October 13, 968

To New Alternates to the NEB

Dear, Friends:

I have not had the epportunity to address you before although I generally look for appetally ways to release additions to the leadership, and although I had spoken to the whole organization at the Executive Session on the Stewardship and Responsibilitie of Leadorship, the first has been impossible because of my assignment while the second way does not have the stimp of individuality that growing into leadership demands. Therefore I welcome this apportunity of writing on philosophy. Perhaps it is as well since this will, of necessity, demand from you the philosophic approach to the minutest organizational problems as well.

Let me begin on the note on which I ended the letter to the organization as a whole regarding Chapter I of PHILOSOPHY AND REVOLUTION, that, in truth, we must study the chapter, not as if we could find others to back up our statements, but as the discoverers we are. At the convention, when Steve proposed that I devote my full time to completing the work, you showed that you were, in fact, catching the excitement of the birth of sur organization as we completed HARXISM AND FREEDOM. It is for this reason that I did not bobber with such "details" as the peculiarity of such a motion. It was far more important to begin to grasp our uniqueness than to give lectures on what was customary.

Now we must break down what this means in terms of organizational responsibilities of loadership in matters of philosophy. For, if there ever was a need, a cyying need for philosophy, it is now as the New Left, including even the black revolt that had always played a vanguard role in American development, flounders in the belief that it discovered sex, and that this plus "making"the revolution equals a new society. Though a sexual revolution has always accompanied a social revolution, it discovered soon enough that, no matter what the individual exhilaration of "freedom in love", it takes a great deal dore to transform society, to uproot the old, to create the new, to develop a new human dimension.

The same further need was either discovered in national revolutionsmixitizes or they were divered to non-revolutionary channels. This bogging down and
not achieving the transition to a new society was true both of the Irish Revolution which
gave stimulus to the Russian Revolution and then "disappeared" and of the Russian
Revolution, despite its high achievement in uprooting the old, once it slipped from
the new human Subject as the defeated counter-revolution never rests but continues
to reappear in ever newer forms. So that both a national revolution and even a proletari
one got mired into but a new stage of capitalism.

The decade of the new revolutions in our era-from Automation in the US and its simultaneous but totally different type of Chinese Revolution to the Bast German Revolt and, again, totally different African Revolutions, built an part on Magritude so that "Black Is Beautiful" isn't all that new)-failed to start on the foundations Lenin had laid for us and not only philosophy but, above all, proletarian revolutions gover came fully aliva. Some one must start somewhere to get hold of that missing link to proletariath revolution and since that historic task has fallen on us, it is up to you as new leaders to look at membership growth as philosophic development as well. This chapter should therefore become a tast of your leadership, an arming of yourself as a projection, a human projection of the preparation for revolution which refuses to be short circuited by "making" revolutions instead of eliciting from the masses their concept of unifying theory and practice.

14043

To make explicit what is implicit in their movement demends that you begin, first with yourself, then with our own dembers, and, simultaneous, with those on the outside who are pleasest to us. I look forward to you having reached so high a stage in practicing dislectics this way that, by the time I make the Spring man, tour, we will have a full day session on the book, no satter what other public and organisational meetings are planned, and that this will eachia as to return to the book for the final rewrite and praparation for the printer by the end of the year, but to handbeak become collaboratees a great deal of solf-development must occur.

There are no blueprints for this. But self-creativity should be testal against what is in Regal, in Mark, in the present. Take, for example, the difference between a Nap and a Castro, Though Moode an original on guarvilla warfere he was not so innocent of Merrian philosophy as was Custro and therefore had a feel for the need of it as preparation for revolution. To this day the 2 loctures he gave the Yearn Military Academy - On Contradiction, On Practice --- ord the ones be keeps rewriting every time he western international as wall as a national crists. They may not have been much of a gentribution to philosophy but they are symbolic of teday's needs and therefore, if even only negatively, is see what pracisely he did and how he lot/Ribback? I have ever when he met with let ue subjective obstacles from the proletariat and a bjective obstacles from state capitalism In 1937 he faced, on the one hand, the imperialist war against Japan when he collaborated with Chiang Kei-Shek but did not wish his followers to be under the illusion that thereby Chiang Kai Shek and he are fighting the same war in the same way. So the tens of thousends of new members that joined for patriotic reasons were to be trained into a little bit of Herriam, a little bit of contradictions in capitalism, a little bit of revolutionary practice not only on the battlefield but getting intellectuals to \$ "educate" the messes: "No coubt he considered himself a great success as 1949 brought victory, and contradictions "disappeared" both in China and with new Communist ally.

In 1957, on the other hand, he had to teach his people that there were contradictions also "smong the people" which means they must be herded into Communes and swong new Communist ally, i.e., Russia, and its elf so that they must bring the new society "all alone." He thought he could achieve it by provincing that the Communist Farty alone"repropents" the projectariat and "philosophy of revolution." didn't work this time because the educated proletariat had a mind of its own and did not wish its body subordinated to a primitive tool in place of an automated one as if the difference was in tools, instead of human beings. So by 1967 he decides to break up also the Party provided the youth can be molded to obey THE ONE (MAC), but revolution wasn't all that reducible and beither were the youth were clay. Now the point is to figure this out only politically and not philosophically makes that we in turn would not fulfill our responsibilities as leaders who are out to unite theory and practice. If, on the other hand, we take the philosophic responsibilities seriously, the membership growth and our self-development would receive the spurt forward that would make us all into the discoverers we are. Now all you have to do ta practice dialectics so that it acts as a beacon for the masses who will recognize their aspirations for freedom in your theory.

> Yours, Rays