TO THE NEB

nov. 24, 1957

Dear Friends:

The press proofs of the book just arrived special from the publisher. Just as soon as I get more than this single copy for finel sheek, I will of course not that a few copies are sent to each locality. The reason I address this to the NES rather than to the whole organization is that I want you to know about a proposition I will bring into the next REB regarding the attack of N & F.

When I read Djilas's book the overwhelming characteristic although subordinate to his main theme, was the actual ignorance about Marxism among the theoreticians in the Communist field. He himself sees it in others, but not in himself, and he has his "theory"—it is that with Stalin Communism became "practical" rather than "theoretical.".

The only thing true about that is the correct dividing mark—that of Stalinism. With the transformation of Belshevigm into its opposite, Stalinism, theory stopped coming from the masses and therefore norighed. That is to say, revolutionary theory. But bourgeois theory, which comes from ordering the masses about, flourished. The theory of the monolithic party was not an eclectic thing, a mere deviation or bureaucratization. It same out of the speeches of Stalin telling all others what to do, and these speeches are organized into book form and labelled "Gollected Works". But the author himself writes no book, collected, selected or single. This he leaves to the hacks whom today he gives the Stalin prize and tomorrow he makes executes. Intellectual work thus reaches its most frustrating isolationism as "mere theory" while the leaders are no longer theoreticians but politicians "practical men".

Trotsky was the only one of the original leaders who continued to write books and attempted to write theory in counterposition to Stalinism—That it was not "criginal" that is to say, truly opposite, we know but it was the transition to the general theory of state capitalism. Outside of Trotsky himself the Trotskyists have produced nothing and "written no books."

Take the favorite theory of the Social Democracy, and it was in embryo and is still there also in Johnsonism, that Btallnism comes from Leninism. I have a pregnant footnote on that in Marxism & Freedom: "That the Party (S-D) was corruptible to begin with could be seen even from the corridor gossip which had it that one of the leaders of 'the revolutionary wing' said to Bernstein, "But such things you speak about should be done, not said." Those who insist on seeking the 'roots' of Stalinism in Leninism would, if they were truly objective, find a whole forest of 'roots' for the One-Party State concept in the Second International's ukasa that only one Social Democracy exist in each country."

If you recall Johnson's Dialectic Notes, you will remembe that he on the other hand thought that the "objective" was in the fact that Stalin took one aspect of Leninism and led that (vanguard party) to its "logical" conclusion. The same type of thing was once said about the relationship of anarchism and Marxism in the First International. The truth however was that Marxism won over Proudhonism

12190

not only theoretically but because the objective base—small me cepital and artisans—had gone under and factory production because that are the countries—Production of the first International. Similarly, the theory of the vanguard party of Lenin's was all we say it was in that ungnificent chapter where we trace 20 years of its developm against the objective background. When, however, the 1917-13 reconded not succeed and the workers did not dominate the industrievelopment, then state capitalism demanded the monolithic party as the "logical" development of the vanguard party, or even as justs opposite, but because it positively represented state capital:

I do not have time to develop themse ideas at this semant, nor is a letter the proper place for their development. I brought thee factors out only in order to sotivate the proposition for the study, not just reading, but the study of Marxiss and Fredd Concretely, I will propose that as soon as the press proofs arrive, educationals locally change completely. Every week, when in sud week out, until the 237 pages of text (although it would not have if also the other 100 pages of Indecises were included) have been covered, a chapter of the book is discussed and done caricusty and systematically. That is to say, one person, beginning with the organizer first, but then changing reporters each week, prepares a summation of 30 minutes. Then unlimited discussion and a summation of 5 minutes. Footnotes at all times should be included as part of the text where they belonged but for the printer finding it cheaper to set otherwise. Supplementary reading material assigned.

This means that we can get a head start before the actual publication, I mean actually coming off with covers, and concentrated cales. Secondly, and as important, it means week in and week out the people who will have bought the book or be interested in finding out about it came come to the regular meeting, which, except for 1 hour of business, and once in 3 weeks an hour of editing, and go through the book literally so that it is mastered. Thirdly it means we above all have mastered it. There is no other way to act as founders of a movement rather than as salesmen of merchandise,

We have looked for a long time to educationals and have not yet found the solution. The regular meetings, however, are very often "draggy" and the public meetings are too few and far between. This however can be regular and since, no matter how historic any one part is, there is always in the book some reference to the present, they can be more current than many educationals on current topics, and a good deal more solid. We just cannot let "theory" become either a mere intellectual preoccupation or bourgaoisified. We must become living proof of the unity of theory and practice and a solid year at that and we will have a convention that will startle the world.

I would like to hear from you within a week so that I have the benefit of the field in the discussion at the REE.

Itaa