Hegel's Logic tries to comprehend the whole range of human knewledge as a cliving print to the second of the secon

Translated from Ger. by Peter Heath fine says in presses originally bk, was course of lectures delivered in summer of 1945 at Papal Oriental Institute in Rume Spublished in Ivalian, "Il Materialismo dislettice sevietice", Turin 1948; in 1952 extensively revised & pub'd. in Ger. '52. Takes up'only dial.mat." which is "properly phil. portion" and not his.mat. -his.mat. in Sov. usage deals with seciety should not be dealt with here. Does not deal much with early phil.writings of Mr. as these are "quite definitely & "consciously set aside in Sov. phil."

Part I Wistorical. This is quite poor as author himself admits by claiming it is given only to understand Part II.

pp.3-17 Ch.I.The His.Roots of Marxism.Hegel, Eggelian Ist, Fenerbach, Positivism.

17-41 Ch.2, KMAPR, On 1844 MSS: "Mx points to a 2-fold defect///...Its basic error is to represent the Idea as a genuine reality...

error is to represent the Idea as a genuine reality...

Since man is essentially self-consciousness, the product
of his activity namely, the alienation of consciousness into the obj.
Alte subsequent transcending of this, remains, therefore something
abstract aunreal. This involves a further deficienty in the Regelian
view. Since every opp. bet. subj.acoj. remains within consciousness
eglaconception of dialectic is inherently liable to transcend the obj.

view. Since every opp. bet. subj.&obj. remains within consciousness the Heghnonception of dialectic is inherently liable to transcend the obj. itself by demonstrating it to be merely another aspect of consciousness. The recovery of what was alienated becomes not so much a matter of overcoming the estrangement of the obj. as of transcending the obj.itself. Instead of achieving a genuine synthesis bet.thought "being, the Heg.phil. tends towards a disavowal of being & reality, &their dissolution in consciousness." (26-7)

Wetter then reduces Marx's counterposition of of spiritual to metual to mean man's primary activity is not spiritual, not cognitive, when Marx shows that a being having no objects extermal to itself, nor an object for escape other being is not an objective being. Thus there is no opp. in this respect bet. man anature, subj. acbj., but only a mutual interfusion a dependence; man becomes a product of Nature anature a product of man. The adjustment of Nature to human needs furnishes the content of his. This 2-f adaptation constitutes the essence of labour, it is the stuff of 'practice' which from now on becomes a key-concept in the phil. of Marxism."

Wetter apologizes for having spent so much time on 1844 writings for he agrees that they have neither connection to Bol. & are of interest "only in so far as they contain seeds of his later social doctrines" AND THEN STUPIDLY THINKS THAT V.A.KARPUSHING, Of all people, shows new attitude to them, since, as Lecturer at Univ. of Moscow, in VF (see M&F)shows regret that Mx's Phil.MSS were no longer included in the 2nd impression of Russ.Coll.Ed.of wkr of M&E."

Also Wetter sees Zhdanov's 1947 intervention as only est'g. that Mx was not just 1 of many philosophies & inc. prol.phil. in bourgeois thought, but was, to quote Zhd "a new phil. differing qualitatively from all previous phil.systems however progressive they were// (but) became a scientific weapon the hands of prol. masses..."

12317

11yin's ornehending telegis agrange tron DIAMET BY WETTER CONT'D. Ch.3-Rev.Movements in Rus.:Origins of Rus.Mx --Nihilism, Narodnichestve Ch.4 -Phil.Tendencies in Rus.Mxism before Rev --up to VIL

Ch. 5, VII--Up to '21 all phil. tendencies fleurish; autumn '21 change.

In '22 (Aug.) arrested deviled later Bulgakov, Berdayev, Ilyin but interesting part is that 1) "adherents to vulgar mat.

were so numerous among supporters of Bol.Party that this dominated until 21, as witness in 1922 eve, O.Minimede wrote "Foth VIL & Pl also employ old-fashioned terms such as 'the phil. of Mxism/but these terms as used by L&P are MERELY SLIPS OF PEN Anothing more. In fitting out atrimming the ship of science we must take care to throw, not only religion, but also the whole of phil, overbi." (POD ZNAM.#22, #11-12). Only in 1925 was this offset by supporters of Diamat by AM.Deborin, a2) time of pub. of Engels' Dialectics of Nature &ESP.IN 1929 WITH PUB. OF VIL'S PHIL.NTERS But 21th 12/27/29 with Stalin's Speech tp the Conf. of Mxist Students on the Agrarian Question M.Mitin &P.Yudin get gosign against Deborit for theory "not keeping pace with practical why" pp.58-68 pp.73-110 pp.114-128 Ch.7-Mechanism--NiBIS THEORY OF EQUILIBRIUM VS.the "teleological pp.137=149 flavour which inevitably clings to Hegelian formula which speaks of a self-dev.o nthe part of spirit."(NIB,ATAKA) Ch.8, Men. Idealism (incl. Deborin AND LT) Deborin in "Lenin the Thinker" wrote: "Both thinkers (blekhanov &Lenin:) are in a certain sense complementary to one another...Plakh is essentially the theoretician, Lenin essentially the man of action, the politicianm the loader."(joined Bol. cnly in '28) at presnet he is member of ed.bd. of Vestnik, official organof Academy of Scive) Dyring Men.per (1907-1917) wrote his most imp.wk."Introd. to the Fhil. of Diamat" (Plekhanov wrote preface to it, pub'd. Petrograd, '16) (Lenin criticized it in Phil Ntbks, 1947, pp. 403-6) opposed, Lukacs, Freud Deborin's definitions: "The mat.dial.as a general scientific methodology (incl.also theory of knowledge); the dial.of mature, the methodology of the natural sciences (scientific mat.); athe dial.of his. (his.mat.)" pp.1755-208-6464lopments since 1931 (Ch.X concerns Stalin As philosopher)49209/7 CC ,1/25/31.Decree against mechanists &Deborinists.Pokrovsky,Adoratsky, Mitin, Yudin & Maximov take over <u>Under the Banner of Mism</u>
By 1936 Philosophical Institute became part of Academy of Sciences & placed under direction of Adoratsky & Mitin
In 1944 CC attacks Vol.3 of His.of Phil. which had appeared for passing over reactionary attitude of Hegel. (VF, '49,2,p.1())
1947 ZHDANOV — new names that came up in field, Kedrov, Leonov, Iovchuk **GFAlexandrov** Wetter does not the least understand this intervention, oning "new dial.law" but only fight on We.phil he claims Voprosy/Filosofii replaced Under Banner of Mxism which disappeared in '44, not saying why (LAW OF VALUE never mentioning controvery). Then Einchurin-Lysenko. Also Marr controversy 1.951--19th congress &Sta in's Eco. Problems of Soc. pp.231-246,Ch.XI Since the Death of Stalin3/5/53 8/10/53 Presidium Res Phil. removed from His.&Phil.Section of Academy of Sciences & attacled to the Economics & Law section Kedrov said Stalin's book on liamat is insufficient since "law of negation of negation" was left out altogether. 12318 8/23-8/54 large Sov.delegation attended Union Internationale de

10

Proceeding and Sciences in Zunich

Part II. The System of Sov. Phil.
Ch.l. Conception of Phil. (as partisan)
Ch.l. The Theory of Matter. Until 1951, there was distinction drawn
bet. phil. ascientific or physical concept of matter.
Then this dual conept is dropped, aattack launched on

They now refer to Ienin's Phil. Ntbks on substance & difference bet. finite dfinite where VIL notes
"Apply this to the atom vs. electron. Matter thruout infinite in depth" (Fadrey, "Lenin's View of Electron & Modern Physics" Eclshevik 1948) 2.: "Just as the rel. bet things & their nedes of change are endises, to too is the no. of stages leading into the depth of their being. 'Man's thought probes ever deeper into the infinite, says Lenin, 'from the phenomenon to its essential nature, from its list order nature, as it were, to its 2nd order nature as on without end." (See also M.Schlik, "Phil. of Nature" NI (44) where he speaks of Medern physics being not physics of substance of physics of the "field/")

Supposedly ell remains same, quantity into qual., law of contrad.d only when we come to negation which (until 138) featured in Soverces. of diamet but then for seme reason of other fell out of favor. A thing does not passinto any sort of opposite but into the swn opp.

Of.T.A. Kazakevich .A.G. Abolentseva "Some Problems Concerning the Law of the Nagation of the Negation" (Vestnik feningradskege Universiteta 1956,25, p.77: "The nihilistic attitude tewards the science doubture of tourgeeis society which has leng been in evidence, was having a negative stitude effect upon various aspects of our own practical work. The Pl.ef the Party CO of July 1955 forcibly condemned such an approach to the achievements of the cap countries & called for an application of the best of these schievements in the fields of scienced tournology in the interests of the communist construction."

They say now that negation not only negates but assures a new determination higher level. Awetter asks "But is this possible? This must definite be denied. For in the let place negation whether metaphysical or dial can de nothing more than negate &can never produce a new determination on its own acct."...Moreover it owes this new determination not to negation but to a previous determination of A.

Dialectical Materialism by Gustav A. Wetter, revised ed.,158 Part II, Ch.V. Dial. Mat. & Modern Science

Wetter sets task of this ch. as two-fold: 1) in what manner Sov. claims that modern science, from quantum physics &relativity theory to the Pavlovian physiology of higher nervous activity &psychology, is supposed to be a 'dazzling confirmation' of dial.mat. 2) in what mather Sov.philosophy seeks solutions of problems of modern science

1)Quantum physics. Sov. science attacks Copenhagen School (Bohr, Holsenberg etc) because of its championshio of the complementarity principle, indeterminism &specific interpretation they give to quantum physics.

Heisenberg's "uncertainty relation" founded on dualism of waves& particles. Copenhagen school inclined to restrict reality principle to "physical reality", ie. those properties which can actually be attributed to micro-objects by way of physical description.

p.407: Questions concerning reality existing 'in itself' & such as to underlie physical measurement adescription, are dismissed, rather in the positivistic manner, as 'meaningless.'"

Queetion of measuring appratus representing 'extension' of senseorgans of physical observer; physical reality is thus dependent on cognitive orientation of observing subject & is hence "idealistic." Thus there is in it appearain denial of "causality." Laws thus are "statistical" (probable or average situations) rather than "dynamic."

Bohr. Jordan, Born deny validity of strict causality in atomic field. De Broglie, Einstein, Schrodinger & Planck insist on universal validity of causal law, believing later dev. of physics will enable a deterministic interpretation of microphysical facts.

(Cf.D.I.Blokhintsev "Critique of Phil.Views of the Socalled Copenhagen shhol, Filosofskie Voprosy sovr.fiziki.pg.358-95) (Behavier of totality (ensemble) not indiv.micro-particle is issue.)

(V.A.Fok Vop.Fil., 1952.4.pp.1704 took exception however in his "On So-ca led Totalities in Quantum Mechanics," on ground that totalities are "a speculative construction", insisting that wave function reflects real state of indiv.mecro-obj.)

Wetter takes no position on either dispute but goes over to ques. whether modern physics confirms dial.mat. so that scientist must apply idal.mat. to investigations of Nature. For ex, contention of law of unity & struggle of opposite seen in wave-and-corpuscle character of matter. & rel.bet.necessity & contingency in problem of determinism. Wetter claims that both Soviet & Copenhagen schools only "prove" what was their phil. starting point.

2.Relativity theory. Originally (till Stalin's death) Sov. scientists had nihilistic attitude to this theory, rejecting not only it but even relativity-principle of Galileo. Opposition was all to dependence not specify on, as against line of Filovorosy sovremov, fiziki 1952) of "absolute motion".

12320

12321

Especially mathematicians, I.P.Bazarov, V.A.Fok, A.D.Alexandrov began attack on the attackers of Einstein relativity theory & this reached its conclu. in 1955 vol. of Vop.Fil. Alexandrov then shows that main point of relativity theory is not in generalization of principle of relativity(but) in its discovery of unity of space & time. Space & time, in themselves, are relative, &yet objective aspects of absolute space-time. Confusion was to equate relative & non-objective.

But V.A.Fok rejects Einstein's progression from special to general relativity (extension of relativity principle from uniform rectilinear motion to accelerated Erotary motion) & attempts to derive connection bet. the 2 theories from the equation for the expansion of the front of a light-wave.

Thus Soviet scientists now see doctrine of space & time as forms of existence of matter, which theory is further extended by unity of space & time, which is to be regarded as "a single unitary form of the existence of matter; the discovery of the interconnections bet, space & time, mass & energy, etc. represents a confirmation of the dial.mat. thesica as to the reciprocal connection of mutual conditioning amongst all phenomena; the est. of the connection bet, mass & energy & the dependence of the space-time metric upon the presence & motion of matter, confirms the doctrine of the inseperability of matter & motion & so forth." But Soviets take violent exception to interpretation of formula of E-mc2 which would indicate possibility of transforming mass into energy, or of challing matter into energy & thereby implying disappearance of matter.

Soriets quastion at the continue appears of the continue at the continue appears of the continue at the contin

(Cf. A.I. Vemov, "Can the Space-Time Continuum Interact with Matter" in VF, #3,1954, where he treats rel. of space-time & matter in terms of categories of form &content; close to Aristotelian hylomorphism, which regards all material things as having produced from 2 essential principle, matter &form, as a result world appears as a single substance.)

Wetter claims this could not prove dial.mat. since "it" took so long to recognize relativity theory.

3.Mass &Energy.Soviet scientists attack "energeticism" & "physical idealism" having in mind writers like Jeans & Eddington who considered radiation of energy is by the sum astars to be due to "annihilation of matter", or Barnett who refers to mass or matter as "form of energy" deriving action of atomic bomb from "thansformation of matter into energy" &E.S.Brightman, American "personalist" who designates God or "higher personality" as nearer of energy &P.Jordan who thinks ("Physics in 20th C.) that new physics has thus destroyed scientific foundations of materialism.

Sov.phil. believes matter occurs in 2 forms: "stuff" and "light", i.e. electro-magnetic field, &inseparable connection bet. matter &motion is true meaning of Einstein formal.

Marketis

A Line

pany given material object having a mass of this or that nature necessarily also possesses the corresponding type of energy. (N.F. Ovohinnikov, "The materiality of the World othe Laws of its Dev."

V.E.1951.#5)

Hence Sov.philosophers regard this physical principle as proof of the dial.mat.thesis of <u>self-movement</u> whereby there can no more be matter without motion than motion without matter, & from this they conclude that all motion, incl. that in insnimate. must be regarded as self-movement.

All Wetter has to say here is that since non-materialistic philosophy also rejects the theory of the annihilation of matter, dial.mat. cannot claim that only by its method can this be proven.

4. Chemistry. 5. Cosmogony (Not summarized here because in essence the fight here as same as above bet. "materialism" "idealism."

6. The Origin of Life. (p.442-451 should be reread)
7. The "New Cell Theory" of O.B.Lepeshinskaya.
8. The New Theory of Inheritance: I.V.Michurin, T.D.Lysenko

All of Wetter's hostility &that of "the West" in general is concentrate here for he considers that Sov. science which he puts in quotation marks has entirely departed from classical genetics & where dial. mat. has thus made it depart "most widely from science in general."

(Incidentally, Gogol, I remember that I was furious with Haldane because he seemed half to approve Lysenko; I wish I now had that statement; do you remember reading it anywhere?)

Wetter calls Lysenko "a practical man without any real scientific training." But admits: "Lysenko has great practical success, esp. In rearing frost-resistant southern varieties for norther climates. Particularly well known is his method of 'yarovization' or "vernelization' i.e., the transformation of winter into summer crop's by chilling the seed-corm so that when sown in spring it produces ears in the summer of the same yr." In 1930s this vernalization technique was put into practice &since then his rise to fame &position. Member of Academy of Sciences &3 times Stalin Prize winner, 1941, '43, &'49)

Lysenko's theory of inheritance sets out from thesis that organism denvironment consitute a unity, rejecting classical theory of heredity of Mendel amorgan. Lysenko insists changes of heredity occur as "the result of the attent realization of individual development. hut deviating from the normal usual course." He distinguishes bet. conservative a "destabilized" types of heredity (a) by grafting, b) by bringing external conditions to bear upon it at definite moments when the organism under see this or that process of its dev. 23) by crossbreeding. He holds very strange views about process of fertilization, not as a new combination of hereditary factors but as a reciprocal assimilation of the male affemale germ-cells whereby a new metabolism came into being. (For description of Lysenko see E.Ashby's "Scientists in Russia", Pelican, 1947.) According to Hans Nachtsheim 'summit of fantasy' is Lysenko's new theory of transformation of one species into another.

12322