gracus that and 1941 banks

BOHR NOTES ON LENIN'S STATE AND RECOLUTION

1) Of The importance is the fact that the book was written right on the Tay of the November Revolution, that is between the first February Evolution and before the actual Bolshevik Esvolution. San Semin's "Afterword" explains that it was written in August" and September 1917, and that he had not completed the book begause he was "interrupted" by the actual outbreak of the revolution. "It is more pleasant and more useful, "he adds, "to live through the experience of a revolution than to write about it."

(2) Secondly, just as there is no division between theory or books, and practice, so it becomes necessary on the eve of every great orisis to review the past Marxist works in the light of the latest developments. That is just what Ignin did in 1917, review the works of Merx on the 1848 revolutions, and the faris Commune in 1871, against the background of the Imperialist war of 1914 which saw what Lenin called the transformation of monopolist capitalism into State-monopoly capitalism, and at the each time saw the collapse of the Second or Socialist International

3) The book is divided into 6 chapters: First, the analysis of class society and the State; Second and Whird the 1848 and 1671 revolutions; Fourth, the dispute with the anarchists as is seen in the work of Ehrels; Fifth takes up the whole question of the withering away of the state after the Revolution; and the Sixti Chapter duals with the opportunity falsifications of Marx which Marxists call "Vulgarization".

4) The first point of important that Lenin emphasizes from the start is the fact that the State, or Government, is, in the first instance, a result of plass antagonians. That is since only the class struggle in its final stages can do away with the political rule of the capitalist class, and since the struggle of the classes in the factories themselves are too sharp and no one there can pretend that the interests of the workers and the bosses are the same, the capitalists as a class have their representation in the state which they pretend stands above classes. All of history shows that to be a falsehool. Lenin puts this view clearly when he writes:

Lenin puts this view clearly when he writes:

"According to Marx, (the) State is the organ of class domination, the organ of oppression of one class by another.

Moreover, not only politically and economically is the working class oppressed, but the armed forces, the prisons, etc. are all a sign of this class rule.

It is for this very reason that the Marxists, after the revolution, and the period in which Dictatorship of the Proletariat is necessary, foresee the withering away of the state, once there is no exploitation of man by man.

(Z canjar

12594

5) After dealing with the state, Lenin goe, on to shelyse the 1648 revolutions. He takes up Herr's works the Poverty of Philosophy and "The Communist Manifesto", and shows that to the prolessment, the state would become "the prolessment to an the rolling sless".

Once the 1846 revolutions, in which the petty played the same trancherous role in relation to the plurgeois as we now know to be common, were over, the bourgeoisis than the petty bourgeoisis showed their true color. Rather than it or give any substantiel rights to the proletariat that hal then overthree feetalism, they returned back to the rule of lings. The erecting of louis Philippe as louis Benarparts in 1857, existinated the counter-revolution. Lenin quotes from Earn's analysis of this event, known as "The 18th Brussire of louis Benaparte", to show the difference between a prolaterian and a bourgeois revolution. It is this which led Marx to comelade that the workers couldn't just "take over" the state maximery of the bourgeois but must "smash it up."

tense than the 1843 revolutions, because the 1871 was a truly proleterian revolution and established though only for a short time, an asteal workers republic, the Paris Commune, which served as a model for the 1917 revolution.

Leads then takes my the achievements of the Paris Communet desceration of the standing army and the bureaugrapy and replacing it by the smed people and the commune which was not only elected by the people but where every elected offi

the subject to merell, and sould earn a salary as that of every worker, and no more, and he more, the people Themselves. Here is now Lenda parts the words of the workers themselves:

"He must organize production on a large scale, starting from that has already been done by capitalism.

By surpelves, we workers, relying on our own experience as workers, rest create as manufable and iron discipline supports by the power of the armed workers; we must reduce the role of the State officials to that of simply carrying out our own instructhose; they must be responsible, revocable, moderately paid framegers and clerks. ""

int, as Harx put it, the Commune was the form "discovered at last" by the proletarias revolution, under which the proletariat can achieve its economic liberation.

Tim the 4th chapter Lemin deals with the housing question, ror even that seemingly almple question sennot be solved by capitalism. And, in the process, he shows that the energiate the wish "to sholian" the state, even the proletarish state, from the first day of the revolution, only make it easy for the commer-revolution to enter. However, the importance of this perticular stapper is to show that the reformists or enciclists criticized the anarchists duly from the opportunist coint of thew, and left much that was in their favor out.

For example, Lenin shows that in a certain sense the Socialists, even when all Marxists were Socialists, erred in their program and in their consection of the state. For instance, the Bright Program was considered the model for all socialist parties; yet oven in its time Engels criticized it. But the letter was hidden from the party by Kautsky for many years.

What Wher appeared then as the kind of an argument that is pute hair splitting turned out to be crucial for the revolution. Thus Kautsky said that that the state and trusts that is pute heir splitting turnes out to be trueted revolution. Thus Kautaky said that that the state and trueted can "plan" and hence the disorder of capitalist would disappear at that stage. Engels had objected and said that isn't socialism, and Lenin now supports him in this view:

"This fast must be emphasized because the 'reformist' middle class view that monopolistic capitalism, whether private on State, is no longer capitalism, but can already be termed, "State Socialism" or something of that sort, is one of the most widespread errors."

To can see the erroneous view repeated even as late as today, for that is what the English call wocialism, state planning, and that is what we must still fight against as capitalist state planning. At does not do away with exploitation of workers, imperialist exploitation of the colonies, like Africa.

7) The real economic foundation of the withering away of the etate is to abolish exploitation, and Marx in what is known as his "Criticism of the Gotha Program" of the socialists of his day) Coalt with it best of all.

Lenin here don's with the transition period from Capitalian to Communism, and with the early sud highest stages of communism when the rule of life, so to speak, would be what Marx called the all-round development of the individual.

A)In the last chapter Lenin takes up the opportunist errors of the Socialists, the German like Kautsky, the Russians like Plekhanov, and the manner in which they all hid the fact, and thus betrayed the workers, that you could not have a workers state, without a workers revolution to smash the exploitative capitalist state.

Before Lemin had a chance to move from this chapter to the one dealing with the 1905 and early 1917 revolutions, the revolution burst forth. Without this previous clarification of his own mind, he could not have given out the very simple slogans that helped win the revolution, because it was in the language of the workers, and for aims for which they were already fighting instinctively.