o. Jestus of properties.

There are three movements in the Realm of Essence:

- 1. The truth is concrete. Hegelarith on that from the very beginning, where he insists upon the reality of show. At each stage the truth will become more concrete, more inclusive of all phenomena and all possibility through show, to existence, to appearance, to actuality.
- 2. The antagonism between the absolute and the appearance will become more explicit, more posited at each stage, and at the same time, the unity will become so necessary that at a certain stage, inner and outer can no longer be kept apart.
- 3. The self which moves and which does the transcending of the opposite moves from the abstract principle of so doing (Ground-Leibnitz) to an absolute substance which is "the absolute activity of form and the power of necessity." Or bearing in mind the development from Leibnitz to deget, we see the principle of self-determination move from the individual moned to society or the social individual. In this movement, we have the revolutions of the 17th-18th-19th and 20th century and hence the mediations which emerge from the self-developing subject at each stage, all the way up to Stalinism, at each stage becoming more antagonistic, more posited:

5/27/49

Thore is a serquence of dialectical development which appears in every revolutionary period. There is a conquest, a leap forward started first of all abstractly, but in apposition to a program period. This, which is in advance to subject, becomes fitionalised turning into, on the one hand, idealism and, on the other positivism the essence of both being that man is over here, nature is over there, and the gap to be bridged somehow. This is always totalizarian Finally, a new south synthesis is established.

For example, the great leap forward in the 17th century was the development of individuality. But what the philosophers (Mobbes) did was to take the individuals in their finitude, not as developing, but as they were (war of one against all) and, therefore, had to set a government over these

You have the sequence in every development of philosophy. Pacon, Robbes, Locke. Man had to find wimself in nature — therefore, at the beginning, the principle of naity of knowledge and of Being. Tagon's discovery is that man is active in his world (see Regal on Reason's Cectainty, and Reason's Truth to get this dialectic from the initial affirmation and revolutionary advance to its degeneration into positivism.) At the beginning, it is revolutionary. Then Hobbe rationalises it and the counter revolutionary establishes himself on a linew basis, the old basis having been licked.

"Iltimately this self-activity of the individual has to be reinstated. Locke does this for houseoise society. That Locke fails to
see in flourishing Ingland, however, is the contradictions and negativity
to this movement. Leibnitz establishes the latter as principle and did
it forthe same reason that Yant did it for lousseau, i.e. the backwardness of Germany. Leibnitz's monads have more self-movement than locke's
because they contain this negativity, difference in the unity and, therefore, necessity for transcendence of differences.

Call Call Market

BENEFIC SIGN

We have the same sequence in Kant who establishes the primacy of the human intellect as process of cognition. Fight occupies himself with this process in the negativity, where a Kant had postulated the reconciliation (infinite progress). Schelling resolved the contradiction in the same way that Hobbus had by a totalitarian fuler. Regal, then, reaffirms the principle of soil acting intellect, institute upon the process of mediation and the hiterate unity as a premise. As he insists continually, he could do this as contrasted, with Fichte because he did not begin with the isolated individual but with society and history.

In Greece, first of all the Milesians get away from pure sense-certainty. Then comes Parmenides, of whom the atomists are merely a variation in establishing this principle of <u>Reing-formed</u> in a rationalist form. The breaking away from this rationalism to occupy thomselves with the development of individuality comes in the sequence from Secretes to Aristotle. Within this broad sequence, we see the same dialetic from Secretes to Plato to Aristotle.

Working with this principle of broad sequence, and then internal sequence, we can see the Ameritic judgment in the 17th century with Locke, and the Encylopedists doing for seciety what Locke and for the individual. Then the Synthetic judgment with Kant, whose inevitable result is Scholling. Dialectic reason and the speculative judgment energies with Regal.

7/1/49

Hegel (1) deals with option as the beginning - fits Absolute
Substance; (2) with Spinose Leionitz and Kant at the beginning
of the Poetrine of Notion; (3) insists that the dialectic in the
Realm of Notion is the movement of Universal Particular and Individual

The polemic in the Realm of Notion is against derridies the Ine posemic in the Realm of Botion is a<u>thingt decretion</u> the Universal into a fixed particular, i.e. the universal must be positive as a particular, but if particular is posited as the universal, it becomes isolated and fixed. And also against destroying the individuality of the modes by getting into the absolute like a shot out of a pixtol of a pistol.

Development is absolute mediation of J. P. I, i.e. self-determination when growing internationalization; destruction of state maching when bourgeoiss state has reached highest stage of organization; including development of commodity production in Program then state

monopoly, capitalism reached

13053

7/9/49

Freedem, subjectivity, action -- those are the categories by which we will gain knowledge of the objectively real. These constitute the eve of transformation of objective idealism into materialism.

What has to be overcome is not the personality, not the transcedental, unity of apperception, but the purical taking the given concrete to be the real be the real.

r, the personality, the apparently abstract - all these that to power. materialism. 75

Such What Harr said of Federbach, as Moyel had previously said of Kant, there is in your mind any residue of an independent actuality confronting the subject, an independent substance with its own inner necessity; if you do not think "independent actuality as having all its substantiality in the passage" (Encyc. #159) then, in thought, you will do what want did - "affirm as true what was pronounced to be figments thought and declare to be superfloors . . that which it recognized) as truth" (12 pt 226); and in practice you will restrain the prolectariat from smashing up the state machine and rook instead morely to appropria

about the Swisters of Saying anything stemptily recently the

Thunge into freedon/ You have to sense and to feel that every great leap forward in philosophic cognition become possible only, when a new category a new way of making the plunge become possible. a new category, a new way of making the plunge become possible.

It is at this point when the subject is creating freely a new unity of opposites (timeopposites of thought and being) that the counter revolution imposes again a duality upon it, reducing it to indifferent particulars, proceeds of the Absolute, or Monads, to be ordered or organized. Not that there is anything accidental about this counter-revolution. It is inevitable so long as the subject is not the concrete universal contained within itself the totality.

The Logic moves this way: E.G.

30ing for-Sqlf (an Absolute for this stage) From Quality Determinate Being quantity (A Farticular, indifferent particular for this stage)

> "sasuro (Mediation in the realm of Being) or Essonce

Substance (An Absolute for this Stage)

Causality (a particular for, this stage)

From Medessity

or e. . T.

Reciprocity on the threshold of the Notion as l'easure was on the threshold of Taponce)

17/29/49

Note that he is talking here about Vethod 1.e. the form of the Absolute Idea 1.e. the new stage of identity of theory and practice which we have just reached and not just about previous forms of cognition: (1) it is absolutely necessary that the Method begin with abstract universality, abstract self-relation, the simple and the universal, the in-itealfness of the Absolute. (II., pp.469-472) Dont be hesitant about beginning there arbitrarily with mategorical unconsciousness.

(2) But you have to see the differentiation within the Revolution for that is, after all, what we are dealing with. You cannot proceed any further unless you have recognized this internal differentiation. The alternative "here" is that it takes up again equally externally from the concrete, thet which it left out in the abstract creation of the universal. Against it. Seel reaffirms what he said in (1) and (2), calling them "this equally synthetic and analytic moment of the Julyment, by which the miversal determines itself out of itself to be its own Other "and says that this may be called the dialectic moment (p. 484).

9/4/49

When men have the conviction that they are already in full possession of the truth. Another extensive word about Der Wog, the process. It is not a movement in general, not just a growing or a developing "in general" as if it were merely quantitative expansion from something already existing, but a movement through contradiction, thru UPI

The self-movement of the proletariat to 2nd negation, unity of opposites, negation of negation, is only possible because of the self-movement to first negation.

To hold fast this contradiction in the proletariat and yet not to be dominated by it -- that is the core of the problem.

The Logic in general is divided into:

Seing--W Essence--P - first negation Notion --I - second negation

Essence is the internally self-contradictory movement of capitalism, the movement through constant determination and transcendence of determination. This movement, in turn, has its own concrete UPI

Pasonce as such or, Show -- U

Determinations of Reflection--P

Ground -- I

The determinations of reflection give the particular way in which this process developes i.e. through Edentity, Difference and Centra-diction where Contradiction is the I of the Identity as U, the difference as P.

The Ground, however, which is negation of negation, or Individual, is at the same time an abstract universal. It must therefore determine itself as particular. That is of interest to us is the waxy in which this particularity develops.

Existence - Thing Matter

Descartes Hobbes

Appearance - Iaw

Hume and then Kant

Essential RelationWhole and Part
Force and Manifestation
Outer and Inner
The Absolute

Mant and Fichte Schelling

All of these categories which the abstract understanding, stuck in the Realm of Paing, would regard as substratum are to reality the categories in which the philosophers sought to capture the essence of Ground or of revolt. Unless I am very much mistaken the movement of Capital, not to mention of political economy, can also be seen in this development of these categories - all hypostatizations (as the philosophers say) of the revolt of the profeteriat.

1) On Ground, as a movement not only through opposition but through contradiction (hence not only first but second negation from the outset) This sticks in my mind because concretely I know that the greatest illumination for me and for everybody I talked to in France was by stating simply that passage from State and Revolution which quotes Marx's letter to Veydemyer in 1852).

2) On the fact that the movement from Thing through Substance and finally (to Subject (the Notion) are all stages of the self-determination through negativity of Ground. That we have to avoid, in other words, is thinking of Thing as if it were One (in the Realm of Rains).

is thinking of Thing as if it were One (in the Realm of Boing). 1

3) Hence these stages as constituting Mat Hegel calla Becoming toward the Notion (II, II, 157), i.e. a development (in philosophical terms) from presupposition of an underlying Thing or substratum) to an absolute self-mediation or substance (which substance is an absolute self-mediation but nevertheless not yet subject because 1) it remains a presupposition and 2) because it is actually a neutralization of opposition (as measure was a neutralization of multiplicity) and 3) because it is an Abgrund for individuality (5,152).

4) Hence it is not only the opposition in capitalist society which develops but the self-transcending Fround as revolt.

Northy of note here is the starp change that takes place at Apperance, i.e. between Existence and Section. It is at this point that you had the first big crisis in developing capitalism which manifested itself philosophically in Sume and then in Kant. I believe it is here that the transition from Absolute to Relative Surplus Value becomes necessary. Containly it is here that the finitule of capitalism explicitly emerges. From that point on you have the infinity of the progress to evercome this finitude which moves through Kant to Michta ust a Schellingestablishes the Absolute to try to evercome if

13056