WORLD ECO. SURVEY, UN, 1966

Summary & Conclusions p.3: "In fact, however, the flowof new loans, grants, & investments from he more advanced countries, after rising rapidly in 2nd of the 1950s, have evelled off since 1961. Andeed, if all lowence is made for the reverse flows (of int. &profit & indigenous capital) &for the fact that a large proportion of receipts consists of transfers in kind (much in the form of designated 'surplus' commodities)or of reinvested profits earned in the developing countries themselves, it is evident that the amt. of new, external, disposable purchasing power beingmade available to the developing countries has DECLINED to a very low level." We will be a very low level."

p.4: In 1965-1 way thrif the Dev.Decade--: The av.rate of growth during the per.was somewhat BELOW the rate recorded in the 1950s, notwithstanding the acceleration that had occurred in the rate of increase in pop. Of major significance in this connection was the falling off in the rate of incr.in gross fixed capital formation: this had averaged in excess of 6% a in real terms in the 1980s, but was down below 4% in 60-63.

AND FOR ANYONE WHO WONDERS ABOUT NEO*COLONIALISM AS BUGABOO:
p.4 again: "Accentuating the slackening in the inflow of foreign

cap, was a RAPID RISEQ-averaging low a yr, almost double the rata registered in the 1950s in the net outflow of interest & profit: by 1964 this was absorated more than a of the net inflow of grant & loans & debt a the Risz in Dividents the the burden of the expansion in earnings by foreign-owned export industries."

Also (p.6) Afr.govts themselves :govt.consumption has increased twice as fast as pvt.coh. &much faster than gross cap.formation, particularly in recent yrs. A no. of govts. have been DIS-savers in the 1960s so far &a maj.have been saving LESS than they were 10 yrs.earlier."

p.8:Militating against rapid expansion in the flow of pvt.
investment has been the growth in the demand for capital in te DEVELOPEE mkt.economies themselves.... Also magnifying the domestic needs for cap has been the extraordinary acceleration in the rate of technological change that come to characterize the era ... (p.9) More recently, the schievement of convertibility of all the principal currencies, the vigorous growth in int.trade &eco.integration in W.E. all served

to incr. the demand for cap. among the INDUSTRIAL COUNTRIES. "

p.48 fun to per since '61 to situation has changed very significantly. The total outflow of resources from the developed mkt.economieswas sharply reduced in 1962 &by 1965

had not yet regained the 1961 peak."

C*IST CCUNTRIES CALLED HERE "CENTRALLY FLANNED ECCNCMIES"

p.102: distribution of aid concentrated in but few countries UN study, as I sumpose hissis, includes comething in afrithe arr. The Arr. More than half of the total allocated

12959 12988

to the area went to the UAR." In Guinea, etc

p.153: The disappointingly slow up-trend in agric.prod. wildn has cheracterized the performance of developing countries in recent yrs.contd.in 1965.Bet. 64 & 65 the inc. in total agric.prod.is estimated to have been mare 1%, wells

BELOW THE GROWTH IN POP. Per capits output which had remained with the dropped below the '60 level in 1965." (See table 20, p.182)

Food prod.hardly about 1957-59 evel in '65,"In Afr., prod. was held down by a 20% REDUCTION in the cocoal crop &a substantial decline in the output of olives in the no. maize tobacco in the so. east, part. in the Dem. Rep. of congo, Kenya, Etanzania.

AddJOHN Hatch No 12:29/67 re pop.risein Afr &Lat Am

Of the not too generous 12 of GNP promised, devp'd. provid

6.87% in '61, 0.62% in 1967 yr from \$3,200 m.to \$2,900 min.LSWESTIR 20 aid badget new

PLUS PROLOGUES FOR 1968 from AFR.REPORT DEC. 1967

PLANNING DOESN'T HELF. (as against where they have the

Prot foodprod. per capita (as distinct from agric.pdd)
DIMINISHED BY 1952-8 in 1965-66 as compared to the 5 yr.av.

gatversia and more

34 45 B

THE WORLD BANK HAS ESTIMATED THAT THEY COULDUSEFULLY ABSORB