Andrew Levine's applogia for Althusser in RA, after 1st series in RA, Vol.III,#5

p.55 Q lt's gimission in new Eng.introd.to For Mark that he had not in death with union of the ker. did not examine the general form of the historical existence of the union class struggles or orga. or parties...AND THEREFORE IT LED "ENONGIN"

TO THEORETICIST (?) reading.

P.57 symptomatika 'reading' (UNE LECTURE SYFTOMALE" (p.58) "in which the readers relation to the text ts AMALOGOUS TO THE ESYCHOAMALIST's relation to the utterances of a patient...

"Inevitably, such a reading will simultaneously be a realized. INTO Mark; and what is read in will have origins frequently as great PSYCHOAMALISTS ALIMCUISTICS -- understood as instances of a certain (STRUCTURALIST) genre of SCIENTIFIC(1) discourse...

View, principally for having founded a new science & new phil.; a science whose 'object'—the gapitalist mode of prod...

P.59 Paxis vs. practices

Praxis vs. practices

To be precise what Althusser rejects is the notion of 'proxis', of an essential unity of which human scodety shuman factory are 'expression'. For the early Mark this Sesential unity is leaded in the 'proxis', of an essentially in the prol-pat the historical form in which the secentially have subj. of history is presented. \*\*Empartical form in which the secential in the 'proxis', or prope precisely, in the prol-pat the historical form in which the secentially have subj. of history is presented. \*\*Empartical form in which the secential the second of the unity of the Appil as rev. praxis is a result of 'proxis. prope precise or spiritual unity of Leidurg' single structure of spiritual unity of Leidurg' is constituted by a certain COMPLEXITY; it is a STRUCTURED unity-room of what is to be regarded as level or content of what is to be regarded as level or content of what is to be regarded to the eco.level as such is gived 'dominant' in a given social formation. The Hogelian dial.in Alt's view is incapacle of rendering the distinction better final content determinant contradi

p.62 "It is the process of prod.of an obj.of knowledge (Gen.III) from some still conceptual, the pre-scientific 'RHAW MATERIAL" (Gen.I) in virtue of some determining labor of transformation "Gen.II. In ?Alt's view it is precisely the relative ind.of the th.level that accts for the possibility of

of a genuinely mat. theoretical practice as we find in the science of his.mat."