Dear Paul

Your letter arrived as I was locking up the door in polluted Detroit to esdape to the Laurentians for where I'm dropping this note. (I'll be back in Petroit Aug. 9th.)

Yee, I'm confident that once we meet and develop our idean as they assume a human faces, we will be able to work out a form of colleboration in the editing of Philosophy and Revolution. I will bring with me the first and most difficult chapter of the whole book, Hegel's Aboolute Idea As New Beginning, which analyzes the major works of Hegel "in themselves" and "for us" as today illuminates them, and they help illuminate our age of transition. Ever since the death of Stalin allowed the emergence of a new movement from practice to challenge the stillbirth of Trotskyism as any historic continuity of Marxism from the death of Lenin, I have been laboring hard at breaking down the Absolute Idea as having that duality of totality and movement from practice as well as from theory. (I wrote my first letters on the Absolute Idea in the few short weeks between the death of Stalin in March and the East German Revolt in June.) I took to Telos like a duck to water because the very announcement of its birth made me feel that that few generation of revolutionaries that did not separate dialectics from either thought or action had arrived and, of all fantastic places, in pragmatic America. In any case, once we meet and discuss (and I do agree with you that Telos will have a lot to contribute to the work), we will then be able to arrange also for a full day's conference for winter when the work will be completed but not yet published.

Now, then as to the Waterloo conference, it looks somewhat different from what you first described. Is it it still to be held as the Dislectical Materialism section of the APA? Is the projected of establishing a Canadian journal seem as something of the serious nature of Telos, or of the rather diffuse and constantly changing character of RA, more on the nature of Our Generation, whose conference I addressed last year and thought rather lopside?? It won't, of course, affect my appearance, but the more I know about the audience, the imore concrete (in the Hegelian sense of comprehensive as well as tangible) can be my presentation of what you call Hegelian Leninism. It is not only a question of present the pivotal function of the dislectic in Lenin's thought. It is also srucial to have a concrete analysis flow not only from what Lenin said and did post 1914-1223, but from what is, 1970, and, sgain, not only as tangible, but as the new Humanism the East Furopeans brought unto the historic stage in the 1950's, the Africans in the 1960's, and the French, Italians and USA since 1965. This, as I see it, is new; this is a task, not a conclusion; this has been beating at us from below for nearly two decades. It is time theoreticians tried meeting the challenge not only out of their heads but out of mass movements without, however, "giving in" to the latest "fads" (and t is time, as against women's Liberation which I criticized when some one referred to it as "fad", I do mean it) whether they arise out to the sky from drugs or from Maclem. Nothing is more decisive that catching that link of historic continuity.

It may not be possible to present it all in an hour, but I am a disciplinarian of myself more than I ever was of others, and so if that max is your rule, I will limit myself accordingly. Discussion must always be at least as long a time as presentation. I'm a strict believer and pactitioner of dislectics even when it is limited to its original Greek sense of dislogue; look what great tragedies arose out of those dislogues! Two has always been the most dangerous as well as the most serious number even where mass historic movements, transitions from one age to another, and epochs refusing to co-exist were concerned.

I'll leave other matters raised by you for another time, but I'm includings special postcript to make sure when I speak of collaboration I meanwith Telos, not with Ra.

Yours

14089

TELEX: 02-21228



Tuns

August 1,1970

70 STATION STREET . AJAX, ONVARIO . TELEPHONE 942-558C AREA CODE 416

Dear Paul:

I do not know whether to call this note a postsript to the letter on Philosophy and Revolution, as book, as conference, as new deepened relations with TELOS, or an "underground info", or what. But it is important that we understand each other fully on my total distruct of Faul Bunle, and, therefore, that I take for granted that when I speak of Telos collaborating on editing my book, I do set, NOT include Bunle who is not to see anything I send to you re book, etc. Here is why:

1) Although he took the initiative of contacting me, not I him. Bullion ever bothered once to look at the genuine documents of J-F T(outside of the few he had when the tendency was not split), but proceeded to collaborate in helping James rewrite the history of those documents by publishing those he wished under his (Johnson, now finally willing to tell he is James after having resisted it for a decade, incl. return to England name as if they were his alone. Since he was not part of the Tendency, and has never bothered to ask Forest, I cannot see that he could possibly indicate some of the major battle-lines". I suspect that now that Glaberman has likewise split from James and that it is not unconnected with Bulling swiden "prominence" in that non-existence tendency other than connected with the writer James, there may be some light shed on that. But I am not the least interested in it. James has produced nothing since the split that is worth any one's serious attention unless the rewriting of history becomes "an original."

2) If any one is interested in the original document both as it was, and as the development into Marxist-Humanism from the merely economic analysis of state-capitalism, I have deposited the whole with the Labor Department of Wayne State University Library, called Marxist-Humanism:1941-1969, and filed as Raya Dunayevskaya Collection. (I believe I sent either you or Telos a listing of those archives.) Again, this is only for archivist and movement's interest. What is urgen still however as to objective and subjective reason for distrust of James-Johnscis this:

3)In Dec.1954 the Govt. decided to list JFT as subversive. CLRJ was a British subject, safely ensconced in GB a time when Korean War produced the McCarthy hyperia in the US. Forest (I) was here as big as life with a publication (Correspondence) in full light challenging the powers that, and having only 10 days to answer the listing. We were disagreeing on many things, most revolving around my desire to finish M&F (then in outline form called "Marxist and State-Capitalism), the new stage of consciousness on a world scale symbolized by the Fast German Revolt June 17,1953. But I never thought that JRJ, instead of presenting a unity at least to the bourgeoisie, would chose that moment to break up the tendency. He did. Outside of informing the international groupings of that action, I have never once had a world to say publicly on that, never made any mention of CLRJ, obeyed the strictures on Johnson 14090 as the only political name the one time I did have a footnote referring

to that congloweration of escape from reality called "Facing Reality" which not only whitemashed but glorified Marumathy the pamphlet I sent you on Afre-Asian Revolutions. The time that the pamphlet I only as footnote. English you may be interested in the but, again, I do only as footnote. English you may be interested in the but, again, I do only as footnote. English you may be interested in the but, again, I do only as footnote. For example Marhuman as of much leaver importance and mark revolutions, for example Marhuman as of much leaver importance than the underlying philosophy which produced the lies way back in 1947. I was very active in Harles in a special series on the Negro: a World view that the Schowburg Collection—one of the finest in the country on Africa—was sponsoring. It is there I self African students in this occurry, none of whom had, of course, any "name" then. I was impressed with Maramah, introduced him to James as I felt color would help satabute the Warmah, introduced him to James as I felt color would help satabute a claser relationship, and we continued to correspond wattl power seemed within reach. At that time there was a complete break; James then naturally also thought it was all ridiculous to combine for leavership, and so there was no correspondence, such lase "training for leavership, whill after Jef broke, and CLRJ as editor of a nationalist publication in Erribidad was "born" at end of 1950's and J then begen writing the

to search for theory that their own hunger for "leadership" load) to such bizerre bypaths.

Yours,

The New Murxism

(A Tolos Philosophical Conference: Waterloo, Ontario, October 8-11)

The last few years have seem a tremendous growth in interest in Marxism which has uncovered scores of theoretical problems, Straitjackeded in fixed categories 1-150 40 and committed to dubious political programs, the old Marxiem has turned out to be schewhat irrelevant andy in the long run, it has functioned more as an ideology than as a truly scientific approach to social reality. To remedy this state of affairs, there has been a revival in Markist scholarship. This trend is becoming increasingly felt in North America in academic circles which, as the official transmitters of bourgaois ideology, have become totally useless intellectually. This conference seeks to bring together precisely the new breed of young scholars engaged in the reconstruction of Marxist theory and the development of a concrete political program.

Tentative Schedule

Thursday, October 8: 2:00 PM - Paul Ficcone: "Fhenomenological Marxism: Towards a Marxist Understanding of Marxism¹⁷
Paul Breines: "Hegelian Marxism: Lukaes and Korsch" h:00 PM - Paul Breines: 7:00 PM - Raya Dunayevskeyo: "Hegolian Leninism" 9:00 PM - Symposium on Class-Consciousness

Friday, October 9:

9:00 AM - Dick Howard: "Existential Marxism: Sartre and Merleau-Ponty" 11:00 AM - David Gross: "Utopian Marxisml Ernst Bloch"

2:00 PM - Albrecht Wellmer: "Critical Theory"
4:00 PM - Russell Jacoby: "Rosa Luxemburg's Marxism"
7:00 PM - James Hansen: "The Marxism of the Second International"

.9:00 PM - Symposium on Labor

Saturday, October 10:

9:00 AM - Michael Kosok: "Science and Dialectics"

11:00 AM - Robin Blackburn: "Structuralist Marxism"
2:00 PM - Silvia Federici: "Gramsci's: Marxism"

4:00 PM - Alexander Delfini: "Heideggerian Marxism"

9:00 PM - Symposium on "Spontaneity and the Party"

Sunday, October 11:

9:00 AM - Bernard Flynn: "Recent French Marxism" 11:00 AM - Howard Parsons - "The Christian-Marxist Dialogue" 2:00 PM - Symposium on the New Left Marxism

For further information, contact: Scott Arnold, Federation of Students University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

14092