may 4. 2d extanding Dear Roy . The article on that Draper's foluminous nouseun is sais (as always vous) that the first * Southerny in the 18 4 Brunnaire orbother Hagein "Remark (" some where) is by the originally by Hour. In fact Eight wrote him / with that the source in Hafal, probably because he relies on Eugelo remark). Cook at Eugen Charto M. - 3. XII. 1851 About Eugels is much to say, I were likes him too much, so vist all of Harnens alongliters - he was an aweful person, in- tolerand in fact the mental the publishing of the speech against Citizen Weston buile this is the best work by Mary (written by Harr in E English) or publisher after Eugen By Eleanur at Aveling (the was usone of a "Housele" thou Parky history hasit) Emply Ferrenbers is untouterey and as present on Hour's Really and his remark that Hairies Heine was the "very one" who president out thegat is correct at general. The last sentence in tener base about the the heir of German philosophy in organization fact by the same House there is the western the same factors of weiters and the factors The only thing what makes me respect Engels is when in his terribee

funeral speed where he (how another) Compane Mary with Danwin whom he Baiol: " But above all Harx was an Elitterfor revolutionery!" The frealest six of Eigels was to promote Kanthay (Hora now him only once and Kuser this Haltensian "Handy Kanhlein at leven for for it. But lein fall for Carsacas as for the German (wifeired by Moliraings word ble thistory of the German STI). Still Heling was a frank (impite of lucary) and as the one-eyes array the blind; the the Mary Letters to Maling and not to Kantiky. Not only Turn but the ofliestwo doughters dis not like Engels, " the General", & Evan Egetury was the first who presented to the You of law chea Demute on the 1. Common of the I. Jehren. to Klana Jettern, I am not more about the whole story of Harr as father, faricates by the old Eyels & Kanthay's first wife. That launder server the "weak" Marx I have us doubt, she was in comment of the borne, but the slept with others put especially liker some Hungarian enciprants. Thin all, Munger I shill is level colinare that humanistic Philosophy Harle with 15172 Hopel - Hopel brinself sail that it Harles

May 4, 1979 (rec'd June 7, 1979)

Dear Ray:

In the article on Hal Draper's voluntous nonsense/is said (as always) that the first sentence in the 18th Brunaire extending Negel's remark ("somewhere") is originally by Marx. In fact Engels wrote him just that", and Marx used it (not quoting the source in Hogel, probably because he relied on Engels' remark). Look at Engels' letter to Marx -- 3. XII. 1851.

There is much to say about Rhgels; I never liked him too much, and neither did any of Marx's daughters — he was an auful person, intolerment in fact. He prevented the publishing of the speech against ditizen Weston while this is the best work by Marx (written by Marx in Figlish) and published after Engals' death by Eleanor and Aveling (Aveling was more of a "mensch" than Party history: has it).

The greatest sin of Engels was to promote Kauteky (Marx saw him only once and knew this Kalthusian "little fool") and Lenin fell for it. But Lenin fell for Laccalle as generally for the Germans (inspired by Mehring's hourible History of the German SD). Still Mehring was a giant (in ______ spite of the Negelian Lakson) as the one-eyed among the blind; and Leura and Lafargus knew why they gave the Merx Letters to Mehring and not to Koutsky. Wet only Tussy but the other two daughters did not like Engels, "the General". Even though Tussy was the first who presented the son of Hellen Desuth ___ at the first Congress of the Second International to Clare Zetkin as her mother, I am not sure about the whole story of Marx as "father", fabricated by the old Engels and Matusky's first wife. That Helen seduced the "weak" Marx I have no doubt, she was in "command" of the house, but she slept with others and especially liked some Mungarian emigrant.

I still do <u>not</u> believe that humanistic philosophy started with Hegel - Hegel himself said that it started with Spinosa - without the Spinosidts, Lossing and <u>Herder</u>, no Hegel: Though you are in company with Lonin, Marx said it clearly why <u>not Hogel</u> - <u>Now</u>:

All my very best,

P.B.

* Engels said: "once as great tragedy, and the second time as lousy farce" (p.381 of Vol. 37 of Marx-Engels-Werke) and gives all the names:

15173

Don't Petar.

I was most happy to get your letter which you gited May 4, which was resolved here June 7 when I was in Grada, and which I read on June 11. Unless you're as had at dating latters as I am, I will have to believe the capitalistic post office mark of June 4. Do you suppose that the letter you presided me a year or so ago, a copy of your letter to manna Arandt as to her article on Hosa Luxemburg, will finally get to me some day? I really as very andows, and though it will take me another year before I am Tinished with the Luxemburg book, I greatly appreciate your "inside" knowledge of the Movement.

At least the statement on Hegel, whether taken from Angels' lotter or not, was cited by Name as his own. I always did wonder, hower, why Mane said, "somewhere," Do you happen to know where it was? In this case, "origin" is unimportant. What is important is what you say against Engels and I'm happy to find that we agreem on something totally, nines I too think that the one thing I can absolutely agree with Engels on is that Mane as "above all, a revolutionary,"

You have emlightened no on one other matter. I thought that Friex had not wanted his appear on Wester published, and I never understood why because it is a very, very great work. All the editions by the Stallnists mention that Mark and Engels hadn't published it and nines it was only found after Engels' death, the impression given is not any opposition to its bymilication.

I never said that humanistic philosophy began with Hagel, what I have been streesing since 1953 was that Mark's original expression for his philosophy as " a new Humanian" was by no means just something the young Mark said, but something that permeated all his work and was made contemporary by the East European revolts in the 1950s. In fact, it seems to me I remember reading to you parts of Markian and Freedom when it was still in manuscript form, and taking your advice on many aspects of my interpretation, including those Humanist Resays which appear as Appendix?

One thing I'm most anxious to learn, especially now that you reveal that Mark's daughters likewise did not like Engels, is that the impression I get is thatthose letters critical of Engels which were written to his daughters had been destroyed by them. What is the truth on that? Did Mehring do it? I don't happen to be a great ratrict for Mehring either, especially because he was a Lassallean himself as indeed — and in this I do agree with you — all, including Lenin, were Lassallean on organization.

Do let me hear from you.

Yours, \

* End you get the Jan-Feb issue of NaL, which carried the chapter that contrasted Mark and Engels on "the woman question"? I would appreciate your views on that.