December 22, 1960

Dear RD:

I do not want the year let go without thanking you for your letters. I read them several times, but I am unable to discuss them in writing - there is just too much to say.

To me, the most important passages are those in which you stress this need for a reformulation of the relation between theory and practice, and the notion of the new Subject. This is indeed the key, and I fully agree with your statement that the solution lies in the link between the first and second negation. Perhaps I would say: In the self-transcendence of materialism, or in the technoligical Autheburg of the relified technical apparatus.

But again, although I so trying hard, I cannot see why you need the Absolute Idea in order to say what you want to say. Surely you do not used it in order to demonstrate the say. Surely you do not used it in order to demonstrate the say. Surely you do not used it in order to demonstrate the say. Surely you do not used it in order to demonstrate the say. Surely you feel it is altogether tied to the very concept of the Absolute Idea is altogether tied to and justifies the separation of the material and intelligetual productivity at the pre-technological stage. Certainly you can "translate" also this part of Hegel - but why translate if you can speak the original language??

Please don't mind my all too brief and inadequate reaction. I am still too much absorbed by these and other problems. But one day soon I hope there will be more.

With the very best wishes for the new year,

Yours,

(Signed) HM