Doar Come Yukiyamas

Irrespective of what any other grouping, Frotakyist or otherwise, does about arranging discussions for me in December in Japan, it is me who must fully understand all the remifications, on a world scale, of such a confrontation of Eastern and Western Earxist-liminists. It is therefore important that a dialogue bogin between us.

First, we must consider, single out, what experiences in the post-war world in Europe and America have relevance for the Japan of today. Two periods seem segminally appropriates 1)the 1947 Attation in the USA which prompted absorbings. The state-capitalist tendency as return to the Trotokyist party; and 2)the 1958 situation in France which led to a further differentiation within those outside of the Communists and the Trotokyists and opposing both and yet fulling to resognize that this Marxist group, \$00, must take responsibility for DeSaulle's coming to power tendency they had not succeeded in unfurling a total philosophy that could become a polarizing force.

In the case of 1947, I now consider it wrong for us to have re-catgred the Fourth International. It is true that, compared to our mellness, they ideaed to be, almost, a mass party that, agreever, was speaking suriously about the "American revolution" at a time when the post-mar strikes signified a new subjective, as well so, objective situation. It is equally true, however, that a revolution in any one country cannot be town out of the world context where Trutckyiem had absolutely nothing new, deeply philosophic and fully aware of the country-revolutionary role of Stalinium, to offer. The only basic reason I can see for that move on part of the state-capitalist tendency is that itself was incomplete insofar as it had worked out the economics but not the philosophic implications of this transformation into opposite of a workers' state. We had found the early Eumenist escays that far back, but to work them out for our age by going both backward to Hegel and find a new universal, as Lenin had found one with the dialectical principle of "transformation into opposite", but for our epoch, that we had not done. Perhaps it was impossible to have found it before the death of Stalin and the East Genear revolt, which is the year (1953) I finally did make a philocophic breakthrough on the Absolute Idea —and that led not only ito breighton Trotakyim, but from that section of the state-capitalist tendency that had stopped with economic analysis.

Now, it seems to me, that Japan too has this t pe of sudden growth of Trotokyien as we had in 1947, and that illusions about similaring it over are extant. You know the Japanese situation better than I possibly can, and you may think I'm wrong in drawing a parallel. All I'm trying to do, however, is to cast what illumination our experience can east and, above all, to make sure that Marxist-Rumanists do not get divorted from what are specifically, originally and profoundly their tasks.

Take the 1956 situation in France and you'll see better what I mean. We had tried to establish intermational relations not only between all state-capitalist tendencies, but also there who, without designating aussia as state-capitalist, did see clearly it was no workers' state and called thereafore a prolotarian revolutionary line, independent both of US and Russia. But when I be daulle came, to power, it was our contention that we could not centimue to blame Compeniets and Transfers, who certainly were to blame for footwar revolutionary situation in France having been initiared away; that we must begin assuming responsibility for historic situations on our own shouldors, and must, instead of only being against, being for seasthing total, concrete, new. In a word, MARKESI AND FREEDOM, and nothing short of that total philosophy, was unfurled

13917

by us at that international conference, and we invited others to do the same. We insisted that, after the 1956 Hungarian Revolution when, even from below, the Humanism of Marxiem was unised along with the slogan for Workers' Councils, Youth Councils, Interlectual Councils—allaway from the one-party state—it was time to have done away with the intellectual sloth that had accumulated in the years since Lenin's death back in 1924.

I'll admit that we thought that once such a total philosophy was unfirled, Herrists would not stop and talk only of a single situation, a concrete activity, a diversion to where the masses were supposed to be—in other parties—but would pick up the challenge. The truth is that, precisely because our views were now so clear, it meant that, while we gained new forces from below, the old, established leaderships and radicals moved further away.

Didn't you have such an experience once MARXISH AND FREDOX was published in Japan? And don't you find that, objectively, the growth of the counter-revolution in the new rightist party, gives a further excuse for the challenge to thought not being met, and ever renuved activition that only tailed Communism, of both Russian and Chinese varieties, being undertaken. Exactly how long can the delay continue? It has already lasted 40 years; Trotckyism had only the technical, not the historical-philosophic, right to the mantle of Lenin —and it throw that away. I don't know whether Japanese Trotskyists discuss what Trotskyism has done all this period, and why it has not become a polarizing force for the new revolutioneries. Or do they just discuss only the activity of the moment?

Secondly, irrespective of what the situations were in the US and in Europe in the post war world, we must now consider, on a truly world scale, what has happened since 1950, when a new stage of struggle had begun, in Japan with the mass demenstrations; in the US the sit-ins; in Africa the creation of now independent states; while in the state-cepitulist world of Russia and China the schiem had begun. You must remember that this conflict in the Communist world was nothing small, had not only economic reasons, as any capitalist countries have when they begin looking at a new, third world, and begin to fight to dominate Africa; this schiem also had deep internal reasons, and in many fundamental respects it was now: 1) China's Creat Leap Forward was beginning to end so disastrously in life and in thought/Err the idea of bypassing technology, of leaping from a very early stage of industrialization to "Communism" meant that "Mae's thought" which was substituting, for mass reason, mass sweat or what he called "the mass line" simply had no future. But, like any good capitalist, Mae would not only give up his thought but tried to make it into a universal applicable to all the underdevloped countries. 2)Guerrila tactics, which Mae had always thought of as military, were now becoming also a "universal" in thought, substituting war for social revolution. Don't forget that, in 1954, Mae's China, along with Russia, and the private capitalists, had signed the Geneva agreement, calling a halt to warfare and concentration on economic build-up in the countries. But after the 1956 Huagarian Revolution, 1957"100 Flowers Campaign" in China and in Victum, and the 1958 Leap Forwardfalling, there was nothin: left in thought. Militaries was to replace it all. 3) Yet the revolutionary phraseology carried an impact, and nowhere more than in Japan which, long before the other CFs begin taking sides, moved toward Maeism. So, for that matter, does Trotskyiem still carry that impact so that pecple take out the concept of "world revolution" f

gon. Tsushima said somethere that I overestimated the Japanese youth's move to the left, to philosophy, to independence from Communism. Ferhaps. But the truth is that, once the youth has made the moves they did make in those directions, it is up to us to build on that, single it out, clarify, and work to make a new beginning, and on a total basis. With the new work, Philosophy and Rovelution, I don't just threw down a challenge to all other Karxists, I proceed to work out what is needed for our age; whether or not these old radicals follow, the new generation of radicals will —or we have lost all. And I don't believe we have lost enything. Japanese