I WORLD NOW CONSIDER THE LETTER TO STEINKRAUS __ 5/27/86 -- RE CHAPTER 2
ON THE IDEA OF COGNITION, WHICH WAS EXPANDED ON JULY 3 TO DUPRE, AND
SEPT. 26 TO GARRESS AS THE NEW ON THE DIALECTIC OF CREANIZATION AND
PHILOSOPHY.

1ST HEFERENCE TO BOOK-TO-BE AS SOMETHING ON THE PARTY WAS CHANGED TO NOT DIALECTIC OF THE PARTY BUT DIALECTIC OF ORGANIZATION.

2ND CHANGE WHICH WAS EXPRESSED AT THE CONVENTION, LABOR DAY 1986, WAS
THE CHANGE TO DIALECTIC OF ORGANIZATION AND PHILOSOPHY FOLLOWED BY THE
COLON AND " THE PARTY AND FORMS OF ORGANIZATION ARISING OUT OF SPONTANEITY

3RD CHANCE, WHICH ACTUALLY PRECEDED THE AMMOUNCEMENT AT THE CONVENTION, WAS IN THE PERIOD IN WHICH I SERIOUSLY WAS WORKING OUT MY NEW ATTITUDE TO LENIN'S DIALECTIC NOTES THAT WERE ALL GROUNDED IN A CLOSED READING OF THE CHAPTER ON THE IDEA OF COGNITION IN HEGEL. IT IS THIS FINDING THAT THERE WAS A DIFFERENCE ALSO WITHIN HEGEL HIMSELF AS HE EXPRESSED IT IN THE SCIENCE OF LOGIC FROM THE WAY HE SHORTENED IT IN THE ENCYCLOPEDIA. IT IS THE 4th CHANGE WHICH MARKS MY CORRESPONDENCE ON THAT QUESTION WITH NON-MARKIST HEGEL SCHOLARS, STEINKRAUS WHO HASN'T REPLIED, AND RUPRE AND KELLY WHO HAVE REPLIED.

PRESENTLY, (Nov. 15) WHICH WAS PRECEDED BY THE 3 PARAGRAPHS ON PHENOMENOLOGY WHICH GOT INCLUDED IN A LETTER TO NEDA ON JUNE, 1986) AND WAS FOLLOWED BY THE NEW THING I DICTATED TO EUPGENOON THE 3RD ATTITUDE WHICH I HEREBY WANT TO EXPAND.