

Without is neview of Jamin bet on 138 ATT pp 329-333 SOVIET MARXISM & NATURAL SCIENCE by David Joravsky, Columbia U. Press, 1961 Part I -- The Prev-Rev, Heritage I.Orthodox Marxism &Natural Science p.6"the groundlessness of the notion that Marx did not share Engels! phil. of natural science...." re Mx's notes against vulgar materialism of (Vogt, 1873) . Engels in Dial Nature pp.152-3" mot unpraiseworthy if narrow occupation of teaching atheism ot to the Ger. Philistine" p.10: since in main Dialectics of Nature enemy was not Duhring's"ultimate truths" but "narrow-minded ampiricism" "machanistic materialism" "pulgar evolutionism", etc. Hegel continued to be the hero, so D. of p, 155 "H in whose, the synthesis of the sciences of nature and rational grouping are a greater achievement than all the materialistic studities put together."

p.16:re Karl Kautsky "Marx proclaimed no phil., but the end of all phil"

(RD:use this to show SD has long known how to misuse that statement but remember VIL had high regard for KK &Mehring as philosopher, of M&EC;pp.206-7.255,369) p.18; re Plekhanovites like Aksel rod the Orthodox acausing L for not expounding materialism but only leader realism to materialism but only 'nairs realism' my thing the objectsour perceptions of them, and thus being akin to Machism Both Lenin 1912 Plackhanov were chary of involvement in epistemological problem raise by their commitment to mat. Desait. Bp/20 re-Mekhanov's interest in phil. taking him-back-to-materialists of 1 "WHILE I'S STUDIES CONCENTRATED IN THE END ON INCHE!"

L's Notebooks"ere tantalizingly suggestive of a new turn in his chought." re M&EC(1)257 on Engels"method vs.letter" Q the correct Engels With tach epoch-making discovery even in the sphere of natural science (not to speak of the his of mpkind). MATERIALISM INEVITABLY MUST CHANGE ITS FORM ..." V014 saying discorrect of atom's divisibility does not invalidate materialism since the solo' property of ratter is bound up with being an obj.roality existing outside our mind/"(M &E Cp, 287 vg) LENIN & THE PARTYNESS OF PHIL.

pp. 24-25 are good for pp. 21-25 are good for showing that even when Lenin used the word.

cf. VIL, Coll Wks. 34, p. 2) for statement by VIL" am very conscious of my

an inil. ignorance) and do not intend to write on these themes until I study

up. "(1899) InSiberia he studied up. "HE WAS INITIALLY TAKEN IN BY A phil.lgnorance) and do not intend to write on these themes until I study up."(1899) InSiberia he studied up. "HE WAS INITIALLY TAKEN IN BY A NEW TREND IN PHIL.REVISIONISM THAT DID NOT OPENIX CHITICIZE M&E"—Bogdanov. Work.XIII.p/412 "Plekhanov explained to me the erroneousness of Eogdanov views." 1963 made no difference since Play IL were at let on same side but in1904 Plekhanov moved to Menshavism while Bordanov wanted to join Bolshavika.

VII. thereupon declared phil.to be "neutral" or party to be philosophically neutral Since 3 of the eds of Bol.newspaper—Bogdanov Hazarov Elmacharsky were revisionists in phil. Men went at it hammer and toles. ists in phil. Men went at it hanmer and to gs. pp. 31-33 1908 -with rev. defeated afever of abstract discussion on Coll/Wks.XIII.p.415 has 1st atteck against the Machists esp. In Macharsky for "religious atheismulication of hugan powers-I would rather be quartered than agr to participate in an organ or a group pression such things/"L then plunged into studof phil.from which he emerged fall of 1908, with MATRE C pp. 33-34 criticizes Bertam Wolfe for aiding the myth of partyness as explained in 1930 to bt that of Lenin. "The sources show that he had a toll the criticized by (Not 2500) but the course show that he had a toll the criticized by (Not 2500) but the course show that he had a toll the criticized by (Not 2500) but the course show that he had a toll the criticized by (Not 2500) but the criticized by (Not 2500) but the course show that he had a toll the criticized by (Not 2500) but the course show that he had a toll the criticized by (Not 2500) but the course show that he had a toll the criticized by (Not 2500) but the course show that he had a toll the criticized by the course show that he had a toll the criticized by the course show that he had a toll the criticized by the course show that he had a toll the criticized by the critici 14536 air in write bk (Mat&EX)but it was NOT to join the philapol.issues

Q VIL.Vol34,p.339 toGorky SThe Mensheviks will be reduced to politics &that is death of miner." pp.36-37 DJ is again excellent in showing that even in M&EC there was no partyness" in phil, but only parties of icesism vs.mat.&vv is defended both Flekhanov & Akel'rod against Bolshevika Bogdanov &Bazarov—his was a supra factional understanding of the partyness in phil. p.40-gd on phil. "tendencies" even after Bogdanov left &Zinoviev's fos. that Bol.are not after all neutral Part II-The Sov. Setting ,1917-29 PP. M. V.G. AT EXPOSING BOTH who was a Deborinite himself Mitin/&Stalinists on one hand, and Westerners like Wetter on the other relying on emigres. The working 75. The Cultural Rev: Exxist Philosophers.p. 7611 p.30:

cf.VIII COLL.WKS.Vol.30 pp.206 -08 on letter to PZM

The group of eds. Zeontributors of the magazine Under the Banner of Marrism should, in my opinin, be a kind of "Society of Materialist Freihods of the Megelian Dialectics." (FRIENDS OF THE HEGELIAN DIALECTICS such a society was actually formed; PZMF1201928 says it fused with Society of Militant Marrialists to become Society of Militant Materialist Dialecticians p.84 Riaganov not consured till (1931) for views that he was not a Leninist. p.86—Bogdanov's rok.—Prolection—VII declared hostility to it in May 1919 when he discovered its great influence at a conf. of Sov. educators. "Lhul. Part III The Anomolous Rejection of Positivism ch.6-Mechanism as a tendency
Milin p.968n prol.needs neither religion nor phil.but only
science: "SCIENCE TO THE ENIDGE PHIL.OVERBOARD" pp.96-98 &ftn.27-39 onpp.338-9 are v.g.about IT &NB,cf also on IT's reductionism both Mendeleev article, 3rd Int.after EIL,p/84; esp.reducing VIII Bol.conceit to "chemical conceit" of thermodynamics—2that is the essence of the notorious principle of equilibrium." And then DJ g (I think of HMp.6.cf)NE:

"theory of equilibrum cleansed of idealist elements." :: MAE emancipated dialectics

from its mystical husk in action, i.e., by applying the dial, method materialistical

Ay in the investigaton of various field of nature &society." Ch.8—TheFormation of Factions 1924-26 Ch.8—TheFormation of Factions 1924-20

Ch.13-Social Theorists in the Deborinite Factions contains info on L's Phil.

Ntbks.p.200.lst.fragmant pub'd in(1925 by Deborin, but in 1926

Adoratsky who is mechanist became ed. of Notes & kept thom the publication; finally 1st vol.appeared in 1929 with Deborin writing Preface—april 1929 Adoratsky gives main speech at conf. on L's Mill. works Again speaks of purging Hegel's dialedtics of "idealism" while p.201 Deboring stressed neither the purging NOR THE USE OF L's Notebooks 14537

Part IV -- The Great Break, 1929=32 this was 92/29 -Stelin's p.250 For philosophers address to 'Conf. of Agrarian Economists. p.253 re 1st defector from Deborin's entourage of M.B.Mitin f.who had graduated from Inst. of Red Professorship the yr. before to become. at (29) passt.dir.of Krupskaia Academy of C-ist Educ &helst creates partyness(p.254) as the only doctrine, etc. etc. p/262 PZM ceased to appear PZM ceased to appear since Deborin had been its ed' then to remove D.B ?Riazanov who faunce was head of Institute of M&E.V.V.Adoratsky took place as he joined Bolshevizers in 1930. Inst. of Phil. absorbed by Academy of Sciences Composion Part V--Physics &Biology in 1st phase,1917-32 Ch.20-Conclusion p.311ff And Marking War 1979

Port Ply Richard Proposition of the Marking War War 1979

Proposition of Jangar 1979

Proposition of Jangar 1979

Proposition of Jangar 1979

Will Marking War 1979

Will Will War 1979

W 12-73 Tapproved & Abouts "Until -14538