(Part IV of New Book—Subjectivity of Salf-Liberation)

The diesec: 20

The Algebra of Revolution" or the Vialectic of Thought and Action" of Salest

Authority for 1917-132

Westernature for Q by Herzen change, w

The African Revolutions are opened a new page in the dialectic of thought as well as in world history. A recomplation of the relationship between theory and practice as imperative of the page of the relationship between the forward movement of humanity. Far ifon this teing a reed for intellectual only, it is a need the masses themselves feel most decepty. It is they who descending the content of the respective of the masses themselves feel most decepty. It is they who descending the content of the respective of the masses themselves feel most decepty. It is they who descending the content of the respective of the masses themselves feel most decepty. It is they who descended the content of the respective of the masses themselves feel most decepty.

forward movement of humanity, Far from this leing a meed for intellectual only, it is a need the masses themselves feel most deeply. It is they who desertable that there is a movement from practice to the of the from the from the from the first through the free of suction casuating from the two nuclear world powers would pull them away from their very reason for being, as they have shown in the Conge. To use an expression of Hegel's, who had the much easier job of unifying theory and practice in the restricted realm of thought, "each of these by itself is one-sided and contains the Idea itself only as the sought Deyons and unattained goal." (Sc. of L., II, p. 466)

The decays of the 1950's has been marked by 3 kinds of revolution: (1) the
African Revolution seeking freedom from Western imperialism and symbolic of the
revolutions in all underdeveloped economies, stretching from Africa to Latin
America, and confronted with the alternative of "the China Road" in Asia, or the
"Russian Rd." in the Hiddle East and Cuba. Because all of these are inseparable
from the color question, the Negro and the U.S. becomes the "Hic Rhodus, hic salta,
which white The Hiddle The Confront Revolutions seeking freedom from Bassian totalitation
is and symbolic on not only fast Europe, but of the addition of the sligan of "Bassian totalitation"
as witness the revolts of the forced labor comps at Verkuta The sligan of "Bassian totalitation"
and Freedom" epitomized the inseparability of economics, politics and philosophy
with its direct link to the humanica of Hornica, even as the Russian counter—
revolution travelled with both tacks and the ideology which claimed it was fighting
an elegated "revisionism." That this ground was accepted by the intellectuals of
the West should the have known that the humanican was the trie origin of Marrism,
which the Communists were choosing to pervert to suit their possession of power,
discloses a greater theoretic void them to be brainwashing at the same than

The indirective which is the topologically advanced world of the U.S. Russia and Western Europe. The polic of the worldedness of the epoch following. World war II. It is true that the topological conclusion of the list Industrial Rov. that birth to our machine and at the end of the 18th century. But once we stop for a moment to consider and contract all the revolutions produced in life and in thought in the nearly two conturies since that revolution, we will see at once that there is something so totally different in the present technological revolution that they can by no means be received as "only the logical tonelysish of the 1st Industrial Rev. Thus, that industrial revolution which trediced both who American and French revolutions of the 18th centery revolutionized also English politic, economy and German idealistic philosophy. On the foundation of classical pol. eco. & classical Ger. philosophy, but with an entirely new "subject"—the prolotariat—Harxism arose simultaneously with the 1848 Revolutions. From that point on, we have no firm division between a demand of the workers' movement and Harxist thought. Thus the struggle for the shorter working day is recognized by Marx as a philosophy greater, because nore concrete—"the lat necessity of freedom" than either the Declaration of Independence or the Declaration of the Rights of Man.

With the devel ont of capitalism from correctition to monopoly to state-central, we had no a then two World Wars, the first resulting in the Russian Revolution, and the cond in the colonial revolutions of today. By 1950, when Automation was been use most technologically backward counties in Africa,

13068

not only take the center of the stage away from the Asian and Middle Eastern Revolutions, but match in profundity the attitudes, both of the American workers to Automation and the Hungarian workers to labor under Communist totalitarianism. That is to say, they raised the question of the alienation of labor under compitalistic conditions of production in which Marx 1st raised it in purely philosophic terms. Those who did not hear the voice of the American worker asking "What kind of labor should man perform?"; those who were willing to agree with the Russian ideologists that the Hungarian revolutionaries unfolding the banner of Marxist-Humanism were "revisionists" are the very ones who are dismissing the slogans emanating from the African revolutions as "backward."

It may be true that when you take the official slogan issued by the leaders, whether it be Nkrumah's "seek ye first the political kingdon, or Kaunda's "one man, one vote", or the brief but decisive "No" of little Guinea to remaining part of the mighty (but not almighty) French Empire, none measure up to the challenge of the mass movements they lead, but neither are they as vacuous as are the heads of the Western intellectuals of the part of the first and part of the first who first the first

Quite the contrary. Not only have they shaken empires and re-shaped the map of the world in a single decade, but they are the result of the previous decades of thinking about African freedom, which were of a more advanced nature than the national freedoms. For example, in the African quiet 1930's Azikiwe was writing of continent-wise, or at least Wort African, freedom, rather than freedom of single countries. In the not-so-quiet 1940's the methods of class struggle, whother large Nigeria or little Guinea, were like those of the advanced West European proletariat, that of general strikes and mass political demonstrations By the 1950's as freedom was being won, we had the theoretical unfoldment of Marrist-Humanism, though hammed in by apologetics, of Loopold Senghor, and the concept of Universalism for both technological and ideological know-how of Sekou Tourá. These are abstract when compared to the subjectivity of self-liberation of the masses, they are nevertheless so great a leap forward that we can gain perspective only by both moving forward to listen to the voice of the rank and file and backward to the dielectic method which Hegel had elicited from the French R volution as the "Absolute Method" of thought and that Alexander Herzen rightly called "the algebra of revolution."

Me gimes in

Lack of confidence in those masses is the common root of all the objections to "abstrace, idealistic, mystical Hegolianism" from the Communists to the academic Marxists. There shows elsewhere (1967) the Communist attack on Hegelianism is in fact an attack on the Humanism of Marxism. The whole struggle with "revisionism" ever since near-Polish revolution and the actual Hungarian Revolution is proof of this theoretically even as the actual capitalist production relations within Russia is proof of it factually. On the other hand, the acceptace Marxista within Russia is proof of it factually. On the other hand, the acceptace Marxista within Russia is proof of it factually. On the other hand, the acceptace Marxista within Russia is proof of it factually. On the other hand, the acceptace Marxista appear to be against both positivism and idealism while retaining the theoretic void resulting from the combination of not listening to the new in the workers and consigning Hegel's Absolute Idea to the "pre-technological" stage of capitalism which allegedly compelled Hegel back to the old Aristotelian ontological tradition. Hegel's Absolutes have as little to do with Plantonian philosopher-kings as the slavery which characterized Greek society has to do with the freedom established in the French Revolution. Precisely because Hegel's point of departure had this actual ground of revolution, his Absolutes enable a serious Marxist to build on the inherent logic of the present-day revolutions, their subjectivity and the philosophers" subjumption so that the whole of humanity can realize philosophy.

""Philosophers" subjumption so that they have a future to build.

13069

The one element of truth that all those detractors of Hegel express is the need to break with bourgeois idealism, including that of Hegel. For, without Mark's discovery of the materialist foundations of history, Hegelian dialectis remained imprisoned in an idealism that was abstract enough to serve as apology for the Prussian state. Had Mark not broken with the historic form of Hegel's Absolute as expressed in the Prussian state, we wouldn't have discovered the algebraic formula of revolution inherent in it. But the Prussian state's prison house for Hegelian dialectics was no worse than S.D.'s and vulgar communism's (without without its fellow-travelers) perversions of the Markian dialectic.

Where the mature Marx returned to Hegel, declaring in CAPITAL that Hegeliancontrodicts was "the source of all dislectic" (CAPITAL, ICI, p654), the present-day Marxists throw out HEGELand, with IT, Merxian dislectics. Because Marx recreated the dislectic on the ground of the class struggles of his day, he was enabled to split the Absolute into 2, thereby gaining a new dimension in his analysis of capitalism: 1) the "ebsclute general law" of capitalistic development and collapse—the unemployed army—and 2) "The new passions and forces"—the elements of the new society imbedded in the old—that would achieve the new "negation of the negation", hot through mere abolition of the old, nor through mere establishment of "true" private property of the artisan, but rather to the construction of a new society whose reason for being was the "all-dound individual", whose freedom was the basis for the freedom of all."

Ent that only element of truth that all these detractors of Hegel (incl. those who expounded what Marx called "abstract materialism"*) expressed the need to break with bourgeois idealism, was transformed into the "whole" that quite shut out the voice of those "new Forces and new passions", as Lanin found out when the 2nd Int collapsed and he had to return to Hegelian dialectics. People who have admitted the objective base for the collapse of the 2nd Int. failed to follow Lenin in his freeing the subjective idealism of Marxism from its narrow confines of "higher wages" and towards a self-movement in the dialectic of thought that would meet the objective of the dialectic of action. 7

"To be distinguished from "vulgar materialism" which Marx had used to separate himself from the communists of his day. The "abstract materialism" he attacks in CAPITAL (Vol.I, p.406) is the bourgeois materialism plus natural science that leave out "history" and its process" which resolve contradictions, that is to say, the actual class struggle.

Henceforward, Lenin's re-embrace of the dialectic did not stop short of the Absolute Idea itself. Thus, of the last chapter of Hegel's Science of Logic, Lenin wote the following: "It is remarkable; in the whole ch. on the "Abs.Idea" there is almost not a single word on God (scarcely a "godly" "notion slips out even accidentally) and, moreover, this NB—this chapter almost does not contain idealism specifically, but its main object is the dialectic mothod. The sum and summation, the last word and gist of the Logic of Hegel is the dialectic method—that is extremely remarkable. And another thing: the them ost idealist is work of Hegel, there is most materialism. "Contradictory" but a fact!"

It is true, myertheless, and there would be comething amics if it were otherwise, that Mark and Lenin solved the problem of their ages not ours. Lenin did indicate politically what should be the point, of departure for our age as well-since he was beginning to see the problem of underdeveloped countries. I'm referring to the three new elements in the thesis of the 2nd Congress of the C.I. These are:

13070

(B)

1) the world role and relationship/s victorious prol. tevolution to the teconologically underdeveloped countries*: 2) the role of national liberation movements in the context of the imperialist world; and 3) the revolutionary role of the peasantry within the country fighting for liberation.

Cit

*In the advanced role that a 'backward' group plays as impulse to world revolution could also illuminate what I will call "the historica! law of compensation". Unfortunately IN failed to develop that aspect of his theory & proceed, instead, to develop features that were proven wrong over and over again—the backwardness of the peasantry.

With that and im deeper revolutions of our own era, we must now turn to that most materialistic-idealistic chapter in Hegel's Science of Logic. The Absolute Idea. Up until we reach the 2nd negation, the A.I. sounds almost familiar, or at least does not create insurmountable differences to academic Marxist. Although the "translation" from thought to act may sound a bit more brash than they would care to indulge in, nevertheless [Self-determination of the Idea" and self-determination of nations are definitely not poles apart, and the corollary to "self-determination in which alone the Idea is, is to hear itself speak" would therefore raturally be that the people as well as the Idea must also be heard and out of it a new dialectic would be created. Just as Marx saw that only with the transcendence of lat negation—abolition of capitalism—would there arise positive Humanism, so it is with "the transcendence of the opposite between Notion and Reality." Yet, if they based themselves on the negative force, the proletariat, that is precisely where they should them in the translate easily Hegel's A.I. and that meams solf-liberation. Thus "the word negative (SC of Logic, p.478, II)..., personal and free."

That precisely is the proof, the only proof, that there has been a true transcendence of class society. Only where "the individual is the social entity", to borrow from Marx again, is society not counterposed to the individual as opposite. What, therefore, was only potential ("in itself") or implicit becomes actual ("for itself") or explicit. All division between inner and outer has come to an end and "Each new stage of exteriorization" (that is, of futher determination) is also interiorization and greater extension is also higher intensity" (p.483)

Sime all proof is in the subject (man), absorbing all objectivity (a world outside of him, science) the move towards a new society cannot be a mere transition as if it were only "a perfected becoming." The key is, instead, "absolute liberation...." (p.485) The greatest force for the future is this total release. Total release is not only release from exploitation, but the release of all his thoughts, freeing it from fetishism, and making man for the first time truly free.

Man, having incorporated all of science in his own knowledge, the latter can no longer exist as mere objectivity, but "arises to perfect its self-liberation in the "new sphere", that is to say, the new society. All the exaltation about freedom, self-liberation, release, absolute liberty is due to the loap from necessity into the realm of freedom, or, as Marx put it, "positive Humanism starting from itself."

Any other basis, any other basis, whether that be the state, as "collective property" or leaders as sort of "mental repositories", that would "represent" humanity instead of be it, would once again signify outside tyranny.

"If that's all you mean, why can't you say it without leaningon the mystical,

13071

abstract, idealistic, ontological, confining language of Hegel?" Even if no superciliousness were involved in the phrase "if that's all"...the question is a trap that would soon unfold its many and varied hues and open endless illusory doors leading nowhere.

finding the "missing link" in men since het least, is obviously here slive and kicking, and needs no other proof of his existence whereas the continuity in thought needs inter-communication between the ages for its proof. And intercommunication between the ages is not quite as targible as tapping out a Morse Code, and when you drop that historic link, then truly, as Hegel long age saw, we transitoriness" (p.466) Or, as Marx put it.

Where there is continuity, the Logic of Thought and the Logic of Objective and Subjective Development are not as far apart as would appear on the surface, At the moment of the opening of the Machine Age and the self-activity of the French masses, as well as in the Hegelian dialectic, we confront the self-development or negativity (both of alienated consciousness and oppressed man) that has as proof 200 yrs. of mass struggle, on the one hand, and 1,000 closely of 197 categories and sub-categories, or taken them only in their quintessential movement of Being. Essence and Notion.

Detroit, Michigan 48210

Rel I ho Pa as a Historical