Isaac Deutscher's 3 vol.biog.of Leon Tro THE PROPHET ARMED, 1879-1921(1954 Oxford UPress) pp. 88ff takes up ques, of lst dispute with VII on orgivitation when his has placed before us the enormous task of cutting the knot world resution; Russian 31 do not seem to care for anything except a PETTY INTERNATIONALE (STRUCTURE (STRUCTURE) (dissolute demagnetical sloyed) atterney (clous smortally repulsive to-9) Intellectual partnership with Parvus lasted only from summer 1904-1906 trial but cetual 1905 revolution Trotsky as apprentice went ahead of PREVIS teacher.p.103 / The whole of Ch.M. LT in 1905 is on that, but more the activity than the theory .-- no difference bet VIL & LT on ques. of armed insu p. 125 -- actual movement of prol-printers deman shorter hrs. Shigher wages/ SOVIET WAS NOT A EQUINVENTION CH.VI "PER.REV, "pp.145-174 summation of "Our Rev. "begins p.150, ID claim; be the most radical restatement if not revision of the prognosis of Social be"the most radical restatement, if not revision, of the prognosis of Soc since Max's Cathet is since 1847." (Lordy!)p.151 Q LT on that in Russia Capitaliam appeared as the child of the state; (ITOGI & PERSPEKTIVY) LT keeps saying &ID repeats as if it were not to be questioned that Europea knew 3 landmarks 1789, 1848, 1905 - WHIRE THEN IS 1871, the real producessor of p.155 ID claims that "no support can be found (for underrating peasantry, re A 162: "IN THIS BROCHURE (Balance Aprospects) of 80 pp. OWE WORCH WAS THE SUM & SUBSTANCE OF THE MAN FOR THE REST OF HIS I as leader of rev. as founder shead of an army as protagonist of a new int at as a hunted exile, he would defend &elaborate the ideas he had put in a muta in 1906." (ID tries to say that that is it div no Charles when attractions Ch.VII, The Doldrums, 1907-1914. p. 182"Curiously enough I's closest ties were no RL, KI CAPANNICHTING . radioulthipheofiches sent con final ch. "Defeat in Victory" on t.u. dispute is not only superficial but though he q VIL on "mortal illness" of party, IDsays it's 2ry: "This was an important &yet only a 2ry matter.

II. THE PROPHET UNARMED, 1921-29,1959

Ch.III'Not by Politics Alone', which takes up both "dialectics" &"lit" is the imp.ch.here, (2.172::THERE WAS NOTHING OF THE PROFESSIONAL PHILOSOPHER ABOUT T. HE NEVER PLUMBED THE DETHS of gnosiology as I did in Empiriocriticism &Mat. (What about Phil.Ntbks?rd) He attempted NO SYSTEMATIC EXPOSITION OF THE PRINCIPLES OF DIALECTICS..."

pp.171 is on Technology &Science, the lecture I translated Mendeleyev address on Sept.1925. ftn.p.174 refers to Engels on Dialectic of Nature where he said that if scientists had known Kant or even Descartes they could have gone further, etc. ID also has point by insisting diamat was NEVER tied to old physics; then quotes Trotskyis prediction on atemic energy. "The phenomenon of radio-activity and the phenomenon of phenomenon of radio-activity and the phenomenon of phenomenon

of RELEASING THE INNER ENERGY of the atom. The greatest to the problem is to extract from the atom its latent energy. Scientific atechnological thought is approaching the point of a great upheaval so that social rev. of our time oincides will approach man's inquirty into the nature of matter this mastery of matter.

1:411/ M44/ M44/

on Cn. Rev. p. 321 "Not once, At seems, in these yes, 1924.6 did he speak ab Chy in the Exector the commissions of the Cominter once did he allude in public to any difference of or in this matter." TIITHE FROPHET OUTCAST, 1929-1940 (The worst of the books, with most of gossip least of understanding, 1.s. sympathy for any of the political issues. This one shows ax ID how Stalinist in mentality he real p. 513: The ideas which he expounded &the work which he performed as leader 7117the Opp. bet.1923 & 29 form the sum & substance of the most momentain & diramatic chapteran the annals of Bol. & 1sm. The came forward as the translation the greatest ideological controversy of the dontury 11751. As intellectual initiator of INDESTRIBILIZATION & PIANNED ECO. 27 INDESTRIBILIZATION & PIA eithin the Bol party who resisted the advent of Stalinism. . We have tically his fiscos fallacies emiscalculations; his fisco with 4th the contradictions in the 'new Trotskyism' of his last yrs. ts national shell." He 1.1 in (out (State Plan) Industrial grafin) Joenstein 18 Sold completed complete

12644

p 185 No per was a possible from THE PROPHET ARMED , Trotsky:1879-1921, by Isaac Dedischer Oxford,19 Parvus's series of assert in Tolma 1004 on Blar & Revolution! sound keep her in check. The capitalist order in Europe had long since been an obstacle to Europe's eco. pol. &cultural dev. It survives only second the popular masses have not yet become sufficiently sware of their tragic condition. The polenergy of the prolis not concentrate money, the second partial lack decision scowage one can imagine such a turn of that the SDF will bear the poleguilt for the survival of the capital pp.110-112 traces IT's view of per rev. which My IAfe says he conceived in 1903 ire a gen. strike &rev. coming from it and formulated first in 1902 his anticipation of 1905 &1917 incl. also the slegame Tend the war "convoke a constituent assembly." Hen. publisher was witholding IT's her press when lst act of rev. occurred needs to Parvus who was impressed appearing in Rus. wks. Vol. II. Bk.1 Showed galley proofs to Parvus who was impressed as to rev. provisional Govt. of Russia will be the gov. of wks. dem... As the SDP is at the head of the rev. movement... this gov. will be sd... a coherent govt. with a sd maj. "(Isnin's comments appear in Coll. wks. Vol. 8, pp.262-3.) CA with a sd maj." (Lenin's comments appear in Coll. Wks., Vol. 8, pp. 262-3.) p. 114. In 1/1904, at Parvus a home IT began to write another series of essays, After the Petersburg Insurrection (p.115)"The proletarians of Petersburg have shown great heroism. But the unarmed heroism of the crowd could face the armed idiocy of the barracks." In Feb. LT returns to Russia, arriving in Kiev. pp.145-149 personal description&readings of Fr. novel&languages. pp.145-149 personal description&readings of Fr.novel&languages.

p.149 **edited work written by several participants.**His.of the Soviet contributing the ch. summing up the soviet's role. Q:JUrban contributing the ch. summing up the soviet role. Q:JUrban Russia was too narrow a base for the struggle. The Soviet tried to wage the struggle on a nat.scale.but it remained above all a Petersburg institution...there is no doubt that in the next upsurge of rev., such Councils of Wkrs.will be formed all over the country. An All-Rus Sov. of Wkrs.orgid by a national congress...

will assume the leadership...His.does not repeat itself. The new Soviet will not have to go through the experiences of these 50 days once again. Yet from these 50 days it will be able to deduce its entire program of action....rev. coop. with the army the peasantry. Ethe plebeian parts of the middle classes; coop. with the army, the peasantry, the plebeian parts of the middle classes; abolition of the military machine of absolutism; abolition of absolutism; 8hour the arming of the people above all the wkrs; the transformation of the Soviets into organs of rev., when self-govt; the formation of Peasant Soviets to be in charge of the agrarian rev.on the spot; elections to the Constituent Assembly (Coll.Wks., Vol.2, bk.1,p.206.) (m) These essay were preparatory for his chief work of this per. . Itogi i Perspektiv Dvizhushchie SilyRev. The Balance & the Prospects -- the Moving emys (chronich) 1906 The WAR Ou Rev, a CM. Concleche 12645

F. 150: This was the most radical restatement, if not revision of the prognosis of socialist rev. undertaken since Mr's CM that is since 1847. Q III. "Every pol. party deserving the name aims at seizing govt. all power in order to put the state at the service of the class whose interests it expresses." Marxiem is above all a method of analysis of social relations, not of texts."

After Dautscher keeps denying that the acquisation against IT for underestimati the role of the peasantry has any validity by q IT! The prol in power will appe before the peasantry as its liberator!, he then (p.150) proceeds to show that IT didn't think the peasantry was polycapable since as IT put in the his of cap is the his of the subordination of the country to the town."

Q IT. Without the direct state support of the European prol. the wkg.class of aussia will not be able to remain in power & transform its temporary rule with a stable of clonger socialist dic.... This will from the very outset imparant TT control to the dev. of the events & open the broadest perspectives: an Unibharacter to the dev.of the events & open the broadest perspectives; the sky, class of Rus. by loading in the pol. emanoination will rise to a heigh rise to a height unknown in his gether into its hands colossel forces &means &become the initiator of the liquidation of cap on a global scale...."

QLT: Left to itself the wkg.class of Rus. will inswitably be crushed by the counter-rev.at the moment when the peasantry turns its back upon the prol. (when prol. moves from giving it land to collectivisation &int.—rd) Nothing will be left to the wors. but to link the fate of their gwm pol.rule. &consequently the fate of the whole Rus.rev., with that of the socialist rev. in Europe."

p.159 Evidently II did not say only to Europe, but that rev.might instead turn eastward to Asia. (cf.pp.74-77 of Olr Rev.)
p.162:

D is trying to compare the fact that IT spent all the rest of his life to Ydefend felstorate the ideas no had put in a nutshell in 1906. Similarly, (sic!). IN spent his whole life developing fedrawing conclusions from the ideas had advanced in the Ch. is early strength statement of doctrine. Absolutely idiotic to place the single little pamphlet on Per.Rev.after Ch.kev.&again in His.ofRR for a few pages with the WERES OF KM FROM CRITIQUE & CAPITAL TO PC & GOTHA PROGRAM, yet ID blithely goes on to say that why LT's influence was almost negligible: The reasons for this were in part adventitious in part inherent in the author's attitude." Saying how work was seized by police the few copies that reached public aroused little interest because allegedly they were mere reprints of old essays "and readers looking for new viewpoints easily missed the one new & mp.ch. in it. (refers to LT's Per.REv., pp. 3942(cf. whether LT tried to say anything that superficial. Evidently VII had not read it before 1919, bases this on Voffels farewall letter to LT. this on Yoffe's farewell letter to LT.

Rest of ch.is devoted to the speech in the docket& trip to prison. Lescape. p.178 ftn ID comments on the intell. superciliousness (for once he is right) of LT to factions in the party & Q his article for RL's are Polish paper while the anti-rev/aspects pf Men. are already revealing themselves fully the anti-rev. features of Bolshevism strongly threaten to

come to light only in the case of a rev. victory."

**************************Final ch. "Defeat in Victory" does deal with t.u. cispute, in fact takes it back to war communism. p. 487 (12/16/19) submits theses on transition from war to peace which incl. proposal for MILITARIZATION OF IABOR with the second of the second 111/2 10 Entr 7.11cm p.495 Q LT on Orders for Labor Armies.p.499 on Taylorism(practing it)p.502 #1042

12646