' to the 'niggers' in the former slave states of the U.S.A...It (the antagonism) is
the secret of the impotence of the English workingclass
despite their organization." (6)
On the basis of a study of this situation, Ienin consluded
that revolutionists must apply what has become known as a dualism of
propaganda addressed to the proletariat of the appressor nation and
that of the oppressed nation. In his polecule with Pystukov on the
national question, Ienin defended this so-called dualism of propagauda on the that the proletariat in the oppressor nation differs
from the proletariat in the oppressor nation differs
from the proletariat in the oppressor nation differs

(3) Gf. Selected Works, Vol. I, p. 231
(4) And go did all other delagates to the C.I. Congress, including the American representatives, John Ross, and L. Freing. Cf. Second C.I. Congress Stanographic Recost 1921, esp. pp. IXI-132 ff. (Russlan) (5) Collected Works, Vol. XIX, p. 248 (6) Selected Works, Vol. 2, pp. 646-647

(7) Collected Works, Vol. XIX, p. 242

economically, the worker of the oppressor nation more easily becomes part of the labor aristocracy; politically, he participates more fully in the life of the country; and intellectually, he feels superior because he is taught disdain for the laborer of the oppressed nation. The "nations" Lenin referred to in his polenic were the immigrant and native laborers in the United States! Does this or does this not apply to the white and liegro workers in the United States? The smirk on the faces of American Harrists should really change to a furrow of worry for what their attitude really betrays is what lenin called "petty bourgeois national egoiss" for anonymous oppressed. Here, again, Trotaky merely followed in the feetsteps of Lenin when he stated that the arguments of the American Marxists revealed a "certain concession to the point of view of American chauvinisms."

II. National Egoism and Hegro Gulture

The problem of national egoism does not, of course, resolve itself merely into the fact that the proleteriat of the oppressing nation is taught disdoin for the worker of the oppressed nation. National egoism has a firmer basis; an economic foundation. The point of specific political implication in Lenin's Imperialism, is that, owing to the super-profits of imperialism, the bourgooisis is able to bribe a section of the proletarist of the advanced country and thereby lay the basis of political opportunism. The Negro makes do not constitute so great a mass us the colonial masses but, as se shall see them we analyze the economic roots of the Negro question, in the South in particular the whole casts extend is fortified by the differentiation not only in labor that also in patty bourgoois jobs. This wakes the subordination of the Negro not only a matter of big capital. It gives a definite economic activation in the participation in that subordination to other clauses and sub-clauses of the population.

It is the general success of essimilation in the historic development of a country like the United States that lends credence to the type of ultra-left phrasoclogy behind which lurks national essima. In Europe the national minorities fought for independence from the larger society (from the Trarist or Austro-Hungarian Empirem). But in the United States the national minorities that came to this country fought for integration within the larger society. They more or less succeeded. It is this which has caused such disorientation in the ranks of the American Marxista on the Mational Question in America as opposed

to their more ready comprehension of it in Europe and Asia.

The exception to the integration is the Negro. Why? Surely, it isn't the dogro's doing; he only wants his assimilation accepted. We soo that here is a complex pattern that cannot be solved by abstract

critoria on to what constitutes a nation.

Unch has been written of the semences of the Negro and American culture in order to prove that the Regross are not a nation. But what these writers have failed to show is: why, then, does there nevertheless exist a Negro problem. That is the nub of the matter. The samethers of the Regro and imprison culture does not explain this. The explanation rather lies in the isolation and attempted exclusion of the Regro from the American culture. The parsistence of the divergence and its sharpness is what Lonin and Trotsky saw in their approach to the Regro problem. It is the Regro's opecial oppression, the deprivation of his pelitical rights, the discrimination against him on the job, Jim Crowism and recial segregation that makes of him a problem. The attempt to gloss over this fact or subordinate it to the general labor problem.

III. Hogro Hovements

Trotsky considered a manifestation of, or concession to American

chauvini ma.

Some of the American Harxists are obsessed with the idea that if the Meyro problem be classed as part of the National Question that thereby we will lose sight of the class struggle (8) and thus let the Hegro Beurgeoisia dominate the mass movement of Nogroos. There is, of course, a theoretical danger that the Negro beurgeoisis would deminate

the mass movement. That danger exists in every national mevement. But in this special case we must not forget that the Megro bourgeoisie is infinitessimal and to call it a compradore bourgeoisie is merely to propers the way for serious political errors. A genuine compredore been genisie is the bourgeoisie of India or of China. They have a certain influence and power in the process of production. They are the page who actually use finance capital. They have a social base which would temble it to everthrow the dominant imperialist power were it not for the figure of the masses. The Negro bourgeoisis has no roots in the process of production itself. It can offer nothing to the Negro masses except hot air on the race question. It is not a compradore bourgeoisie. It is a section of the imerican petty bourgeoisie. Furthermore, it is the feablest and most contentials and the feablest and most contentials and the feablest and most contentials and the feablest and most contentials. the feeblest and most contemptible section of the American petty bourgeoisie. Not only is it restricted to serving Negroes, but even among those who serve Megroes, it is much the smaller and insignificant section, being, for example, far outnumbered and outdistanced by the Jess who live off the same section of the population.

There is a denger slave the Negro movement would be dominated by the population of the population.

ated by its petty bourgeois leaders . There is always that kind of danger. But what is the way in which, from the beginning of Mazzigm to the present day, Marxists have always mot this problem? By constantly presching about the class struggle? Yes, certainly. Marxists always have and always will preach about the class struggle until the complete everthrow of capitalist society. But the whole point of placing the Negro question in the category of the National Question is the following. In order to prevent the domination of the movement against national oppression by the netty bourgeoisis, The Herristy place themselves at the head of this movement. They do so neither with equivocation nor with the sir of "him unfortunate it is that we have to waste time on this unfortunate weakness of the masses". How the only way to defect petty bourgevisie is boldly to proclaim not only the legitimacy but the revolutionary significance of the struggle against national oppropriate and in our own way to drive the petty bourgeoisic from the leadership. Howhere is this better expressed than in an article written by Lemin in which he explains how Mark used this method in connection with the agrarian question in the United States. Lemin them applies the sums method to the persont question in Russia. He writes:

"...there is no doubt about the revolutionary and domogratio nature of this movement and we must support it with all our night, develop it, nake it politically sensoious and

our hight, develop it, make it politically sonscious and definitely class movement, push it forward, much hand in hand with it to the end-for we are marching for beyond the end of any peasant movement; we are marching to the very end of the living on of society into classes. (9) These words can be applied to the concrete situation of the masses of the Hegroes in the United States. That is what is meant, and that in what Lenin and Trotaky meant when they said the Begro question is part of the National Question.

No say that labor is to fix it all is to say nothing. Labor has to say that is nor is to fix it all is to say nothing. Labor has to fix all problems. The proletarist is the only cohesive revolutionary class in present-day society and no fundamental transformation of the social order an occur except under its leadership. But meanwhile the Hogross are in constant activity and organization (MACP, Urban Lugue, the Garvey movement) on the basis of the fact that they are a nationally appressed minority. They work with labor comotines, and against labor at other times. They cannot be teld to wait for the day of the revolution.

> (8) Lenin knew those accumations of forgetting the class struggle in the national question very well. Writing on the Irish question, he states that become herr in the International proposed a resolution of sympathy with the "Trish nation" and the "Trish people" (the clover L VI would probably have rated poor Marx for forgetting about the cless struggles)..."
>
> --Eslected Voring Vol. VI, p.879
>
> To call the Negro bourgooisis a compradore bourgooisis to make the Negro Cunstion indeed a national question and not merely by

> the Megro Cucation indeed a national question and not merely by the application of the principles of the national question to a minority group. Louin and Trotaky never went that five. (9) selected Works, Vol. XII, p. 203

حالت

The fact that the Negro massrs could embrace so utopran a scheme as "Back to Africa" -- a utopianism all the more suicidal sinds their custems, lenguage, and cultures are American -- reveals both how frustrated the Negro feels at ever achieving full demonratic rights in America and how desperately repressed he feels as a national minority. And, what is more important, they mean to do scmething about this with or without the help of revolutionists. They flook to him who recognises that fundamental fatts. If the movement develops into reactionary channels, as the Garvey movement most certainly did, and if the revolutionists were unable to make a dent in his reaks, it only proves that the only way to influence masses in metion is by inderstanding the underlying, deep economic and social causes, by guiding the movement, not by throwing epithets at it. this capseledly what Lenin meant by transferring the Megro question from the general proletarian struggle to the scope of the Mational question.

It was Trotsky's contention that if we understood the reason for Garvey's mass following, we could have the answer to the Hegro problem in America. To this day revolutionists fail to estimate the significance of the movement. They only look at Garvey he faker and not of the mass movement of revolt. A study of the Garvey heavenent is outside the acops of this article, but it is important to focus attention on how Trotsky scant to apply one of the main lessons he drow from its. Recognizing that the Negro's specific opprosion would evoke a broad mass movement, Trotsky stated that, should such a broad mass negro organization desire to elect its own candidates whom they put up on a capitalist party ticket, the revolutionists could, under certain directs and the been suggested on only one ground. Farotsky considered the Hegro question as part of the Mational question, as indeed he repeatedly stated. The mational and colonial movement is the only instance.

proletament in general to vote only for independent working class candidates could have been suggested on only one ground; Troteky considered the Hegro question as part of the National Question, as indeed he repeatedly stated. The mational and colonial movement is the only instance where revolutionists conditionally support bourgeois party ticketh because here, seedingly chauvinism is really the expression of revolt. Indeed of minority groups, Lenin taught us, are not hopeless:

"The dialectic of history is such that small nations, powerless as an independent factor in the struggle against importalism, play a part as one of the ferments, one of the bacilli which holp the real power against importalism to some to the scene, namely, the socialist proletarist." (10) Troteky's theory of the permanent revolution, which analyzes the relation of combined development and the social forces at hand to solve the problems raised by combined development, gives us the broad Marxist theory which must be applied at home as well as abroad. Jenin's Theses on the Estimal-Colenial Question provides us with the concrete link to the solution. It states that It is necessary "to put as the found of the solution. It states that It is necessary "to put as the coursels, but, firstly, an exact estimation of the historically concrete situation, and, primarily the scenamic situation." (11) We shall proceed to do just that.

李章章本

B. ECONOMIC ROOTS OF THE NEGRO PROBLEM

"It is doubtful," wrote W. E. B. DuBois in 1925, "if there is another group of 12 million people in the midst of a modern cultured land who are so widely inhibited and mentally confined as the American Regro." (12) of the thirteen million Regroes now in America, mine and one-half million still live in the Bouth. It is to the Southern economy, therefore, that we shall have to turn. The horrible situation that exists in the South is possible only in a fascist country or in a democratic country where the economic basis is so powerful that it over-rides all other considerations. The cotton plantation in the days of its power drove the Regro down as a slave, and such power ag it has today it uses to dominate the Regro in the South and project its influence in the Nerth.

(10) Collected Works, Vol. XIX, p. 303 (11) Selected Works, Vol. X, p. 232 (12) Black Reconstruction, p. 703 \$ \$6 19gg 1 \$10 gg

The greatest victim of the dominance of cotton oulture is Regro. It conditions him as a man apart. as a "chemical" that will not discolve in the American melting pot. For so archaic a system as culture in so advanced a country as 20th contury America can keep from collapsing only through despotic social relations and quasi-totalitarian politics. Any freedom of movement that the Negro might got would topple the whole intrio te structure of cotton culture with its semi-feudal relationships which are already much aggravated by the prolotar-ianization of the Negro. Thus the Negro is "conditioned and developed by apacial commic relations" which pursue this everywhere, in the country and in the city, on the plantation and in industry, South and North. That is the besis of the discriminations that exist in the North. The basis is not in the Negro's color or "stigma" of slavery or plots of eapitalists. Great problems of this kind are not a result of any plots of capitalists nor even of historic tradition. For the historic tradition to be so persistent it must feed and neurish itself in economic roots deeply imbedded in the community.

Historians who state that the Neuro problem is rooted in slavery

and stop there fail to see the crux of the question. The "stigma" of slevery could not have persisted so long if the economic romains of slavery had not persisted. The Civil Mar aboltaned the institution of slavery but did not give the land to him who tilled it. Not having got the land, the peasant's fate was inevitable, whether he be white or Regree Even the peasant's fate wes inevitable, whether he be white or Megre. Even in Russia, where there was some fraudulent attempt to give the serf the lend, it was impossible for the Russian sorf to rise above the needs of the backward economy. All the move so in the South where the Megro did not get his "40 sores and a mule". Cotton remaining dominant, semi-feudel relationships were inevitable. The division of labor set up by the octon economy may not be disturbed. The social relations arising on the basis of the cotton economy remain "long changed than the soil itself on which the cotton is grown". (13) Within the economic remains of slavory lie the economic route of the Megro Question.

Infortunately, America is so serren of Merriet economists that here, too, a Russian has produced the most profound study. Lenin, seeking to clarify the socio-economic situation and evolution of Russian agriculture, embarked on a study of New Data on the Laws of Devolopment of Capitalism in Agriculture, which comprised and unalysis of Capitalism of Capitalism in the United States. Previous to the writing of this work in 1912, Lenin, in his thoses on the agrarian problem, domonstrated that "the contradiction between the whole social development and sardom of capitalism contradiction between the whole social development and sardom of capitalism contradiction between the whole social development and sardom overtakens.

that "the contradiction between the whole social development and sarforreterds coonomic development and is a source of oppression, barberity and of innumerable forms of Criental despotism in Russian life." [14] And in his study of the American development of capitalism in agriculture (15) Lenin found a "striking similarity between the concemic position of the American Hegre and that of the forcer sort of the central scricultural provinces in Russia." The Nordaniki, on the other hand, (even as our contemporary analysts) glossed over the feudal survivals. America, they soid, was a country that had never known feudalism. It is this statement which served as the basis of Lenin's counter-thesis; "This statement is directly contrary to the facts, for the economic survivals of slavery are not distinguishable in any respect from those of feudalism."

It is true, of course, that America started its course of inde-

It is true, of course, that America started its course of independence with no feudal vestiges. But it should not be forgotten that with the development of the plantation economy of the South, with the invention of the cotton gin, there was a development and extension of the sleve economy. A civil war was as necessary to overcome that economy in America as a bourgoois revolution was necessary to overcome feudalism in Europe. It seems in that the later the hourseast marcheter. in Europe. It seems, in fact, that the later the bourgeois revolution a fainst foundation or slavery takes place the less complete it is due to the higher class differentiation in developed bourgeois sessety. At a certain stage it becomes impossible for the bourgeoisse to carry out

²⁾ Deep South, p. 266 4) Selected Works, Vol I. Dr. 160-161 5) This work, in a somewhat different translation than quoted above, is included in Selected Works, Vol. XII.

this revolution at all. That is the historic foundation of the permanent revolution. It is the lateness of this development in th

manent revolution. It is the lateress of this development in the juited states which advounts for the tennations economic survivals of slavery which still exist in the country and dominate the life of the Regress.

Lenin points to a glaring example of the survivals of slavery in the superstructure —the appalling state of illiteracy among Negroes in the South —and comments; "One can easily imagine, the aggregate of legal and social relationships corresponding to this diagraceful condition in the field of literacy."

But Lenin does not grop there. He then asks the question which goes straight to the root of the matter: "What, them, is the gonomic foundation upon which this fine superstructure developed and is maintained?"

His answer is: "It is a foundation typically Russian, the "real-Russian" system of shrre-tenency, viz., share-cropping,"

Let us investigate this "feel-Russian system" of share-cropping in America. Without such a basis all talk of "stigma" of slavery, "psychology of Jim-Crowism", or "objitalist plots" is not only superficial but serves to discrient the revolutionary movement and leafs to remetionary policies.

I. Boss and Black Relationship: "the economic foundation (of the) line superstructure"

The economic survivals of slavery manifested themselves in the crop lien system instituted at the end of the Civil War and which still exists to this day. The crop lien system turned the South into an immense shop and still holds the tenant and cropper in a vice. The crepger has neither control of the nature of his crop nor of markesting it.
The cropper owns nothing but his labor power, and must part with half of the crop for "furnishings". Schehow the rest of the crop seems likewise to go to the merchant upon whom he depends for his every purchase of clothing, food, implements and fertilizer. The cropper is charged exorbitent prices bur he must not question the word of the boss who keeps the books and makes the "settlement", at which time the cropper finds himself in debt and thus unable to leave the land. To this day more thanone-third of the croppers are one and one-half years behind in dobt.

The merchant diotates his very diet -- selt pork fat, moal and mollasses. (Infortunetely, with his pellagre-ridden body resulting from this diet, he must likewise turn to his creditor for the cropper never this diet, he must likewise turn to his creditor for the cropper never has any cash for medical treatment. This personal dependence for his pisce of pork, stitch of clothing, medical attention, means social sub-ordination and political dependence. Again we wast turn to Lenin who found the basis fo this subordination to be the backward economy. And so condition and result of the system of economy was the extremely low and routine state of trohnique for the land was tilled by small pencants who were crushed by poverty and degraded by personal dependence and ignorance.

To this day the old South is gore characterized by the mile than the treater. Here it is possible only to indicate the powerful economic busis of Negro oppression in the United States and the degree to which it is woven into the whole capitalistic structure of the country. This bankrupt system of production had for years been feeling the growing chaos of the world market. Under the normal, capitalistic development, the capitalist orisis would have dragged the Megro from his personal subordination and lifted the whole Negro struggle to a far higher plane. The function of the crises is to weed out all inefficient sections of production and leave the social organism shaken, but technologically on a level superior to that which existed before

In the course of a "healthy" capitalist development, the South should have, during the last 25 years, experienced a powerful

"Cf. The Psychology of Jim Growing, M.I. April, 1944.
"Of. Capital, Vol.111, p. 297; "How would this conflict be pottled and the 'healthy' movement of capitalist production resumed under normal conditions?....under all diroumstances the equilibrium is restored by making more or less capital unproductive or destroying it."

movement towards the disappearance of share propping and an equally powerful tendency towards larger and larger units of production as well as intensive mechanization -- all in the ferceious struggle of the well as intensive machinization -- all in the ferosious struggle of the survival of the fittest to capture the shrinking world market. The negroes would have been uprocted from the primitive "boss and black" relationship into the ranks of an agricultural proletariat, and the sconomic basis would have been laid for a more or less rapid readjustment of the social structure and political struggles of an advanced character. But the crists in the world at large and in the country as a whole, combined with the political power of the Southern cligarchy and its matellites reating squarely on the sconomic subordination of the Negroes, emabled it to aqueous sufficient subsidization out of the capitalist government to maintain the rotting system. Today share cropping can remain by subsidy and subsidy alone and, despite all changes, it is on this that rests the social and legal relationships to which lenin referred. Lenin referred.

The A. A. A. is the worst enemy of the emancipation of the Negroes in the South because it not only chocks the development of the country as a whole but maintains the economic system which is the basis of his special political and social englavoment. What the Southern Bloobellows in Congress may irritate the sommitive ears of the Harance man in the White House but when he comes down South, they tell him what

when the New Deal came South, "the paternalism of the planter, the dependency of the tenant so meticulously maintained, the giam objections on the part of the landlord to any change in the traditional relationship" (16) made it difficult and in some cases impossible for the government to deal directly with the cropper. The fear of the planters that the cropper be removed from his influence and learn that he is not parametry desendent upon him cat up well-ness the temperature. planters that the cropper be removed from his influence and learn that he is not personally dependent upon him set up well-nigh insurmentable barriers to the cropper's getting any benefit from the A. A. A. The county agent in charge of the A.A.A. payments, for instance, had to make the credit store the point of distribution of A.A.A. checks. The result was that the merchant retained the check either for "unpaid debts" or for "future furnishings" to his tenant. Or the merchant would suggest that the checks be given to him catright. Under the prevailing relationship in the rural South, such a "suggestion" is tentament to an edict that the Covernment agent has to obey.

The proveiling relationship which makes such a suggestion a lew is known as the "boss and block" relationship; and its economic rest is the cotton culture. That is so pervading a relationship that it still holds though crouping is no longer an exclusively hege occupation. There are in the Old South now by million white tenants to over a million segre tenants, though of the croppers the Regroes still constitute

lion Regro tonnite, though of the oroppers the Regross still constitute

the majority.

"The old been and black attitude", write the authors of the most concise coursaid study of cotton culture. "pervades the whole mystem ... The fixed ountom of exploitation has carried over to the white tenunt." (17)

Shere-crop ing with its "boss and block" relationship is furth proof of the economic remains of slavery. It keeps the Negro chained to the lowest rung of the indust and creates the Negro Problem. If a white men enters the plantation-tenant set-up, though verbally he be the most violent protagonist of the roce issue, he must bow to the inevitable and be unbjected to the "bone and black" relationship. Here: inevitable and be unbjected to the "boss and black" relationship. Hevertheleas the solution of the situation of the white cropper boss not
involve the application of Lenin's Thoses on the National Question any
more than did the situation of the sould of the control agricultural
provinces of Russia except in those places where the conditions of rese
became an outward mentionation of the basic agrarian problem, as
religion in Ireland and social culture in India were essentially manifestations of the fundamental agrarian problem.
Although the agrarian question was and still is the basis of
the Begre Question, the proletarianisation and urbanization of the
Negroes have produced other factors, which we shall now consider.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Juhnson, Mabres and Alexander: The Collapse of Cotton

Tenuncy, p. 58. (17) Tola, p. 10

II. The Prolessrianisation and Urbanization of the Bogre

The miners' strike has been by far the most significant strike since the outbreak of the war. The single fact that in many of the south ern mines the majority of the workers are mayrocs brings into sharper relief the importance of the prolatrication and unionization of the 1 Megro more than a ton of theses on the subject could. Meverthelese, in considering the prolatrication of the Megross, we must remember its historic beginnings in the South where to this day the majority remain in agricultural pursuits. That sould have been so only because the industrialization that some South was built not on the ruins of slavery but along side its common remains. This conditions the Megro not only in agriculture but also in industry both in the South and in the Morth. We will consider, first, the South, then the Morth. We will consider, first, the South, then the North.

1. The South

Industrialization in the South, instead of disintegrating the peasantry, i.e., transforming it in its majority into proletarians, and thus creating the traditional home market for bourgeois production, developed so haltingly that the black peasent--and that means the major labor force involved in the major crop, cotton--remained largely un-touched. The bourgeoisie decided upon this sacrifice of the home market for the sake of maintenance of the social structure there. (18) by the

touched. The bourgeoisis decided upon this sacrifics of the home market for the sake of maintenance of the social structure there. (18) by the time textiles one South, the bourgeoisis was bery much aware of the real relationships in dotton culture and the explosive force contained in any change of the black peasantry to industry, which would have meant a rush of the floatest to get away from the plantation. Hence they left intent the black labor supply of the plantations, not in order to have a labor reserve, but in order not to intrude upon the semi-feudal symmatism the black labor supply of the maintenance of "white supremacy" in the South erose from the satual present of southern industry develop under the conditions that it leave untouched the black labor supply of the plantations. Just as cotton labor was at first exclusively a regro escipation, so textile labor was exclusively a poor white occupation. As late as 1937 only 16,000 of the 350,000 workers in the textile industry wers legrous and precisely till of that were employed not in the direct process of production but around the mill. Hevertheless, although the first stops in industrialization—light industry—by passed the Negro, heavy industry did not. The Negro being at the very bottom of the social structure, capitalist society pushes him into the worst paid industries. But for that very reson, as the capitalist economy develops, those industries become more and more important. Thus, from the very fact of what he is in outitalist society; from the very fact of this matinnal oppression, the Negro becomes one of the forces for the overthraw of what he is in outitalist society; from the very fact of his matinnal oppression, the Negro becomes one of the forces for the overthraw of unitarity. By 1907, 29-18 of Suuthern offers were fact ally placed in industry. By 1907, 29-18 of Suuthern industrialization. He was a militant member of whatever unions took root there. At the height of its power, the most important of the L.W.W. unions among Regroes were prec

in prejudice-ridden South, in the lumber industries in Louisians and Texas and smong the longsharemen and dockworkers in Baltimore, Norfelk and Philadelphia, The Brotherhook of Timber Norkers in the lumber camps of Louisians, Texas and Arkansas had 25,000 members in 1910, 50% of whom were Engross. In recent years unionization (U.I.O., U.M.W.) has apread through the South and the Negro has become an ostablished part of the trade union movement. Heny of these unions have overcome racial Barriers to the extent that they have mixed unions.

(18) For a lament about the great loss the sacrifice of the Southern home market means to this day, of Report on Economic Conditions of the South, prepared for the President, by the Battonel Emergency Council.

Between the two extremes—textiles which employ to negroes in the direct process of production, and nines and steel mills in which Regroes are more or less equal in number to whitesathere are the so-called strictly "Megro jobs"—raw mills, fertilizer plants, etc. These employ mainly Negroes. They remain uner; misel. They is a located rurally so that the Hegro is an much isolated as a factory worker as if he were a peasant still. It remains a fast that the greater part of "all-Hagro jobs" in the South are still in segregated and some-segregated lobs and industries. On the other hand, the core end some-segregated jobs and industries. On the other hand, the con-tiguity to furms builds up a community of interests so that practi-cully right on the farm we have the fuctory workers who know of no personnal dependence in production in presential relations and who bring to their peasant brethren that all-important knowledge in breaking up semi-feudel relations.

The proleterian segro is not the cowed plantation hand. He is literate and has been disciplined by the factory. He knows the might of a cohesive group, organized by the very process of production. He is sad feels himself a potent factor. He is no small minority to his the heathers in factors we letterable for the most contemporates.

of a cohesive group, organized by the very process of production. He is and feels himself a potent factor. He is no small minosity to his white brethren in industry. The relationship in the most concentrated industrial districts of the South is.55% white to 45% Hegge, and in some he forms the majority. For example, of the 25,000 U.H.W. members in the Alabama mine fields, the Negrosa number 14,000 of 60%.

Nevertheless, the "bogs and black" relationship—that is, the racial relation having its roots in the plantation conceny—that is, the sums him in the city as well as in the country. Wage differentials exist in the gentory as in the field. Segregation, Jim Growism, social discriminations persist. The contradiction between the potency in the process of production and his seeming impotence outside cannot but That a manner of expression. The explosive power in the structs of the South and Majorian process of production and his seeming impotence outside cannot but That a manner of expression. The explosive power in the structs of the South and Majorian process in repercussions for the contiguous rural Black Belt. It will strike directly at the heart of the Southern esonomy and Southern politics and upsat as well Northern capitalist inperests which have so readily accorded the South's segregation pattern in order to sein surplus value from it. But samp the millions suffering on the plantations and among the hundreds of thousands who have won stemselves a place in industry, the roblem before them is and must continue for a long time to be the emancipation from the national oppression which them to draw the most reactionary conclusions. To the problem as posed by the bourgooise the proletarian vanguard must bewere of merely giving a direct negative or simple negative. Out side the unions and inside, it must pone the emancipation of the Regroos from national oppression not only as a legitimate demand of the Negroos themselves, but as an it must pose the emunoipation of the Regroos from national oppression not only as a legitimate demand of the Negroos themselves, but as an important contributory factor to the whole struggle against bourgeois society.

So basic a contradiction in so important a section of the com-So basic a contradiction in so important a section of the cosmony (as is cotton culture) and one which has such powerful social and political repercussions could not possibly be confined only to the South but is reproduced, and in certain phases for more sharply in the South but is reproduced, and in certain phases for more sharply in the Both, --points out that the furthern southern legro is more race conscious than the megro, and that the Negro in the North is more race conscious than the Regro in the South. The full importance of this development we shall grasp when we trace the proletarianisation of the Nogro in the North.

2. The North
The basic movement of capital in 1917-1919 and the movement of the industrial reserve army of labor bring the Megroes to the Morta and from the fact that they are Hegroes sends them into mass industries. With World War I the Hegro becames an established part of the American labor force, constituting in 1910, 22.7% of labor in building trades, 16.2% in unskilled in etcel, 25% of unskilled in meat packing, 21.7% of langshoremen, 50.6% in saw wills. However, so long to busic industries remained unorganized and they could not but remain unorganized until the unions lot down the color bars along with mein unorganized until the unions let down the color bars along with the craft lines--the Hegro could not become an integral part of the trade union movement. But with the coming of the C.I.O. we witness the unionization of the Negro on an unpresodented scale.

Movertheless, in the North too, the proletarianization and trace unionisation of the Megro did ups raise him to the etatus of

the white proletarian and did not disnolve his struggle for elementary democratic rights into the general class struggle . First, in the trade unions he must fight as a Hegge for his place us a weaker. Wage differentials, seniority, upgrading have by no means been abolished. Then, outside of the trade union, he is ghettingd.

Both South and Horth the Hegge has become urbanized us well as proletarianized. While in 1890 the surel legro population constituted 80.2% of the total Hegro population, the percentage of rural Hegroes in 1930 drapped to 56.4%. In that year in the South the Hegro was urbanized to the extent of 45.9%. In 1916-1924 one and one-half million degrees left Southern farms.

In Northern cities Negro populations seemed to grow up over-

In Northern cities Negro populations seemed to grow up overnight. Between 1910 and 1920 the Negroes in New York grew from 91,709
to 152,647, an increase of 65.3%. In Chicago the Negro experienced a
146.2% increase and in Detroit a 611.3% increase, from a more 5, 741
in 1910 to 40, 838 in 1920. The two great Negro migrations from the
South--1916-1919 and 1921-1924--heve no parellel in this war, but
there has been a significant negration to the Northwest. By 1940, 25.8%
of this Negro population lives in the North and Northwest. 96.12 of
those are urbanized. those are urbanized.
This urbanization is of the utmost importance. The Megro

Question becomes, in Marxian terminology, more of the Hational Comez-tion than ever and there is not the slightest doubt that Trotoky's insistence on this question was a result of the knowledge of the inevitable dual development of the social consciousness of the country as a whole and the race consciousness of the Negro. For whoreas 20,000 Megroes in Harlem are work and isolated, hundreds of thousand in Harlem today—16.9% or all Northern Negroes live in New York City oreats a tremendous basis for the development of the Magro and his consolousness.

proletariat of the creation of comparatively free proletariat and admiproletariat of the large urban centers in the North which created the
possibility for the development of the powerful Negro press. In this
respect, Gunnar Myrial has correctly pointed out: The foreign language
press is document to desappear as immigrants become fully assimilated and are not replemished by new immigration. The Neuro press, on the contrary, is bound to become ever stronger as the Hogross are increas-ingly educated and sulturally assimilated but not given entrance to the white world." (19)

A beautiful example of this dual movement and its economic base was given by the Pittsbourgh Courier in 1927. A bourgeois newspaper most intensely race conscious and increasingly so, it nevertheless for this very reason led the swing of the more progressive Negroes in the communit towards entry into and acceptance of the C. I.O.

It is precisely in the Northern urban centers that the political results inherent in the situation in the South receive their movement and itself argument and itself argument of the Negroes from

sharpest political expression. Capitalism, in dragging the Negrous from the South, cannot prevent the explosion and revolt of the national oppression which the semi-fautal economic relations in the South not only generate but are able to keep in subjugation. The ghetto-like existence, the social humiliation not only oping historically from the outton plantation. The cotton plantation exports to the North its workers imbued with the ideology of the South along with the KERN, the Knights of the Camelia, etc. to stimulate, encourage and organize the anti-Negro prejudices of the people of the North, fortified sucas the workingclass by competition in industry.

We can gum up our study as follows: (1) Meither Leain nos Trotaky believed the Megro was a mation, and yet they unhesitatingly placed the Negro Question as part of the mational question. (2) Amor-ioun Marxists have failed to understand that neither the Megro struggle for againilation into the national culture not the European national struggle for independence from the national culture of the oppressing nation invalidate the application of the principles of the Marxist approuch to the Mational Quantion. (2) Marxists must neet the danger of patty bourgeois misleadership of the Megro movement against national oppression by recognizing not only its validity but its revolutionary character, leading the movement. (4) The roots of the Megro question

(19) An American Dilemma, Vol. II p. 918

lie not in the "plots" of dipitulists but in the economic remains of slevery, that is to say, shard-oropping. (5) The maintenance of this system by resolvenery capitalism not only governs the social and pellitical structure of the South, but apreads its influence through-out the whole country. (6) The urbanization and proletarianization of the Negroes have not besically affected the economic roots of the Negroes have not besically affected the economic roots of the Negroes have not besically affected the economic roots of the Negroes have not been expected the basis for joining in mention. They give the Negroes not only a better basis for joining in general political struggle. They also sharpen his sense of oppression as a antional minority and give him the opportunity to organize and struggle as such a minority with, however, the purpose of integrating himself into the society which still excludes him. This is the qual movement which is basic to an understanding of the Negro question in the United States. (Y) To free himself from his oppression, the Negroe will be compelled to struggle against capitalist society which cannot release him. His very oppression makes him potentially one of the bitterest enemies of the existing society, as is evident by his attitude toward the war. However, the uncupleyment inherent in the accial crisis and the past history of the country make the prolotariat most vulnerable on the very question of the assimilation of the fascist attempts to disrupt the proletariat. The proletariat must respond by mee-officials not only the validity but the inevitability of mass Kegro the Negroe ognizing not only the validity but the inevitability of mass Regro movements against Negro oppression and strive to load this novement and harness its revolutionary potentialities for the struggle against capitalist society. This can only be done along the lines laid down in the Marxist thasis on the Esticarl Question.

We have suphanized the powerful national aspects of the Begro (mestion and its roots and remifications in the scenario and social relations of the country as a whole. That is what makes it a mational question. As the social crisis developes and the prolotariat becomes question. As the social orisis developes and the prolotariat becomes more but more conscious of its role as the regenerating force in American capitalist society, it will not only of necessity be compolice to shoulder the solution of the logre question. It cannot at the same time evoid unleading the aspirations of the logress to free themselves from the upsaid oppression to which they are subject.

That the proletarist will ultimately solve this problem we have no doubt. The oppression which the bourgeoicie has so marginsply placed upon the lagre has not only resulted in placing them in strategic industries but will give their developing class consciousness a hostile

industries but will give their developing class consciousess a lestility to the existing society and a determination to destroy it which must be the counterpart to the history of the Negro people in this country. But for this very reason declining capitalist society sided by the historic traditions of the country will see in the Negro Question the feed point of attack in order to disrupt the protetriet. Under these conditions, on the one hand you have the question of semicrity, closed shop, etc., and on the other hand you will again pose the Begro problem as a notionally oppressed minority inside the union. With unamplement as the basic question facing capitalist society in the post were period the opportunity for creating dimension in the ranks of the protetrict will be substantially increased. Miready the ricting that took place over the country gives usualstakeble evidence that the bourgooist is sware of its opportunities it is equally cleer that the protetriat is also sware of the dengers. A fundamental point of the Merxiet education of the protetrian vanguard must be as clear a grasp of possible of what is meant by the Lominist definition of the Regro question as part of the Hational Question, and the capacity to master the principle of approach and to apply it in the increasing complications which the hegre question will present. The Toxas primaries is the start, a small beginning. industries but will give their developing class consciousness a hostil e small beginning.

---F. FOREST June 18, 1944